UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

+ + + + +

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

IN RE: WALNUTS GROWN IN : Docket No.

CALIFORNIA, PROPOSED : AO-SC-20-J-0011 AMENDMENT TO MARKETING : AMS-SC-19-0082

ORDER NO. 984

: SC-19-984-1

Volume II

Tuesday, April 21, 2020

Videoconference

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE CHANNING D. STROTHER

Chief Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the U.S. Department of Agriculture:

RUPA CHILUKURI, ESQ.
TRACY MCGOWAN, ESQ.
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Office of General Counsel
Trial Attorney
1400 Independence Avenue, SW
Room 2331-C
Washington, D.C. 20250
202-702-4982
rupa.chilukuri@ogc.usda.gov

MELISSA SCHMAEDICK

U.S. Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service Senior Marketing Specialist 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250 435-260-9964 melissa.schmaedick@ams.usda.gov

On Behalf of the California Walnut Board:

MICHELLE CONNELLY Executive Director California Walnut Board 101 Parkshore Drive Suite 250 Folsom, California 95630 916-932-7070

mconnelly@walnuts.org

ALSO PRESENT:

JEFFREY DAVIS, USDA

ANDREW HATCH, USDA, Document Curator

DONALD HINMAN, USDA, AMS

PUSHPINDER KUMAR, USDA

TERRY VAWTER, USDA

WILLIAM CARRIERE, CWB

CHUCK CRAIN, CWB

HEATHER DONOHO, CWB

ROBERT DRIVER, CWB

RACHAEL GOODHUE, Ph.D., CWB

JACK MARIANI, CWB

ROBERT NORENE, CWB

WILLIAM TOS, JR., CWB

JOHN MACTAVISH, Grower

ERIN HOAGLAND, OALJ, Attorney Advisor

CONTENTS

WITN	SSES PAGE
Miche	lle Connelly
Jack	Mariani
Will:	am Carriere
Heatl	er Donoho
John	MacTavish 149
Exhil	it No. Description Mark Recd
30	Testimony, John MacTavish 7
25	Testimony, Michelle Connelly 24 99
26	PowerPoint with Activity types,24 99
	sample calculations of creditable
	expenditures, sample calculations
	of handler share of available
	dollars
27	Draft forms (Withdrawn) 24
28	Testimony of Heather Donoho,
	CWB
30	John MacTavish testimony 206 206

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	11:03 a.m.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: It's April 21st,
4	2020. This is the formal rulemaking in United
5	States Department of Agriculture Docket Number
6	20-J-0011, et al.
7	Let's say there are some other docket
8	numbers that aren't OALJ but CLJ's Hearing
9	Clerk's Office docket numbers. But I laid those
10	down before, and I'm sure they'll be in the
11	transcript.
12	This concerns walnuts grown in
13	California, hearing on proposed amendment of
14	Marketing Order Number 984. This is the second
15	and last scheduled day for hearing in this
16	matter. It's 11:04.
17	Anyone have any preliminary matters to
18	bring up? I do if others don't.
19	MS. CHILUKURI: Rupa Chilukuri, USDA,
20	Your Honor. I did want to note that Mr.
21	MacTavish did send testimony directly to Mr.

Andrew Hatch, I believe, on April 20th,

L yesterda	у.
------------	----

So technically that would be an exparte communication. But I understand that Mr.

MacTavish would like to also be a witness. So I wanted to ask how you'd like to proceed with that communication.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Ms. Hoagland forwarded me a copy of that. We invite, you know, additional witnesses. We'd invite anyone else to come in now.

So I don't think we need to be concerned with an ex parte communication, since this should be distributed to everyone before Mr. MacTavish testifies. We certainly invite his testimony.

I noticed that it's not on the website that has all the exhibits yet. Can we get that up, I guess Mr. Hatch?

MR. HATCH: Yes, we can.

JUDGE STROTHER: If it's possible.

MS. CHILUKURI: Which exhibit number should be attached to that?

JUDGE STROTHER: I think the last
exhibit we had before that, and according to my
list it was Exhibit 29. I don't think we had
anything that we added to the exhibit list. So
it should be identified as Exhibit 30.

Make sure you have, I saw two different versions, an earlier and a later one. Let's make sure we get the later one up. Let's unmute Mr., yes, he is unmuted. Good morning, Mr. MacTavish.

(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Exhibit No. 30 for identification.)

MR. MACTAVISH: Good morning.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I take it the way we identified the later one is you numbered paragraphs, is that right? I actually already shredded your early one.

MR. MACTAVISH: Well first, in the revised one I wanted to thank you. I thank you for allowing me to provide this last minute testimony.

1	JUDGE STROTHER: No, you don't need to
2	thank me. You're welcome. We appreciate you
3	testifying.
4	Again, anyone else out there in the
5	viewing audience that would like to say something
6	in this hearing, I welcome them to come on too.
7	What you're doing is consistent with the
8	procedural rules that we set down at the
9	beginning.
10	My point though is what about your,
11	what can we look at on your second version, just
12	to make sure that we don't inadvertently get the
13	first one mixed up.
14	MR. MACTAVISH: The second to the last
15	line, the second paragraph of the first page says
16	first of all thank you, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Okay, do
18	you have that Mr. Hatch?
19	MR. HATCH: Yes.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay, thank you. So
21	we'll put you at the end of the list of

witnesses, at least the ones we have so far, Mr.

1	MacTavish. We've got two other witnesses to
2	handle this morning.
3	MR. MACTAVISH: Okay.
4	JUDGE STROTHER: Anything else, Ms.
5	Chilukuri?
6	MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor, not at
7	this time.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay.
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	JUDGE STROTHER: I'm sorry?
11	MS. SCHMAEDICK: This is Melissa
12	Schmaedick, USDA. I do, if it's possible, I
13	would like to request two witnesses from
14	yesterday. I'd like to call them back if that's
15	possible.
16	JUDGE STROTHER: Which witnesses? I
17	think you're going to need to identify the
18	witnesses, and then we would
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	JUDGE STROTHER: be objections to
21	that. One at a time. Sorry, Ms. Schmaedick. I
22	do the heavy lifting on this, so I want to get,
- 11	

who did you have in mind? 1 MS. SCHMAEDICK: I'd like to call back 2 Jack Mariani and Bill Carriere, please. 3 4 JUDGE STROTHER: I didn't catch that. MS. SCHMAEDICK: Mr. Jack Mariani and 5 Mr. Bill Carriere. 6 7 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. If I recall those are California Walnut Board witnesses. 8 I believe 9 MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. 10 they're both handlers. I just have some follow-11 up questions for those two individuals as 12 handlers. And they can come, you know, after 13 witnesses are finished. 14 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I guess, Ms. 15 Connelly, I guess we're looking to you to see 16 whether -- they're your witnesses essentially, 17 although we don't have Counsel per se. Do you 18 have any objection? And even whether you do or 19 not, are they available? 20 MS. CONNELLY: Yes, Judge, they are 21 available. And I believe Mr. Carriere is on the line. 22

1	MR. CARRIERE: Yes, I am.
2	MS. CONNELLY: And I can contact Mr.
3	Mariani as well.
4	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Mr. Carriere,
5	do you have any objections to coming back on the
6	stand?
7	MR. CARRIERE: No, I'm here. I'll be
8	ready any time.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else have any
10	objections?
11	Okay, hearing none, should we put
12	these recalled witnesses after Mr. MacTavish or
13	before?
14	MS. SCHMAEDICK: It's whatever, I
15	guess, works best for Mr. MacTavish and the two
16	witnesses to be recalled. I believe that they
17	would be best placed after there's testimony from
18	Ms. Connelly and Ms. Donoho. But other than
19	that, I'll leave it up to whatever is the best
20	way to proceed in your opinion.
21	JUDGE STROTHER: I think, since they
22	were originally scheduled earlier, I mean, I

don't know that our order is based on any particular burden of proof or anything like that. I think let's hear them before Mr. MacTavish.

So we'll figure out when Mr. Mariani's available, I guess. And I will decide which order or we will decide which order. Okay, so two recalled witnesses that had no objection to recalling.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you. That's all I have.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. A couple of housekeeping things. I don't know that we decided, who has the originals of the exhibits in this case? Do we have a keeper of the exhibits? And by that I mean partly someone who's got to get the exhibits to the hearing clerk.

Do we have someone assigned to that?

MR. HATCH: This is Andy Hatch. I do have the originals that have been showing up on the screen. And we've been posting the matching documents to the website. So myself, Pushpa Kathir, and Melissa Schmaedick have access to

1	those.
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I'm sorry?
3	MS. KATHIR: This is Pushpa Kathir
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MS. SCHMAEDICK: This is Melissa
6	Schmaedick, USDA. The exhibits were all provided
7	to the Court Reporter over the weekend. So it
8	was my understanding that his copy would be
9	included with the transcript as the original copy
10	of the exhibits. Does that still work?
11	COURT REPORTER: That's correct, Your
12	Honor.
13	JUDGE STROTHER: That's correct, Mr.
14	Stroman?
15	COURT REPORTER: Yes.
16	MS. SCHMAEDICK: I just wanted to make
17	sure you get John MacTavish's testimony too.
18	COURT REPORTER: Yes. I did not
19	receive that, but I received the first 29
20	exhibits.
21	MS. KATHIR: I will send it. This is
22	Pushpa Kathir. I will send it forward to Dylan

1 right now, Mr. MacTavish's testimony. 2 JUDGE STROTHER: Thank you. 3 Stroman, are you numbering the exhibits, are you 4 putting a label on the first page that gives it 5 the exhibit number? 6 COURT REPORTER: Yes, Your Honor. I 7 am labeling the exhibits. 8 JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. This is 9 a good procedure then. 10 Okay, I take it that we're not ready 11 to talk about a briefing schedule or transcript 12 corrections, that type of thing? I'm not pulling 13 in a hurry. 14 MS. CHILUKURI: Well, since we're on 15 the record, Your Honor, I'm going to ask Ms. 16 Connelly if they'd be open to a record that 17 entailed, I guess, corrections, transcript 18 corrections seven business days after the 19 transcript is available on the AMS website. 20 So that would entail USDA taking the 21 lead and then sending the corrections to the

California Walnut Board. And then by the seventh

day we'd send them to you, seventh business day,
Your Honor, we'd send them to Your Honor for
certification.

And then in terms of briefing, it would be 14 business days after the certification of the transcript. If Ms. Connelly is open to that, that would be the schedule that we suggest.

JUDGE STROTHER: I'm sorry, let me see if I understand. You would consult with the California Walnut Board to come up with a joint set of proposed transcript corrections, is that right?

MS. CHILUKURI: Right. We would take the lead on doing the corrections. They could, of course, do their own corrections. We'd send it to them and then send you a joint correction list. So we could disagree in some respect.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. What do we do about anyone else that might have any objections to the transcript corrections?

MS. CHILUKURI: People are available now to lodge their objections in terms of the

timing. And, of course, they're available within that seven day period that we proposed to send in their corrections.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Tell you what, send proposed transcript corrections to Ms.

Chilukuri within a seven-day period after the transcripts are posted to the website. And if there are any issues with a joint filing, ongoing controversies over transcript corrections, we'll deal with that when it comes up, I guess, file something quickly, okay.

We'll talk about a briefing schedule later, I guess.

Yesterday, the issue of appearances came up. And the hearing reporter asked what should be listed in the way of appearances. And normally our appearances are, as I formulated, an attorney is speaking on behalf of someone else, or some representative is speaking on someone else.

