

From: [Andrea Egense](#)
To: [AMS - GMO Labeling](#)
Subject: The National Bioengineered Food Disclosure Standard proposed rule -questions to consider
Date: Sunday, July 16, 2017 4:28:23 PM

Feedback as requested before July 17th:

#1. What terms AMS should consider?- Disclosure standard needs to be clear; words/acronyms everyone can understand-like GMO or GE or label with a "NO GMO present"

#4. Labeling needs to occur for all GE products, even if they have "undetectable levels" of bioengineered genetic material. No loopholes should be provided.

#7. I need to know that when I buy organic meat/poultry etc. that the cow/chicken was fed an organic, non GMO diet. There are many people in our country who are trying to take care of ourselves by choosing healthy, pesticide free, non GMO food. Making the labeling so complicated that the average person can't figure it out is going to make that difficult.

I have a college degree in engineering and am having difficulty understanding what most of the questions are even asking. Don't reinvent rules and use up taxpayer dollars; look at the Vermont law-it appears to work. As a consumer, I just want to know what I am buying without trying to decipher a product's label. Simply require all manufacturers/producers to label-no exceptions. And, all imported goods need to be labeled accordingly too.

Spend the money saved on writing rules, on real, valid, independent studies that show the harmful effects of GMO crops, and, on disclosing to the public the harm these crops are doing both to the environment and the general population. The effects of GMO crops will eventually take a toll on our nation but by the time our government is willing to step up to the plate, against Monsanto and it's supporters, it will be too late.

Submitted respectfully by,
Andrea Egense
July 16th, 2017