We looked over the way this has been done in other rulemakings, that is what has been

listed in the transcript for appearances. And it's been done various ways.

First of all, I guess, I don't mean to roll over the parties. But is there anyone among the participants that does have a preference for what ought to go in the appearances?

I'm going to put you on the spot again, Ms. Chilukuri. Do you have any thoughts on this?

MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor, I'm trying to recall what's been done in the past. And I seem to recall that in some instances Judges have asked for all individuals present to enter their appearances. And those have been, I think they've been listed in the transcript.

But I think people have been taking different approaches. So it's focused sometimes just on the department and the proponent. But I have no objection to doing it either way.

JUDGE STROTHER: Yes. We did do notices of appearance at the beginning. So we have that set out in the transcript. Did someone

else have something to say?

COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, if I may, the way I did it preliminarily was to list the folks that were asking questions with addresses, and then I included also present for everybody else who entered an appearance with their affiliation afterwards.

JUDGE STROTHER: You've already done that?

COURT REPORTER: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay, so that work has been done, so we don't have to worry about putting a burden on you. Seems good to me.

Let's just go with that.

It's more extensive than has been done in other places, but I think it, my thinking is that it gives a contact person for whatever purposes in the official record, if someone reading that record had any need to contact somebody.

Anyone have any concerns? Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Reporter, good job.

All right. With that, I think we can 1 call our first witness unless anyone's got 2 anything else. 3 4 MS. CONNELLY: Your Honor, I just 5 wanted to mention that Mr. Mariani is on the line. 6 7 JUDGE STROTHER: Hi, Mr. Mariani. USDA, Ms. Schmaedick has asked that you be 8 9 recalled as a witness. Are you available for 10 that? Can he hear me? I can't hear him, ha, ha. 11 I don't see him on my screen either. 12 MS. CONNELLY: He's listed as an 13 attendee. 14 MR. HATCH: This is Andy Hatch. 15 not seeing his name either. 16 MS. CONNELLY: It's showing on the 17 attendee list on my screen. Mr. Mariani, if 18 you're on the line, you can certainly use our 19 conference line to call in, the one that we 20 shared yesterday. 21 JUDGE STROTHER: All right. I see him 22 listed as Jack.

1	MS. CONNELLY: Yes.
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Let's unmute Jack.
3	Mr. Mariani, can you hear me?
4	(No audible response.)
5	JUDGE STROTHER: He is unmuted, I see
6	that. I'm not sure what to do.
7	MR. HATCH: Let me try to promote him
8	to panelist for a moment.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well.
LO	MS. CONNELLY: He says he's talking,
L1	but maybe his speaker isn't working.
L2	Mr. Mariani, you can call the office
L3	conference line, the one that we shared with you
L4	yesterday.
L5	(Pause.)
L6	JUDGE STROTHER: I wouldn't take up
L7	the other participants' time with this, but Ms.
L8	Connelly is our next witness. So I don't want to
L9	burden her.
20	MS. CONNELLY: Mr. Mariani is in the
21	process of calling in.
22	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. We could

1 put him up now before you, Ms. Connelly. 2 MS. CONNELLY: That's a possibility. I believe that some of the questions that may 3 4 need to come will be based on my testimony. So I 5 would defer to USDA. MS. CHILUKURI: Rupa Chilukuri, USDA. 6 7 Since the industry is the proponent, I think they have the burden of sort of setting things 8 So I would defer in terms of scheduling 9 forward. 10 to them in terms of whether or not Mr. Mariani or 11 Mr. Carriere should proceed Ms. Connelly. 12 Ms. Connelly would like to proceed first, then we 13 have no objection to that. Okay. Why don't we ask 14 MS. CONNELLY: 15 Mr. Mariani his availability when he joins us? 16 Mr. Mariani? 17 MR. NORENE: Sorry, Donald Norene. 18 MS. CONNELLY: Good morning, Mr. 19 Norene. 20 JUDGE STROTHER: Shall I go ahead? 21 Mr. Mariani, can you hear me? I don't believe he's 22 MS. CONNELLY:

1 joined us just yet, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. 3 MS. SCHMAEDICK: Your Honor, this is 4 Melissa Schmaedick. If it's too difficult to recall Jack Mariani, it's not essential. It just 5 would be helpful. And I certainly don't want to 6 7 hold up the hearing. 8 JUDGE STROTHER: What about the 9 concept, Ms. Schmaedick, of having Ms. Connelly 10 testify and then see if you still have a need to 11 recall Mr. Mariani after that? 12 MS. SCHMAEDICK: Absolutely, I think 13 that is a good idea. If we could proceed with 14 Ms. Connelly, I think that would be a good way to 15 proceed. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Okay, Ms. Connelly, are you ready to go? 17 18 MS. CONNELLY: Yes, we are. 19 Mariani will be joining us here shortly so, yes. 20 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. You're a new 21 witness, right, you have not been sworn before if 22 I remember. Raise your right hand please.

1	MR. MARIANI: This is Jack Mariani
2	finally.
3	MS. CONNELLY: Good morning, Mr.
4	Mariani.
5	JUDGE STROTHER: The sound quality is
6	pretty bad. Let's just come back to Mr. Mariani
7	assuming, well, can you hear me, Mr. Mariani?
8	MR. MARIANI: Yes. Yes, I can.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Would you be
10	available to come back on the stand this morning?
11	We have a request from
12	MR. MARIANI: Yes, I will. Yes, for
13	sure.
14	JUDGE STROTHER: I didn't catch that,
15	I'm sorry.
16	MS. CONNELLY: Jack, could you repeat
17	your answer? Mr. Mariani? Sounds like we might
18	have lost him.
19	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes. I don't think
20	this is quite working. Jack still appears on my
20 21	this is quite working. Jack still appears on my screen. Well, let's go ahead and swear you in

1 your right hand. 2 WHEREUPON, MICHELLE CONNELLY 3 4 was called as a witness, and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 5 follows: 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. For Ms. 9 Connelly, I have three exhibits for 10 identification. Exhibit 25 is testimony. Exhibit 26, PowerPoint with activity types, 11 12 simple calculations of creditable expenditures, 13 sample calculations of handler share of available 14 dollars. And for identification, Exhibit 27, 15 draft forms. 16 Okay, Ms. Connelly, JUDGE STROTHER: 17 you may give your statement. 18 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 19 documents were marked as Exhibit Nos. 20 25, 26, and 27 for identification.) 21 MS. CONNELLY: Yes, Judge. 22 procedural question, if I may. I have a number

of things that were asked to defer to me yesterday. Would you like me to address those at the conclusion of my testimony or would you like me to begin with those?

JUDGE STROTHER: It's up to you, either way.

MS. CONNELLY: Okay. Well, why don't I proceed with my testimony and we can come back to those items?

MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor, before we proceed, I just wanted to interject a note that USDA will be objecting for the record. Maybe it would be better to do it when it's entered. But I just wanted to note at the outset that USDA will be objecting to the admission of Exhibit 27, the draft forms.

Those have not been specifically noticed in the Notice of Hearing. And we also want to preserve USDA's position to be able to send those to OMB in a separate proceeding. So we wanted to maintain our ability to do that. So I just want to note that at the outset.

1 And I'm happy to repeat that objection if CWB chooses to enter those forms onto the 2 3 record. 4 JUDGE STROTHER: Ms. Connelly, since 5 you're acting as your own lawyer on this, do you have any comment on that at this point? 6 7 MS. CONNELLY: We can forego those 8 forms on the record for exhibits. 9 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. So Exhibit 27 10 is withdrawn, withdrawn by Ms. Connelly. 11 Anything further before we take Ms. Connelly's 12 statement? 13 MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor. 14 JUDGE STROTHER: Please proceed, Ms. 15 Connelly. 16 MS. CONNELLY: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 As I said, my name is Michelle Connelly, M-I-C-H-18 E-L-L-E, Connelly, C-O-N-N-E-L-L-Y. 19 executive director for the California Walnut 20 Board and had the pleasure of serving this 21 industry for the past 16 years, both in marketing and executive capacities. 22

Today I'll be testifying regarding the proposal implementation, point eight of the justification.

Just to reiterate, since it's been 24 hours since we've talked about this, the proposal will be implemented by the authority's parameters and the requirements proposed under the new 984.546.

Following approval from the Secretary,
the CWB would implement a program to become
effective in the following proper fiscal year.
We would say that that's the next marketing year,
beginning with October 1.

Annually, the CWB will establish a budget with an annual assessment to be collected including a budget for the credit-back program. The CWB would then communicate to handlers that the credit-back option is available for a pro rata share, or portion of available credit-backed funds and program procedures, for their use, including activities that are eligible for credit-back.

Okay, sorry for the echo on our end.

It seems to be our speaker phone.

I'd now like to address a few points relative to language in Paragraphs A through E, 1 through 4. The Marketing Order Revision

Committee and staff developed the procedures with careful thought as to how the program could be reasonably administered such that the mechanics were thought through from an implementation perspective.

The Board has predetermined the scope of qualified activities or qualified expenditures. They use those two terms interchangeably. However, the authority for the program management will be delegated to staff to implement. CWB accounting staff will administer with the input of myself and our marketing staff where applicable.

The procedures explicitly define the eligible and ineligible activities as a means to guide program users and mitigate the role of staff interpretation. The activities are very

straightforward.

Handlers have a portion of funds as determined by the prior year's percent of total acquisitions and percent of budgeted revenue as determined by the Board which can be used for reimbursement against assessment for eligible activities.

Each handler's portion of available funds will be based on the prior year acquisitions as a means to determine their, if you will, ceiling for eligible expenditures based on budgeted funds for the program.

The prior year would be the best available data to determine that share as acquisitions are reported throughout the year.

The MORC discussed varying levels of reimbursements from 50 cents to 65 and ultimately decided on 70 cents as the amount that's attractive for handlers to participate and encourage use in the program with the goal of incurring, excuse me, attempting to spur increased investment in walnut activities.

Reimbursement via check would be made following the submission and processing of the activity subject to eligibility of the submission. It's the intent of the Board to ensure timely processing of reimbursement with all facets of the program.

The CWB marketing year-end is August 31st. In order for the CWB to be able to close the books to prepare for our financial audit, all requests for reimbursement need to be received in a timely manner.

The program will be evaluated on an annual life basis and could be changed through the informal rulemaking process with the approval of the Secretary.

I'd now like to call out a few specific paragraphs. In regard to Paragraph B, handlers can only receive reimbursement on funds for which they have paid. Therefore it is the intent of the Board that handlers would be fully paid on all assessments before any reimbursement would be given.

With regard to Paragraph C, the intent of the Board is to eliminate any potential abuses of the program by ensuring that only the handler who performs such activities if he filed a claim for credit-back.

The handlers have to provide documentation, including the credit-back form, along with copies of proof of payment, evidence of the activity in order to prove that the activity was actually conducted.

In regard to Paragraph D, budget for the program and the handlers' portion of eligibility are established annually. Any unused funds are returned to the Reserve, therefore any qualifying activities can only be reimbursed for the year in which the program was established.

Regarding Paragraph E, 1 through 4, the Board is establishing and developing that procedures and not every activity could be conceived, and that exception could be allowed for an expense not covered in the procedures on the premise that there is established norms for

the pricing of the activities.

These procedures are expected to evolve over time as needs arise, the ability to modify the procedures, provide flexibility as needed to ensure equity in the program, and ultimately compliance with the program and the procedures.

The Board established a caveat for accepted professional practices to ensure consistency and minimize program abuse. This is something that the agency rates, for example, where the agency standard is 15 percent. That's the rate that would be used when the activity is judged. A claim submitted with an agency rate of, say, 30 percent would be rejected given the established law for that activity.

I'd now like to proceed with giving some practical examples of how this works. So if the moderator could please cue up the PowerPoint slides.

MR. HATCH: That's Exhibit 26 for identification.

MS. CONNELLY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Hatch. We can begin with the sample calculation of handler's share.

So this example, back one, thank you. This is an example of how we would calculate or determine the pro rata share for each handler. There again, the handlers assessment is based on the inspected product at the rate of the budgetary process for this program. Handlers are being reimbursed up to their cap of X dollars based on their respective share of acquisitions of budget.

So if we assume the rate of four dollars per hundredweight, which should give the Board a budget of approximately \$25 million. If the program budget was set at ten percent of assessments, or let's say about \$2.5 million, the Handler X would have a ten percent share of acquisition. So his available cap would be \$250,000. And that, again, would be the pro rata share.

Moving on, next slide. One more

please. Eligible activities are listed here.

They range from advertising through trade shows
and seminars. I won't read the full list.

If we go to the next slide, you can see that the procedures for each activity would be detailed out, as you see here, with a clear definition of what that activity entails and then subsequent examples of what's a reimbursable activity versus a non-reimbursable activity.

Next slide. Some related procedures filed had listed non-eligible activities. And the reason for defining these as eligible and ineligible activities is to ensure that the intent of the Board is maintained and that activities conducted need to promote the sale, consumption, and use of California walnuts.

Next slide. Here are some examples of how reimbursement would work. And the Board has determined that there will be walnut-only reimbursement versus those that are different. So anything that is considered a walnut-only product, whether a walnut butter, or a walnut

1 milk, would be eligible for 100 percent credit These are examples. This example here 2 back. shows how that calculation would work. 3 4 Similarly, on the next slide please --5 6 COURT REPORTER: Are you able to get 7 any closer to your mic? I'm sorry to interrupt. 8 MS. CONNELLY: My mic is right here. 9 I can turn it up. 10 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 11 MS. CONNELLY: Yes, that's better. 12 with respect to mixed nuts or otherwise 13 promotional materials that are of a mixed nature, 14 the walnut portion would be eligible for credit-15 back. And this provides a calculation of how we 16 would determine that. 17 And on the next slide these are some 18 further examples of how these calculations would 19 work for various products or various types of 20 promotional activity. 21 So that concludes my testimony, Your 22 Honor.

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Time for
2	examination of this witness. Does USDA have any
3	questions for Ms. Connelly? Going once, going
4	twice?
5	MS. SCHMAEDICK: No, Your Honor, I'm
6	sorry. I think I was on mute. Melissa
7	Schmaedick has requested to speak.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Ms. Schmaedick, the
9	witness is yours.
10	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you.
11	Hi, Ms. Connelly, and thank you for
12	your testimony. So we've covered quite a bit of
13	information here. I'd like to kind of walk
14	through things step by step.
15	Do you have a copy of Exhibit 1 with
16	you which is the Notice of Hearing, which
17	included the proposed language?
18	MS. CONNELLY: Yes, I do.
19	BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
20	Q I'm sorry, did you answer?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q Okay, right. So I guess I'd like to

start out with a question regarding the calculation of the creditable expenditures and credit-back that is available to a handler.

I know that you gave us some examples. But could you just explain, for example, the calculation using the previous year's receipts? Why is it important to use that previous year's receipts? Why don't you just use one year versus, say, an average of two years or some other calculation?

A Yes. The reason for the prior year is the prior year is the most current year. And in any given year the acquisitions may change.

Therefore, the most recent year is the most reasonable number to use. And we wouldn't use the current year, because acquisitions are a moving target throughout the year. So the prior year is the best.

Q Okay. And I'm looking at Page 7671 which I believe is the third page of Exhibit 1.

I'm in the middle column. I'm under 584.46,

Paragraph B. And I'm almost down towards the end

of that column.

And it says no handler shall receive credit-back for any creditable expenditures that would exceed the total amount of credit-back available to him or her for the applicable marketing year. Can you expand on that a little bit?

A Yes. So as we saw with the calculation, every handler has their portion that is available to them. So no one could exceed that amount that would be available to them under the program as determined by the Board who set the amount of the program. And then each handler has their respective share.

Q Okay. So if you look at the second sentence, it says further, no handler shall receive credit-back in an amount that exceeds that handler's assessment paid in the applicable marketing year at the time the credit-back application is made. Can you explain that?

A Sure. So the handler's assessment, the handlers pay their assessment, and therefore

they have their eligible portion in this instance that would be available to them. So they can't receive credit back that would exceed what they're allowable to do under the program.

Q And how does this phrase at the time of the application, the time that the credit-back application was made, would that ceiling ever change? Or what is just the meaning or the importance of that phrase?

A The importance of that phrase is because the ceiling can change. As I just mentioned, in any given year or for using a prior year's acquisition, those acquisitions change year to year depending upon the handler's circumstances. So there may be an opportunity for that to change in any given year that we're evaluating the program.

- Q So could it conceivably increase then?
- A In theory, yes, if their acquisitions have changed over time. Yes.
- Q Okay. Would you foresee a situation where, let's say, a handler, according to your

example, is allocated or has a ceiling of 1 \$250,000, if they don't use that amount within 2 the marketing year, does that amount roll over 3 4 into the next year? No, it does not. Any unused funds in 5 Α 6 the program year return to the reserve. 7 I apologize. I'm having a hard time 0 8 hearing you today. So you said that the funds 9 would, what would happen? 10 The funds would be returned to the Α 11 reserve. 12 Q To the reserve, okay. And what is the 13 reserve? 14 The Board carries a reserve which is Α 15 a reserve of funds to deflect from any ongoing 16 operating costs. We carry this on an annual 17 So any unused funds would go back into 18 the reserve that we have. 19 Q Okay. So those funds would not go 20 back into the general marketing and promotion 21 fund? 22 Α No. This credit-back program is

separate from the other activities that the Board conducts.

Q I apologize again. You're kind of breaking up on my end. So my apologies for asking redundant questions.

Moving on, the next sentence in that column says marketing promotion expenses shall be credited at a rate recommended by the Board and approved by the Secretary.

So you did discuss in your testimony that you looked at various levels from 50 cents to 65, and you ultimately ended up in around 70 cents. But what type of factors would influence the Board's recommendation for a specific rate?

A I think, well, there were a number of factors that were looked at. And I think at this point that the 70 level was determined to be the most enticing at this point.

But the Board was also very much clear about do we want to potentially incentivize new products versus other types of activities. The program was left quite available, widely open in

terms of what was qualified, not to be limiting in any way, to be fair and equitable.

But that certainly could change over time if the, you know, if the Board decided that they wanted to focus more on one type of activity versus another.

Q So carrying that thought one step further, I can't remember which witness specifically alluded to the possibility of having different rates for different types of activities. Can you explain that thought process there and examples that may come up in the future?

A Certainly. I believe that was, I think, Mr. Norene that spoke to that yesterday. But yes, again, there was discussion about the fact that we want to incentivize potentially new products and new introductions of things versus, say, advertising. And so would the rates be varied?

And certainly they could be varied.

But at this point in time, the Board has

determined that the 70 cents is the applicable rate. But the point is that we left a lot of flexibility in things in the sense that we can go through the informal rulemaking process if we decide we want to change that at a further juncture.

Q And so your comment there about the flexibility and then deciding to go with potentially different rates, is there a process by which that rate would be analyzed, identified, and then approved by the Board?

A Yes, absolutely. So every year, we would be analyzing the program, evaluating it.

And if the group that's analyzing the program, it would be guided by the Marketing Order Revision

Committee, if they determined that they wanted to separate to the different level we would go through a recommendation to the Board and then through the informal rulemaking process.

Q And can you describe the informal rulemaking process?

A Certainly. The committee would review

and discuss the issue at hand. The committee itself would make a formal recommendation to the Board, the full Board, excuse me. And the Board would then direct staff to work with the USDA to develop the ten point justification for the informal rulemaking. And then we would go through the process of moving that through USDA.

Q So does that process include a public comment period for people to express their views on that proposed rate or the proposed changes?

A Yes, it does.

Q Thank you. I'm going to skip to the second page of your testimony. Right at the top of that page you state the reimbursement would be a check, would be made following the submission and processing of the activity subject to the eligibility of submission.

So my first question is you say this will be a check. Do you foresee any other types of reimbursement forms in the future? Will it always be by check?

A At this point, we don't anticipate

that. You know, the Board, for internal procedures, we issue checks for everything that we do here. So that would be the form of reimbursement that we would likely stick with going forward. I don't see that changing anytime soon.

Q Is there a reason why you use checks?

A It's for our internal procedures,
making sure that we're maintaining proper
internal controls. There are two signatories on
checks, you know, everyone in this office has a
various role that they play in attaching anything
that's related to it.

Q So would it be safe to say that it provides a certain, I guess, tracking system, or clarity, and transparency in terms of the paper trail, how things are reviewed, processed, and reimbursed?

A Correct. It's a documentation trail for us. Again, it's an internal control.

Q Thank you. Moving down on the same page of testimony there, you speak to Paragraph

B. And there's a note in italics that says wholly owned subsidiaries, no third party affiliate. Can you explain what you mean by that and why it's important?

A Absolutely. So we have to remember that the handler is paying assessment for the entities that are regulated under the order. So therefore, the handler or its wholly owned subsidiary are only the ones that are eligible, not a third party or affiliate.

Q Can you give an example of when a third party or an affiliate may be party to a promotional activity?

A Well, so for example, one of our handlers perhaps owns an operation that's under their own veil, if you will, that produces a line of snack products, for example. And so they may not be the handler itself. It's another name, it's a brand and name of a product, for example. That would be a case where it would be potentially a wholly owned subsidiary.

Q And what's an example of a third party

or an affiliate?

A A third party or an affiliate could be, for example, a handler owns a stake in a snack company. It's not a wholly owned subsidiary, they own 50 percent of Bob's snack company.

Or potentially, it's some other third party organization like a, I don't know, a retailer perhaps, something along this line, retail brand.

Q So how would that work then if the handler is a third party? Do they just get a portion of the expenses reimbursed, or how would you handle that?

A No. There is no third party or affiliate, only wholly owned subsidiaries are eligible. Again, that's because the handlers and their wholly owned subsidiaries, excuse me, are those that are regulated under the order.

Q Okay, thank you for that clarification. So does that tie in then to Paragraph C where you state only that only

handlers who perform the activities and who file a claim for credit-back essentially get paid or reimbursed?

A Correct.

Q And you state that the intent is because the Board wants to eliminate potential abuses. Can you expand on that, give us some examples?

A Certainly. So by making sure that the handler is the only one, or the handler's wholly owned subsidiary, are the only ones that are eligible, that eliminates any potential -- if you allow for affiliates or other parties, there is potential for someone else to be using another mechanism.

So we wanted to keep the, the intent was to keep the definition very tight in order to make sure that that does not happen.

Q Thank you. It continues to say that the handlers have to provide documentation including the form and copies of proof of payment, et cetera.

Are you intending to accept any form of documentation, a pile of receipts, or does it have to be presented in a specific format? Do you have any thoughts on that?

A We will, yes, have a process for that.

And the documentation needs to have a certain

level of proof of payment, a certain level of

evidence that the activity has occurred.

And ideally, they would be submitted with, you know, some type of form to keep things uniform, if you will, just to keep it straight for us. We're not necessarily dictating that that evidence looks a certain way. But certainly it would need to be submitted with a form or some mechanism where it keeps things clean across everyone using it.

Q And do you anticipate that your office and your staff would work with handlers who are wanting to use the credit-back system in terms of providing support? Are there questions about documentation or whether or not an activity is considered eligible?

1	A Absolutely. You know, our goal, as I
2	mentioned in my testimony, is to make sure that
3	we make the program information widely available
4	and that we are there to answer questions and
5	address any concerns anyone may have throughout
6	the process.
7	Q And just to confirm, are there any
8	reasons or factors that would preclude a handler
9	from participating?
10	A No. Every handler is eligible under
11	the rules of the program.
12	Q What about a situation where a handler
13	is not current on paying their assessments due
14	for that current year? Would that impact their
15	ability to have the access?
16	A Yes, it would. So the program is
17	widely open, but yes, the Board's expectation is
18	that everyone is current on their assessments
19	before they'd be eligible to receive anything
20	back.
21	Q So what would happen if a handler was,
22	they had made partial payment but not fully

they're not fully caught up on their assessment 1 2 payment? We would certainly talk to them about 3 4 their submission. We would hold it until they 5 are current and could process it at that time. I see. So they would have the ability 6 0 7 to essentially catch up on their payments that They wouldn't be directly disqualified 8 are due. 9 from participating? 10 Α Correct. 11 Thank you. In your statement Okay. 12 regarding Paragraphs E, 1 through 4, you talk 13 about the current list of eligible activities. 14 And you say that the procedures will evolve over 15 time as needs arise. 16 Can you explain what types of factors 17 may cause those needs to evolve? 18 Α Certainly. I mean, we realize that we can't conceive everything that may come before 19 20 us. So we're certainly living in a time right 21 now when things are changing very rapidly.

So, you know, if there's an activity

we hadn't conceived yet, or perhaps if some of the going standards, industry standards change, like they have 15 percent of gross billings, that was mentioned as an example, you know, there may be a need to revise this. Again, the informal rulemaking process gives us that flexibility to do that.

Q And if those, if the Subcommittee or the Board were considering making changes to what would be considered an eligible activity. Would those meetings be open to the public?

If let's say other interested parties within the industry wanted to provide feedback would they be able to do so?

A Absolutely. All of our meetings are open meetings. As you know, we're a federal marketing order. So, any meeting is open to anyone to attend that wishes to express an opinion.

Q Thank you. Your last paragraph on that second page, you state that the Board established a caveat for accepted professional

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

practices. What is your gauge for determining what an accepted professional practice is?

A Certainly. I think this example gives, is a prime example of how that is. With respect to advertising, when we talk about advertising, the going accepted practice, if you will, is that 15 percent of gross billings.

It's that, that's where the 15

percent agency rate comes into play. That's a

standard. That's a norm. That hasn't changed in

many, many years.

However, if that would change over time, then of course we would want to reflect that, so that we are being, you know, fair enough for all involved.

And in this example I think we used say a 30 percent rate. So, if we received something from, in a submission that showed a going rate of 30 percent, we know that that's not on the mark.

So, there again, that's where those accepted professional practices come in, if you

will, as a gauge to understand what's fair and equitable, and reasonable under the program.

Q Now, what would you, how would a handler, if the handler is, does not agree with a determination made by your staff on the eligibility of a activity, or the parameters of an accepted professional practice. How would the handler address his concerns?

A Certainly. There is an appeals process that would put into place. So, the handler could request the Executive Committee review their claim. If they're not happy with that outcome, it could be escalated for the full Board's review.

If that wasn't enough there certainly would be options that it could go to the Secretary, who ultimately has the final authority.

Q Thank you. I want to turn to the last page of Exhibit 1, to Page 7672, and look at the first column. I'm looking specifically at the applicability, Paragraph B, applicability to

marketing year, and Paragraph E, qualified activities.

So, just to be sure I understand,

Paragraph B, is the intent there that the credit

back program if it were implemented would be

designed for each trade in a single marketing

year at a time?

A Well, the program is being designed, if you will, as a whole, for it to live beyond just a single year. Every year we would determine, we would evaluate it, and determine how we would go forward. The budget would then be determined every year.

Q And I apologize. That definitely wasn't stated very clearly. But just to confirm then, the program is run on a yearly basis?

And the budget is established each year, as well as the reimbursement rate, if you will? And the eligible activities, would those also be reviewed on an annual basis?

A Yes, of course. As we stated earlier, if there was any need to amend anything, you

know, we would want to review how that is working, and go through the process if there was anything to amend that. Yes, we would.

Q Okay. Thank you. Well, the activities that are listed under Paragraph E, qualified activities, which I believe you also referred to as credible expenditures.

This is a fairly extensive list. Can you tell me more about the background of how this list was developed?

Revision Committee looked at all various types of activities that could be included, and determined that these were the most potentially widely used activities which would be supporting the, you know, the goal here, which is to promote, you know, the sale and consumption of California walnuts. So, advertising being the big one, paid media.

Of course, if someone is potentially looking at launching a new product, then one of the ways that you could do that is to detail that

product out to the trade.

So therefore, trade shows would be an important part of that stuff. And as would promotion. Because you're going to want to promote that new product.

So, the, activities selected really ladder up to what the goal is here, which is to, you know, promote use and consumption of walnuts.

Q Are these activities similar to the types of activities you would find in credit back programs for other commodities?

A Yes. Very similar types of activities. I guess the Almond Board the Prune Board do similar types of activities under their program.

Q I want to turn your attention to the middle column, and specifically under Paragraph D(4)(i). You talk about marketing promotion activities, pursuant to a contract with the Foreign Agricultural Service and/or the California Department of Food and Agriculture.

Can you expand on that paragraph, and

what those activities might be? And how you would approach them under this credit back program?

A Certainly. When it comes to the Foreign Ag Service, as well as CDFA, they run various promotional programs that handlers can certainly apply for.

The goal here in working with the Board and the Committee on this, is really to ensure that there's no abuse of this system. So that is if someone is actually using one of these programs they need to confirm to us, as the Walnut Board, that they're not being reimbursed for an activity under that program.

If they are, then they wouldn't be eligible. We don't, we want to, you know, preclude anyone from, if you will, double dipping or abusing the program.

Q And how would you go about, I guess determining if someone is participating in those programs, or cross checking to prevent?

A Well they would, first they would need

to disclose that to us. But also, we have the ability, we work with the Foreign Ag Service and CDFA on a regular basis.

So, there is a way for us to work with their teams to determine, you know, if anyone is utilizing the program on their end. So, there are checks in the system.

Q Looking at the paragraph about that, D(3)(i), it does, it says that handlers name and needs to be used in the promotion. But also the words California Walnuts. Why are the words California Walnuts important?

A Well, it directly relates back to the goal and the mission of this program, which is to promote the sale and consumption of California Walnuts.

So, requiring that is important, so that that's visible and out in front on any activity that's conducted that it is in fact promoting California Walnuts.

Q If a handler were to not include the words California Walnuts would that disqualify

them as having conducted an eligible activity?

A Correct. And there, I believe there is a caveat with an and/or in there, with respect to the brands. So, an and/or. But there have been -- California Walnuts does need to be reflected on the packaging.

Q So, it could just say California Walnuts, and not necessarily be a branded product?

A Correct.

Q Is that correct? Okay. Thank you for that clarification. Jumping back to the first column, the very bottom. I'm on Page 7672.

There's a statement there that says a credit back would be granted for marketing research.

And then in parentheses, except pretesting and test marketing of paid advertising.

Can you explain those terms for us, and why they're excepted?

A Certainly. So, when it comes to this program, and the program's design, the Board's intent was that activities that were considered

normal course of business would be excluded, similar to the ineligible activity list.

So, the reason this is called out specifically is, if you're testing an ad campaign, pre or post, that's considered a normal cost of business. So, it would be therefore excluded.

Q So, pre-testing, and test marketing of paid advertising, those are industry terms for I guess testing out an ad before you issue it? Or does that mean that --

A Exactly. Yes, correct. So, pretesting, or test marketing, yes. It's basically seeing what the consumer, gauging the consumer level of acceptability toward what you're doing.

Q Thank you. And a couple of other activities that are not included in the paid activities, according to this Exhibit 1. I'm sorry, the eligible activities. One is travel expenses. Why are travel expenses not included?

A Again, that goes back to what is deemed a normal cost of business, which would be

something that you would normally be doing in the context of conducting these activities, versus something that's specific to promoting the sale and consumption of walnuts.

Q It also states promotional activities that result in price discounting. What does that mean, price discounting? And why is that excluded?

A Sure. Price discounting would be in the context of a sales promotion. There tends to be price discounting that's utilized, or a discount off a product. That helps potentially entice consumers to buy it.

And that was expressly excluded because the goal here is to promote the sale and consumption of the product. And so, discounting the product doesn't meet the means for that end. You know, if the handler chose to do that on their own, that's fine. But not something that the Board would reimburse for.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then, it is also stated in Exhibit 1 that no credit back will

be given for any activity that targets farming or 1 grower trade. Can you explain why those 2 activities are excluded, and what they might 3 4 include? 5 When it comes to activities targeted at the farming trade, let's say, for 6 7 example, you wanted to take billboard ads on the side of the highway. 8 9 Well, that's wonderful for him to 10 promote their business. But that's not 11 necessarily meeting the goal or the means to an 12 end, which is again to promote the sale and 13 consumption of walnuts. 14 Thank you. At this time I have Q Okay. 15 no further questions. Thank you. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else from USDA 17 have examination of this witness? 18 MS. VAWTER: Your Honor, this is Terry 19 Vawter. I have a question. 20 JUDGE STROTHER: Ms. Vawter, your 21 witness. 22 BY MS. VAWTER:

1	Q Michelle, when you were talking about
2	third parties and why they don't get a portion of
3	the reimbursement, could it simply boil down to
4	the fact that they're not the party that's paying
5	the assessment?
6	A Yes, that's correct. That's exactly,
7	I believe, what I said in my testimony was that
8	yes, the handler's paying the assessment. And
9	their wholly owned subsidiaries are the only ones
LO	that are regulated under the order.
L1	Q Okay. Good. I just wanted to clarify
L2	that. Thank you. That's all, Your Honor.
L3	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else, USDA?
L 4	Ms. Schmaedick, I should ask you now, do you
L5	still have a need to recall Mr. Carriere and Mr.
L6	Mariani? I'm not
L7	MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor, this is
L8	Rupa Chilukuri, USDA. I do have questions for
L9	Ms. Connelly. I'm not sure as to your question
20	to Ms. Schmaedick. I think she's on. But
21	MS. SCHMAEDICK: I'm sorry. It just,
22	I, if a question was asked, I apologize. I

1	missed it. What was the question?
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Do you still have a
3	need to recall the previous witnesses, Mr.
4	Mariani and Mr. Carriere? I'm checking
5	(Telephonic interference)
6	JUDGE STROTHER: by Ms. Connelly
7	that her testimony might satisfy you. That's all
8	I'm following up on.
9	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. if it is still
10	possible I would like to recall Mr. Mariani and
11	Mr. Carriere.
12	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay.
13	MS. SCHMAEDICK: But that, obviously
14	after this is finished.
15	JUDGE STROTHER: All right. All
16	right, Ms. Chilukuri, your witness.
17	BY MS. CHILUKURI:
18	Q Ms. Connelly, I just wanted to clarify
19	some terms for the record. So, you refer, I
20	think in your testimony it says crop and fiscal
21	year. And I think you may have also said
22	marketing year. Can you tell me the differences

between those terms?

A Sure. Under the marketing order the official term is marketing year. So, I apologize if I confused anyone. We tend to use terms interchangeably when we refer to our fiscal year or our crop year. They all mean the same thing, which is the September 1 to August 31 timeframe.

Q Thank you. And as it relates to other terms, there was discussion of creditable expenditures, qualified activities, eligible activities, all these different terms.

And I'd like to look to the regulatory text and talk about the terms that are used there. So, can you tell me what a creditable expenditure would be?

A So, a creditable expenditure, they mean one and the same. But yes, in the regulatory text context we're referring to the same thing.

So, that would be any of those activities, and activities, reimbursements against those activities, a qualified

expenditure, if you will.

Q Okay. I'm taking a look at Exhibit 1.

And I'm looking at 984.46(c). And I think it's a slight distinction. But I want to see if you think there is a distinction. So part of the --

A All right.

Q -- expenditures --

A Sorry, could you repeat where you are?

Q Sure. I don't see it up on the screen. So, I'm trying to see if there's some -- Andrew, could you, Andy Hatch, could you please put that Exhibit 1 back on the screen, so we could take a look? Thank you.

So, I'm taking a look at, it would be the first, it would be 7671 in terms of page number. And it would be 984.46(c), creditable expenditures.

A Yes.

Q Okay. So, I see that it's listed separately than what's under 984.546, qualified activities, which is under E. So, I'm asking, is there a distinction, even if it is slight?

1 Α No. These are referring to the same thing. We're using the terms interchangeably. 2 And what do the terms mean? 3 0 4 Α So, in the case of a creditable 5 expenditure it's those expenditures against the marketing promotions that have been paid, similar 6 7 to the other qualified activities. Again, it's a creditable expenditure that's resulting from the 8 9 qualified activities. So, it's one and the same. 10 And I think Ms. Schmaedick had Okav. 0 11 discussed with you a little bit as it relates to 12 how the Board chose the \$0.70 rate. Can you go 13 into a little bit more on the decision making 14 process, and the factors that you considered in 15 determining that? Why wasn't it 100 cents? 16 Α Most certainly. Well, the Board 17 evaluated the various types of activities. 18 There's other groups that have credit back 19 programs, and they use various different rates. 20 So again, it led to a robust 21 discussion about really what made the most sense, 22 you know, for us, and for our organization.

it really was determined that that 70 cent level 1 2 would really entice the handler to participate. And that's really what we want, is we 3 4 want people participating, to make this as 5 successful as it can be. And I was wondering if you could give 6 0 7 me a couple of examples of how product promotion 8 would work in practice? So for instance, if I have a candy 9 10 bar, and I were to promote that using a qualified 11 activity, and that candy bar is mixed with some 12 other nuts? 13 I'll let you sort of fill out the hypo 14 based on maybe reality, or whatever make sense. 15 How would I compute what I should get reimbursed 16 on? 17 Α Absolutely. Mr. Hatch, could you 18 bring up my PowerPoint? While we're waiting for 19 him to do that I can walk us through. Thank you, 20 Mr. Hatch. Could you go to the last page, 21 please?

So, Ms. Chilukuri, these are examples

of how that potentially could work. So, if a product, for example in a snack bar has, you know, 30 percent of walnuts, again, it's walnuts that, only the walnut portion that would qualify under a mixed based activity. So, the eligible credit back would be 30 percent.

So, Mr. Hatch, if you could go back, or up a slide? So, here you would use this formula to then calculate what your various rate was, based on the percentage of walnuts, as you can see here.

So, you take the expense, plus the credit back percentage, which in that case would be 30 percent. And that would give you the new amount. Apply that by the 70 percent credit back rate. And that would give you the amount.

Q Okay. And as it relates to that, I see in 984.546(E)(ii), it says the clear and evident purpose of each qualified activity shall be to promote the sales, consumption, or use of California Walnuts.

So, how does that work, for instance,

with a mixed product?

- A Well, not --
- Q Sorry. Go ahead.

A I'm sorry. So, the, only the walnut portion would be eligible. Again, with the understanding that of course it's only walnuts that are eligible under the program.

We wanted to make this somewhat flexible in the sense that we know that, you know, several of our processors also handle say almonds, and some other types of products.

We wouldn't want to preclude a snack mix from being eligible for the walnut portion, because we know that may helping to boost consumption. Again, the walnut portion is in line with the goals and objectives of the program.

Q And in terms of, for instance joint participation by a handler and a manufacturer for a complementary product you would, a handler would have to have a brand on there, or the words California Walnuts? Is that correct?

A Correct.

Q You also, I think in your PowerPoint you referred to eligible activities. So, I don't see that in the regulatory text. So, that would be, you're using that sort of as common terms, that that would be a qualified activity? Is that accurate to say?

A That is correct. That is correct.

Q Okay. And just in terms of, if you could sort of walk us through how the assessments work? And why I ask that is just, I'm trying to figure out the timing of these different components of this program. So, are assessments done, or paid on a yearly basis, or monthly, quarterly? How does that work?

A Sure. Assessments are collected throughout the year. It's based on inspections. And so, it depends on how those inspections are then, how they, how the handlers submit that information to us. So, it's typically, you know, on a monthly basis. And so, it's, yes.

Q Okay. So, and backing out even

further, as it relates to the program can you walk me through, and these don't have to be completely precise in terms of the timing.

But when would a budget be formulated, assessments be issued, and then communication regarding activities? How exactly do you envision that working?

A Sure. I can actually give a very practical world example. We actually have been going through the process of building our budget now, which is typically what we do in the spring.

And we'll present the budget to the Board in our spring meeting. We do that in a timely manner, because USDA has to confirm our activities. And that takes a period of time before the year begins.

So, in the case of real world example, we actually will be making a recommendation,

Executive Committee will be making a recommendation to the Board to include a portion of assessments for this program.

Then we will go through the process of

having the Board meeting. Then, when the Board approves, then come September USDA will confirm our budget over the summer. And then, come the new year, September 1, our new marketing year, the program would be announced.

Our vision, of course, assuming that the USDA confirms on our budget, we would then communicate out to the handlers with all of the regular communications that happen at the beginning of the year, all the forms, and the various things they have to complete throughout the year.

This would be part of that handler packet. So that they would understand the program is open to them. And of course then how they use it. And then, what their obligations are under the program.

Q Okay. And in terms of being able to participate, handlers have to be current on assessments, correct?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q I wanted to take a look at the

qualified activities that you have set out. I'm curious if the qualified activities under 984.546(E) do, does the Board engage in any of these qualified activities as part of their generic marketing effort?

A Yes, we do. Several of the activities are standard activities, if you will. But I think the distinct difference here to make is that, you know, what the Board is able to do under these types activities is a generic promotion.

What the handlers will be able to do is a branded level promotion, which gives them additional exposure to walnuts.

Q And when you say generic promotion that would entail that it just says California Walnuts? Or what, how would that be different?

A Correct. Correct. It's just, it's generic in activity. It's California Walnuts.

There's no brand. There's no name, if you will.

Q Okay. Let's see. I have a couple more questions that relate, as it relates to the

reg text. Let me make sure I'm looking at the right language.

So, at 984.546(d) there is a reference to the applicability to marketing year. And it says, credit backs will be granted only for creditable expenditures for qualified activities that are conducted and completed during the marketing year.

So, in terms of language, conducted and completed. So, if I'm a handler, and I have a marketing activity that goes over two year, how does that work in terms of the claim that I submit?

A So, you're only eligible for what you, the activity that's conducted within a given year. So, if it goes over two years you'd have to be, ask for reimbursement under your, the first year, and under the second year. We would not allow for any flexibility in that.

Because again, these dollars are budgeted for on an annualized basis. And therefore, you can only have a claim back against

that activity for the year in which it is given. 1 2 So, in theory, in your hypothetical the handler could submit for the portion that's 3 4 available to them in the first year, and then 5 potentially in the second year. But they have to 6 be kept separate. 7 Okay. And I understand, based on your 0 8 testimony, that assessments are paid monthly. 9 So, if I have a marketing campaign where I shell 10 out all the money up front in the marketing year, 11 but I haven't paid my assessments, what do I do 12 with the handlers? 13 Do I submit that to you, and just wait 14 until my assessments are paid? Do I not submit 15 it to you? How do I approach that? 16 Well again, your assessments would Α 17 have to be current, would have to be paid. 18 yes, you have to have enough, have paid in enough 19 to get something back. 20 So, if the claim exceeds what's 21 allowable at that point, then we would wait until 22 that, they've paid in what is appropriate for

them to be reimbursed for.

Q Okay. And just going down, I think
Ms. Schmaedick had asked you about informal
rulemaking in that process. I want to ask you,
just in terms of the differences.

What does, I'm not sure if I'm making this clear. But 984.46, can you tell me the two differences between those two provisions? How 984.46 operates, and how 984.546 operates?

A Sure. Well, 46, or excuse me, yes, the first portion, 46, is basically the change to the language that is required here.

Then the 546 is really the working level operations, which typically might be done in a separate process. But for efficiency's sake we've done both of these things at the same time. So that the industry is able to get closer to our objective of implementing this program in the next marketing year.

Q Okay. I also wanted to look at some language in 984.46 specifically, under Part A, and see if you'd be open to a clarification

change.

So, in 984.46(a) the last sentence is, the expenses of such projects shall be paid from funds collected pursuant to 984.69 and 984.70, and may be credited back pursuant to Paragraph C of this section.

C refers to creditable expenditures.

And I'm wondering if the California Walnut Board would be open to also including Paragraph B in that sentence. B refers to credit back for promotion expenses.

A As a further clarification? I'm not sure I understand your question.

Q The way the reg text, the regulatory text reads right now it only refers to Paragraph C. So, I'm asking, in terms to clarify the language if the California Walnut Board would be open to adding Paragraph B in that sentence?

So, it would read, and may be credited back pursuant to Paragraphs B and C of this section.

A That would include --

1	Q It also
2	A the eligibility for reimbursement?
3	Is that what you're asking? B and C? Because B
4	is assessment payments, and C is eligible,
5	handler eligibility for reimbursement.
6	Q I'm looking at 984.46.
7	A Okay. I'm sorry. I'm still not
8	understanding your question here.
9	Q My question is
10	A Oh, I see. Okay. There was a typo
11	there. Yes. Now I understand your question.
12	Okay. May be credited back pursuant to
13	Paragraph, it would have to be, yes, B and C.
14	Q Okay. Thank you. I think those are
15	all my questions for now. Thank you very much,
16	Ms. Connelly.
17	A Thank you.
18	MS. VAWTER: Your Honor, this it Terry
19	Vawter. May I ask Ms. Connelly a question,
20	please?
21	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes, you may, Ms.
22	Vawter.

BY MS.	VAWTER:
--------	----------------

Q Thank you. Michelle, you talked about handler packets. Can you tell me, are handler packets something that you put a collection of information, forms, and other material that you provide to the handlers on an annual basis?

A Yes, that is correct. Those are sent out to handlers on an annualized basis. So, every year before the season, as we get into the beginning of the season, all of their obligations, forms, everything is communicated to them.

Q And so, do you propose that when you, when this program is put into effect the handler packet will not only include things it has normally included, but it will include information with regard to the credit back program? Is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q All right. Thank you. I appreciate your answer. That's all, Your Honor.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Anyone else

from USDA?

MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor, Tracy
McGowan would like to speak. Do you have
speaking capabilities, Tracy.

MS. MCGOWAN: Yes. I just got them back. Thank you, Andrew. I just have a couple of questions. And I have to apologize, I lost connectivity for a few minutes.

And so, if either of these questions are repetitive, or a question that was asked earlier while I was not logged in, I apologize ahead of time. So, please just let me know if there's a repetition here.

I just have a couple of questions.

So, I'm wondering, under the regulatory text, and this is at 984.546(e)(I). That's the provision that says that credit backs shall be that which is appropriate when compared to acceptable professional practices and rates for the type of activity conducted.

And I know that the issue of what constitutes acceptable professional practices was

addressed earlier in the questioning. But I'm wondering on rates.

If you had a claim where it was for a creditable expenditure, but the Board determined that the rate was too high that was paid, that was, you know, above the market, what would happen to that claim? How would, would the handler be contacted? Would there be a partial payment made? How would that be handled?

THE WITNESS: Well, certainly when it comes to that, I think earlier I did mention that, you know, if the claim, you know, we would work with the handler to discuss the claim.

Obviously if the claim exceeds what the rate would be, we would work with them. So for example, we would pay potentially up to the amount of what is the norm or the practice, as opposed to the full amount of the claim that they were working towards.

So, like 15 percent versus 30 percent, for example, in the example I gave earlier during my testimony.

BY MS. MCGOWAN:

Q Would there be just a marketing expense, and advertising expense? Not the rate pay to the agency, but just the overall rate that the Board could determine that that was too high?

A We would use, you know, norms that were established. And that's, hence why that 15 percent was used as an accepted norm. If something was outside of that, again, you know, there has to be a determination made about what that norm looks like.

But the goal is to set out in all of the procedures what these things look like, so that there's less interpretation involved on the Board.

Q Okay. And similarly, the, if the Board were to determine that the claim did not include sufficient documentation, would there be a back and forth with the claimant, allowing them to supplement their claim?

A Absolutely. You know, our accounting staff, which you'll be hearing from Ms. Donoho

following my testimony, we would go back and 1 forth with the handler. 2 Of course our goal is to make that a 3 4 process that, and the program as widely used as 5 So of course we want to work with them possible. to make sure that we can help them along, and 6 7 document the process so that it's to their 8 advantage. 9 0 Those are all the questions I have. 10 Thank you. 11 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. And other 12 examiners from USDA? Anyone from the industry 13 proponents? 14 THE WITNESS: Anyone on the phone? 15 That doesn't sound like there's anyone here, 16 Judge. 17 JUDGE STROTHER: No one's called in to The second day is not as popular I guess. 18 19 Anyone who's not a member of either of 20 those two groups? 21 PARTICIPANT: No. 22 JUDGE STROTHER: How about you, Mr.

1 MacTavish? You got any, do you have any 2 questions for Ms. Connelly? You have to, somebody unmute Mr. MacTavish, please. Still 3 4 looks muted to me. There you go. Mr. MacTavish, 5 can you hear me? 6 MR. MACTAVISH: I can. Thank you. 7 JUDGE STROTHER: Do you have any 8 questions? 9 MR. MACTAVISH: I have a couple. 10 JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness. 11 MR. MACTAVISH: Can you hear me? 12 JUDGE STROTHER: We can. I can. 13 MR. MACTAVISH: Yes, just a couple. 14 Hi, Michelle. John MacTavish here. If you 15 would, I was just curious, for these credit back 16 reimbursements, how are they treated for income 17 tax purposes? 18 THE WITNESS: In what respect? I 19 mean, obviously any of the expenditures at the 20 handler level would be their own responsibility 21 for tax purposes. It doesn't, it wouldn't change 22

DV	MR.	MACTAVISH:

Q Well, they're going to get a reimbursement. Are they going to get a check from the California Walnut Board? Will it be income to them? Will it be treated as 1099 income?

A No. No, it will not.

Q So, they will get to write off the full expense of the marketing event, and get paid a 70 percent, receive a 70 percent credit without any tax due on that credit?

So, in that scenario they would actually be out nothing if they were in a high enough tax bracket.

A I can't speak to the individual handler's situation.

Q Just hypothetically. I'm just saying, if you're in a 40 percent combined state and federal tax bracket, and you write off \$100,000 dollar expense, you would have out of pocket expenses of \$60,000.

And I'm going to get a %70,000 dollar

reimbursement from the California Walnut Board. 1 So, I'm \$10,000 dollars to the good. I just 2 wanted clarification that that's the way it is. 3 4 Α Again, I can't speak to what an 5 individual handler's --If it is --6 0 7 -- operation is. I can speak to the Α 8 fact that yes, there, you know, under the program 9 if they're eligible for reimbursement, they will 10 be reimbursed. How they choose to handle that 11 from a tax standpoint is their own operation. 12 Q So, there's been no discussion of how 13 that will be treated to the handler for tax 14 reporting? 15 Α That's outside of the scope of the 16 proposal, sir. 17 JUDGE STROTHER: That was not his 18 question, Ms. Connelly. Let me give a shot at 19 Did this come up in the discussions before this. 20 the California Walnut Board as to what the tax 21 effect of these --

It did not. And it did

THE WITNESS:

not because again, it's not affecting the Board's tax status.

JUDGE STROTHER: Well, could it not be significant as to the benefits and costs to the processors? I'm not challenging that. But, I mean, it's a possibility of what the effect would be. But it's not something that the California Walnut Board considered? Is that correct.

THE WITNESS: That is correct. I mean, the goal here is to, again, to promote the sale and consumption of walnuts. That's the objective of the proposal.

BY MR. MACTAVISH:

Q I understand that's the goal of the proposal. I'm just trying to see, what is the skin in the game, what is the true skin in the game for the handler?

A Well, the handler has to again, as we presented, the handler has to spend. For every dollar that they spend, which is incremental spend on their end, they receive 70 cents back in credit for eligible expenditures.

	Q I understand that. And I guess I'm
2	surprised that this hasn't been addressed. If I
3	spend \$100,000, I write that \$100,000 dollars off
4	as a deductible marketing expense to my business,
5	and I am in a high enough tax bracket, I will end
6	up getting money, net after tax, from this
7	program.
8	MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor
9	MR. MACTAVISH: Because the after tax
LO	costs
L1	MS. CHILUKURI: It seems to be that
L2	this is
L3	(Simultaneous speaking)
L4	MS. CHILUKURI: I don't see a question
L5	that hasn't already been asked and answered. And
L6	I see that this is Mr. MacTavish's testimony,
L7	which we're all happy to hear. But I think it
L8	would be better if he
L9	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Let's move on.
20	MR. MACTAVISH: Well
21	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. MacTavish, you
22	think about this for a minute. You slipped away

2 me just try this again. I asked you if the tax effect of these 3 4 payments was considered by the California Walnuts 5 And I think what you answered was, no, which I would take as a no, if that's right. 6 And 7 then you went into, it's not a part of the 8 proposal. Did this come up in discussions with 9 the California Walnuts Board? 10 THE WITNESS: No, it did not. No, it 11 This was, we were specifically focused 12 on the regulatory text and the inner workings of 13 the program, how it would function. 14 JUDGE STROTHER: Go ahead, Mr. 15 MacTavish, your witness. 16 MR. MACTAVISH: Okay. I've got a 17 couple other quick ones. I'm sorry for taking so

from me a little bit on that, Ms. Connelly.

JUDGE STROTHER:

MR. MACTAVISH: They just got, they just come, they're just questions that kind of pop in my head as I hear, you know, the day's

Not at all.

much time.

18

19

20

21

22

testimony. So, bear with me.

Will, the second question is, will the books and records of program utilization, they types of activities that are conducted in this program, will those be available for California Walnut Board membership review?

Like, could I see what's being done with those promotional credit back dollars? Or is that something that is just in a, kept in a black box for, I guess those that are on the Board to see?

THE WITNESS: Well I, you know, anything that we do is of course of public record. I think, you know, they would be available with a caveat that, you know, anything, there are privacy matters. So, anything that would be available would be available without necessarily the handler entity information being disclosed.

BY MR. MACTAVISH:

Q So, that would be fine if the names were removed. And then another question. You

mentioned that to be qualified for the credit 1 back reimbursement, that the California Walnut 2 Board would have to be included on the packaging. 3 4 And is that in a, or did you? I see you shaking 5 your head. No, I did not. No, not the Board. 6 Α 7 Not California Walnut Board, but 8 California Walnuts. Excuse me, my mistake. 9

apologize for that. Well, the California Walnut, or California Walnuts be a, in a, is it required to have a prominent location on the packaging?

Or could it be just listed below the ingredients? What does the marketing order specify with how prevalent the California Walnuts labeling will be on packages produced?

Α It's on the, it's in the regulatory text, the, and it does indicate that it needs to be highly visible and/or present text.

Q Okay. And then lastly, and this is kind of just a clarification. Because I heard a couple of different responses from you. growers are assessed a, or the handler assesses

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 the grower the four cents per pound. And they 2 collect that typically before you get your first 3 payment. 4 And so, I heard you say that you collect assessments from the handlers on a 5 monthly basis. And so, I'm just wondering, is 6 7 that a requirement for all handlers? So they can 8 collect it I guess whenever they want. 9 they're only required to submit it monthly? 10 The handlers receive, take their Α No. 11 acquisitions, and then submit, you know, upon 12 their acquisitions their invoice. So, they're 13 invoiced from us on a monthly basis as they 14 submit them. 15 So, no claim for reimbursement would 16 be allowed to be processed unless the handler was 17 current on their assessments. So, nothing would 18 be allowed above a level that was received. 19 Q So, when are they required to send you 20 the assessments? 21 Α They're invoiced --22 Q You said monthly?

1	A based on the, they're invoiced
2	based on the acquisitions.
3	Q On the acquisitions?
4	A And that's
5	Q As they receive, as they receive nuts
6	from the growers?
7	A It's as they, yes. Because they
8	receive the product. The handlers receive the
9	product. The handlers then have, as that product
10	is inspected, then they submit. We bill based on
11	what is acquired.
12	Q Okay. So, and then they're required
13	to pay that over the course of the year, monthly?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
16	JUDGE STROTHER: Let me
17	MR. MACTAVISH: That's all I have.
18	JUDGE STROTHER: Stay on the line, Mr.
19	MacTavish. I don't have a position in this. I'm
20	just trying to make a clear record. And I'm
21	afraid the record might be a little muddy as to
22	what this witness is testifying to exactly.

1 If I recall the question there's a 2 You said that the processor charges predicate. 3 the grower a four cent amount? Is that correct, 4 Mr. MacTavish? 5 MR. MACTAVISH: Well, yes. They essentially pass on the assessment to the 6 7 So, they collect what the California Walnut Board, California Walnut Commission 8 9 assessment, which is I think four cents per 10 pound. 11 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. 12 MR. MACTAVISH: So, we pay it as a --13 So, I was just trying to understand how --JUDGE STROTHER: 14 I understand. Stop. 15 Let me, yes, sir. Ms. Connolly, do you agree 16 with that statement? 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Judge, I wasn't, I'm trying to communicate with one of 18 19 your compatriots. Because I really need a bio 20 break. 21 JUDGE STROTHER: Tell you what, let's 22 take a break right now for ten minutes.

we'll come back with you still on the stand. 1 How about that? 2 That's fine. 3 THE WITNESS: 4 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE STROTHER: let's come back at 2 6 7 o'clock Pacific time. Off the record. 8 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 9 went off the record at 12:50 p.m. and resumed at 10 1:01 p.m.) 11 Back on the record. JUDGE STROTHER: 12 Okay. That should be the end of the break. 13 Connolly's still under oath, still on the stand. 14 And I guess I was doing the examining. 15 I'm just trying to make clear for the 16 record what it is you're agreeing to in Mr. 17 MacTavish's cross, and what you're not. 18 Is it your testimony that the process 19 of charges the grower, the four cents, or 20 whatever the assessment is, do you know how 21 that's done, Ms. Connolly? THE WITNESS: It's a contract between 22

the handler and their grower. 1 The Board has no 2 involvement in that. But yes, the assessment is collected however the handler chooses to do that 3 4 with their grower. 5 JUDGE STROTHER: And how it's typically done? 6 7 It, you know, typically? THE WITNESS: 8 It would be hard to say. There's 90 some 9 companies that do this. It may be that they 10 withhold the assessment from the grower payment. 11 It may be that they have another mechanism. 12 don't know. 13 Is how it's done JUDGE STROTHER: 14 something the California Walnuts Board took into 15 account in developing the proposal at issue in 16 this hearing? Because this is in 17 THE WITNESS: No. 18 relation to the handler assessment. The handler 19 assessment is what's paid to us. 20 JUDGE STROTHER: All right. As I 21 understand your answers, no, you didn't take that

into account. Okay. Anything further from you,

1 Mr. MacTavish?

MR. MACTAVISH: No, thank you.

JUDGE STROTHER: Any follow-up? And new follow-up examination by anybody of this witness? Okay. Seeing none. By the way, I assume there were no objections along the way. The folks that are minding those, no comments I may not have noticed? Is that correct?

MS. HOAGLAND: That's correct.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Then I have two exhibits that were identified, that need to be offered for the record. Exhibit 25 is Ms.

Connolly's testimony. Exhibit 26 is her PowerPoint presentation. Exhibit 27 was withdrawn. So, it is not being offered.

Any objections to entering Exhibits 25 and 26 into the record? Hearing none, those exhibits are made a part of this record. And you may step down, Ms. Connolly. Appreciate your testimony today. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-referred to documents were received into evidence

as Exhibit numbers 25 and 26.)

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I did also want to just address a few things that were asked in earlier testimony, that were deferred to me.

If I could clarify those points for the record?

JUDGE STROTHER: I'm sorry. We did say that you would put that, go into that at the end of your statement. And there was no objection at that time. And so, we'll just continue your testimony.

We'll treat this as just a part of, well, we've had cross in between, but we'll go back and do some cross on this part. Please proceed.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

So, there was a question asked with respect to

pull outs, the amount of the acreage that's

coming out of the ground.

USDA in their process under the National Agricultural Statistics Service, when they do their estimates they use an average of a three percent pull out rate for the pull out of

trees.

I did also want to call out on Mr. Hinman's, one of his charts. He indicated the 1920 rate of production being at 650,000 tons. That is not final yet.

USDA will finalize that number when they issue the new report in the fall. So that will come out, or excuse me, August, I believe it's 30th this year.

So, when they issue the objective measurement for 2020 that will actually be a final number. That 650 is typically readjusted for farm use, et cetera, et cetera.

There was a question asked about the 40 percent market penetration rate. I have clarified, that was a nationally representative sample of 1,000 people. The 400, Mr. Hinman, is, was that 40 percent that actually were purchasing the product.

I also wanted to clarify, there was a question asked about the scope of assessments under similar types of programs. So, just as a

range of assessment rates, almonds and prunes use 1 a rate anywhere between ten and 15 percent of 2 their assessments as the rate for the program. 3 4 There was a question asked about 5 substantiating the jobs number. And Mr. Crain's testimony, he indicated 85,000 jobs directly and 6 7 indirectly. This was the result of an economic 8 9 analysis, a separate one that was done by the 10 Board, that looked at the impacts of walnut growers and handlers in the State of California. 11 12 That was a separate piece of research that the 13 Board conducted. So, that was it for the followups for me. 14 Thank you. 15 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Any further 16 questions from USDA? 17 DR. HINMAN: Yes. Don Hinman, USDA. 18 JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness, Mr. 19 Hinman. 20 BY DR. HINMAN: 21 Thank you, Ms. Connolly. I very much Q 22 appreciate those clarifications. Just to be

sure, I had pointed out that the 630 was a forecast. And the 650 was offered as an estimate, just theoretically.

Is it still a reasonable estimate at the moment, based on the only information we have, based on your other shipment data? So, it's not official until they issue the updated figure?

But it is a reasonable estimate for the moment. That's on, based on the information you have at the moment. Is that correct?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q Okay. And then, in the, in your testimony, and then in Dr. Goodhue's you both used this example of a ten percent figure, and a \$2.5 million dollar budget estimate for an example, as a hypothetical for the credit back program.

And we also heard testimony, somebody,

I thought I heard, and maybe you can help me

correct this, that there were estimates, you

know, someone mentioned in the \$3 to \$4 million

range. Is that, did I misunderstand that? Or is that also a possible range of your estimated budget?

A No, that's correct. I mean, at ten percent it would be approximately \$2.7 million. So, for the case of an example.

Q But did you look at, is ten percent just a starting point for a reasonable place to look at for planning, like you do. Did you, were other ranges of that discussed? And what were those ranges, you know, allowing ten percent approximately?

A Yes. So, as I just indicated, the ranges for the various programs that are currently being used by the prune industry and the almond industry, they range from anywhere from ten to 15 percent of assessments.

Almonds has had that rate for several years. I don't know what that translates to into dollars. But, you know, ten to 15 percent is a reasonable starting point, and comparable to what other industries are utilizing.

Q All right. Okay. And then, the 85,000 jobs annually, can you say a little bit more about the study that you commissioned, or whatever it was you did to get that estimate, to say who did that estimate? And basically explain the method that was used?

A Sure. This was, that was conducted by Tetolian and Associates for the Board. It was really looking at the economic impacts of what, if you will, handlers and growers are bringing to the state, in terms of quantifying not only the job, but the revenue levels.

I'd have to pull the study to look at the specifics that they went through. I don't have it off the top of my head. But again, it was, that was really to substantiate the fact that the industry employs, whether directly or indirectly, supports 85,000 jobs. And that's a number that we use often. And we cite for USDA when we're communicating with the Secretary's office.

Q Could you repeat the name of the firm,

1	or spell it all out?
2	A Yes. Tetolian and Associates.
3	They're a Sacramento based firm.
4	PARTICIPANT: Could you spell that,
5	please?
6	THE WITNESS: Oh, gosh. Okay. T-E-T-
7	O-L-I-A-N, and Associates.
8	DR. HINMAN: Okay. Thank you very
9	much. No further questions.
10	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Anyone else
11	from USDA? Anyone from the industry proponents?
12	Anyone that's participating via Zoom? Anyone
13	that's participating via telephone?
14	Okay. Hearing none, I'm going to put
15	the exhibits in. That completes the testimony
16	and examination of Ms. Connolly. Ms. Connolly,
17	for the second time we're going to let you step
18	away, step down from the virtual stand. And we
19	appreciate your testimony today.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE STROTHER: Before we go to Ms.
22	Donoho, should we talk about, is Mr. Mariani

1	available to testify?
2	MR. MARIANI: I'm on the line if you
3	can hear me.
4	JUDGE STROTHER: I can. Ms.
5	Schmaedick asked that you be recalled to the
6	stand. And there wasn't any objection. So, I'm
7	allowing it, assuming you don't have an
8	objection, and based on your availability. Would
9	you like to retake the stand now?
10	MR. MARIANI: I'd be happy to.
11	JUDGE STROTHER: Ms. Schmaedick, are
12	you ready?
13	MS. CHILUKURI: I apologize. I was on
14	mute again. Ms. Schmaedick is ready, yes.
15	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Mr. Mariani,
16	you're still under oath.
17	WHEREUPON,
18	JACK MARIANI
19	was re-called for examination, and having been
20	previously duly sworn, was examined and testified
21	further as follows:
22	JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness, Ms.

1	Schmaedick.
2	Ms. Schmaedick, do you need something
3	to be on the screen of exhibits for Mr. Mariani?
4	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. I believe I'll
5	have questions with regard to Exhibit No. 1, the
6	Federal Register Notice.
7	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Mr. Hatch, can
8	we put Exhibit 1 up on the screen. Thank you.
9	I would ask, Mr. Hatch, I'm sorry to
LO	break this up, but time will go by. Do we have
L1	Mr. MacTavish's statement online as Exhibit 30
L2	yet?
L3	You're on mute. Better take Mr. Hatch
L4	off mute, please.
L5	MR. HATCH: Mr. MacTavish's testimony
L6	is posted to AMS' website. I received
L7	confirmation a few minutes ago. And I'll have
L8	Mr. MacTavish's testimony ready to display when
L9	he testifies.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: Very good. Thank you.
21	Ms. Schmaedick, your witness.
22	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
2	Q Good morning, Mr. Mariani. Thank you
3	for joining us again. How are you all?
4	A earlier difficulties.
5	Q I'm sorry?
6	A I said, Good morning, and I'm sorry
7	about the earlier difficulties.
8	Q Ah, yes. No problem. I'm glad you
9	were able to join us.
10	So I'd like to ask you some questions
11	about Exhibit No. 1. And I'd like to start on
12	page 7671, and then eventually go to 7672. So,
13	that would be the third and fourth pages of that
14	exhibit.
15	Now, Mr. Mariani, just to reconfirm,
16	were you part of the MORC, the subcommittee that
17	did the analysis and drafting of this proposed
18	regulatory text?
19	A Yes, I was.
20	Q And, again just to reconfirm for the
21	record, are you a handler?
22	A Yes. I'm considered a large handler.

1	Q Thank you.
2	I wanted to ask you your with
3	regard to the proposed language under 984.546, in
4	your opinion was there a robust discussion of the
5	terms that are proposed in the paragraphs A
6	through F of 984.546?
7	A Is it possible to view those, the
8	language that you're referring to?
9	Q Sure. So, it begins on page 7671, the
LO	third column. In sort of middle of that third
L1	column down it starts out with paragraph (a)
L2	under 984.546. It says, "Timeliness of
L3	reimbursement claim and credit-back rate."
L4	And then it goes, continues to
L5	paragraph (b), "Assessment payments."
L6	And then it continues to (c), "handler
L7	eligibility" at the top of page 7672.
L8	(d) is "Applicability to marketing
L9	year.
20	(e) is "Qualified activities."
21	And then paragraph (f) is in the third
22	column of page 7672, and that addresses

1	"Appeals."
2	So, 984.546 is the proposed, are the
3	proposed procedures that explain how this program
4	is supposed to work. Is that correct?
5	A That is my belief, yes.
6	Q And so you were involved in the
7	development of these proposed procedures?
8	A Yes, I was.
9	Q In your opinion as a handler, do the
10	activities that are described under paragraph
11	(e), "Qualified activities," do they accurately
12	capture the types of activities that a handler
13	would be involved in regarding promotion to
14	promote consumption of walnuts?
15	A In paragraph (e). Let me take a peek
16	at it here as it's coming over my screen.
17	Okay. Yes, those were the, those were
18	the areas that we discussed very thoroughly
19	during our conversations at the MORC committee.
20	And agree that those, those were the, those were
21	the areas that we discussed and recommended.

Q

Thank you.

And those activities does it include activities that both large handlers and small handlers would be engaged in or could be engaged in if they chose to?

A Yes. We had a lot of conversation along those lines. And as I think you've heard, over these last two days there's been discussions about trying to grow our market, trying to create some new demand. And they were talking a lot about some new, creating new products, whether they be snack nuts, or butters, or milk.

But one of the things that we specified during our MORC conversations is we wanted to leave it as wide open as possible so any, any handler, large or small, would be able to utilize the opportunity, and which would include just regular shelled or in-shell walnuts. So that was one or one of our reasons for trying to make it as open-ended as possible so it would be so inclusive not to exclude any part of our industry.

Q Thank you.

And with regard to now I am switching myself over to page 7671, the third column, looking at paragraph (a) which is, "Timeliness of reimbursement claim and credit-back rate." And if I understand this paragraph (a) correctly, it describes the process for which a handler would submit their claim and sort of the parameters for that reimbursement being issued.

I want to draw your attention to one specific part that states "that such creditable expenditures" must be "documented to the satisfaction of the Board within 15 days after the end of that marketing year."

Do you understand that language? Can you describe what that means to you?

A Well, my understanding -- and I would ask Ms. Connelly later to correct me if I'm wrong -- but my understanding would be that the handler would provide the Board proof of his activities to be reimbursed.

And this would have to be done so in

1	a timely manner, realizing that the marketing
2	year of the Board ends on a certain date. And
3	these activities must be performed within that
4	that time frame to be submitted, submitted for
5	reimbursement from the Board during their
6	marketing year.
7	Q And does the 15 days, does that se

Q And does the 15 days, does that seem like a reasonable amount of time for a handler to submit the documentation, that 15 days within the -- or after the end of the marketing year?

A I think it's reasonable. I mean, after all, the handler is working on a marketing year 365 days, so I think that's a reasonable amount of time.

Q Any other alternatives recommended based on your recollection of the meeting?

A No, I don't think, in my recollection we didn't -- this was presented to us and I think the group thought that was a reasonable amount of time for a handler working under an entire year's flexibility, realizing that the Board's marketing year is, you know, defined very strictly by the

USDA, so we thought that was a reasonable recommendation.

Q Thank you.

And as a handler -- before I proceed

I want to direct your attention now to page 7672,

paragraph (f), which is in the third column,

middle of that column, and it is titled

"Appeals." I want to ask you your opinion of the

appeals process that is being proposed.

Are you comfortable with the terms of the appeals process as they are proposed?

A Yes. Yes, I am very comfortable with it. I think our Board manager and our staff at the Board is very capable of addressing virtually every type of issue that may come in front of them. They're very capable and competent.

If in fact that the handler disagrees with their decision it would go to the Executive Committee, who I believe also is very, you know, very open minded. And one that, you know, the goal, the entire goal here is to create more demand. And I think, you know, everybody is

cognizant of that fact and what our goal is.

And if things are reasonable, they're going to be approved. If they're not, you know, if the Executive Committee also declines it and then, Ms. Connelly previously mentioned, it could go to the USDA eventually or the Secretary for final determination. So, I think it's a very logical and fair form of, you know, solving any dispute that we've spelled out here in this language.

Q Thank you.

And my last question for you addresses the proposed language that's directly above that, paragraph (f), "Appeals." It's a statement -- or, I apologize, it's paragraph (D)(iii). It says, "Checks from the Board in payment of approved credit-back claims will be mailed to handlers within 30 days of receipt of eligible claims."

Can you give me your opinion on that period of 30 days?

A I would say it's very, I would say

it's very fair. As long as it doesn't put a overly-burdened office of the Walnut Board to because it's done in a timely manner. But that, you know, I'd say if it's possible to be done in 30 days that's a very, I think, very fair from a handler's standpoint.

Q Thank you.

Does this fall within the lines of standard business operations to be payable within 30 days?

- A Very much so.
- Q Thank you.

Overall, do you believe that the proposed language is written in a way that is understandable for handlers participating in the industry, in your opinion?

A Yes, I do.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I guess this witness is called back for all purposes. Anyone else from USDA?

1	MS. VAWTER: Yes. This is Terry
2	Vawter. I have a question or two, please.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness.
4	MS. VAWTER: Thank you.
5	BY MS. VAWTER:
6	Q Mr. Mariani, in regards to the 15 days
7	by which the handlers should provide all
8	documentation for marketing activity undertaken
9	in the marketing year, is it possible that there
10	will be handlers who will submit claims
11	throughout the year and/or they have a project
12	that they do, say, over the holiday season that
13	they would certainly meet certainly exceed the
14	15 days by if they have a project that was done
15	in December and they turn their paperwork in in
16	February. So, I think my point is, is it
17	conceivable that not every handler will be
18	submitting all their claims at the end of the
19	year?
20	A Oh, I think very much so. I would
21	assume as a handler myself, I would be surprised

if our company was not submitting our, our

1	activities for reimbursement after a certain
2	project was completed. I don't know why anybody
3	would want to wait till the very last moment.
4	So, I definitely think that most, you
5	know, the vast majority of the recommendations
6	for reimbursement would be made much earlier than
7	the end of the marketing year.
8	Q Okay. I appreciate that.
9	One more thing. I believe that many
10	of the witnesses have stated that one of the
11	goals of the program is for the Board to remain
12	flexible in what they see as authorized or
13	eligible activities, and would adjust the program
14	as they found that it needed to be adjusted.
15	Is that your opinion as well, please?
16	A Definitely so.
17	MS. VAWTER: All right. I appreciate
18	it.
19	Thank you, Your Honor, I'm done.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else from USDA?
21	MS. CHILUKURI: Yes, Your Honor. Rupa
22	Chilukuri with USDA.

JUDGE STROTHER: Counsel, your witness.

BY MS. CHILUKURI:

Q Mr. Mariani, just going by what other people have asked you, I want to talk a little bit more about the qualified activities and what's covered and what's not covered.

So, under 984.546(e), that lists the qualified activities. And then if you get down to I think it's 5(ii) and then (A), (B), (C), and (D), that lists additional qualified activities, I just wanted more information on what factors the Board and various committees considered in determining what should be qualified activity and what shouldn't be qualified activity?

MORC's philosophy was to make any activity that would be a strong possibility of increasing demand or sales for walnuts a qualified activity. And I think the areas that have been included pretty much shows, shows the MORC's ideas of, you know, expanding those to as many areas as possible while still trying to maintain a very

fair way for the industry's money to be invested.

Q So if I understand you correctly you are trying to balance between being flexible and inclusive in terms of activities, but also as Ms. Connelly talked about potential abuses, so just balancing different, different practices along those lines? Is that accurate?

A Very much so. Very much so, yes. I think, you know, we definitely had a lot of discussion about trying to avoid abusing any of this recommended program that we're trying to bring forward to benefit our California walnut growers and try to get prices, over the long term, back up over the cost of production.

And I think a lot of times it gets
lost that, you know, walnuts, you know, tree nuts
in particular, walnuts specifically, it takes -you know, once a grower finally gets those
walnuts in the ground it takes, you know, it's
approximately 5 years before he starts to see any
type of return on it.

So, they've got a huge investment and

a loss over, you know, for four or five years.

And then finally when they start producing, you know, some of the losses that we've seen per acre on some of the charts yesterday, it's just, it's just terrible.

So, you know, that's what's brought us to this point and try to create this, this new area for trying to create more sales and more demand. And, you know, that's why I think when we look at the areas that we voted for, areas that we could legally try to promote and advertise walnuts we made it as inclusive as possible, while at the same time to avoid any, anybody abusing the program.

Q I just wanted to ask you a question as sort of a hypothetical that I think Ms. Connelly asked you as well.

So, if I have a beet salad and I want to do a -- I want to do an in-store demonstration and I want to make a salad with beets, walnuts, walnut oil, arugula, would that be covered under the reg text as you have it set forward now?

1	A That's a good question. You know, I
2	would assume as long as it remains part of that,
3	this you just described, it probably would be.
4	That's a little bit of a technical question. I'm
5	not sure if I've got the total answer for it.
6	But I think, you know, the goal is obviously if
7	it creates more demand, more sales for walnuts
8	probably, yes, it would be included.
9	But I wouldn't know if I'd be the
10	person to actually answer that question.
11	MS. CHILUKURI: Okay, thank you. Thank
12	you very much.
13	No further questions, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Anyone else
15	from USDA?
16	(No response.)
17	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Mariani, I think
18	I'll let you say anything you want at the end of
19	any further questions, so you don't have to speak
20	up now.
21	But any examination from anyone else
22	in the industry proponents category?

1	(No response.)
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone have any
3	question that's participating through Zoom?
4	(No response.)
5	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone that's phoned
6	in have any questions?
7	MR. HATCH: Mr. MacTavish did indicate
8	that he has a question. I'm trying to activate
9	his mike.
10	Mr. MacTavish, can you speak?
11	JUDGE STROTHER: It shows he's muted on
12	my screen, Mr. Hatch.
13	MR. MACTAVISH: How about now, can you
14	hear me now?
15	MR. HATCH: Yes.
16	JUDGE STROTHER: We can.
17	MR. MacTAVISH: I have a quick question
18	for Can you hear me?
19	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes. Your witness,
20	Mr. MacTavish.
21	BY MR. MacTAVISH:
22	Q Just a quick question. I was curious

1	if the Walnut Marketing Board could share with us
2	what the credit-back ratio was for the almond and
3	prune industry, much discussions. And it's a
4	program designed after those programs and I'm
5	just curious what ratio they reimbursed
6	participants in their program?
7	A If you're asking me, I don't have that
8	information. I'm sure that, I'm sure Ms.
9	Connelly or somebody could make that available to
10	you at a later date, but offhand I don't have
11	those numbers.
12	Q Do you think it's more than 70 percent
13	or less?
14	A I think it's probably somewhere in the
15	same area, but I just, I don't have an exact
16	number.
17	MR. MacTAVISH: Okay, fair enough. I
18	thought it would be valuable for the record just
19	to have, you know, what the other marketing
20	orders authorize.
21	That's all I got. Thank you.
22	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Mariani, do you

1 have anything you want to say after your examination since you're acting essentially as 2 your own lawyer? That's why I'm going about it 3 4 this way. Any redirect on yourself? 5 MR. MARIANI: Nothing from my end, Your 6 Honor. 7 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. That completes 8 your, your examination. You didn't have any 9 exhibits that I recall, so you may step down from 10 the virtual stand. And thank you for your 11 testimony today. 12 (Witness excused.) 13 JUDGE STROTHER: So, I guess did we ever get hold of -- I see that Mr. Carriere is on 14 15 my screen but muted. And we determined that he 16 was going to come back on the stand. 17 Do you still want him, Ms. Schmaedick, I guess is the first question. 18 19 MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes, Your Honor. Ιf 20 Mr. Carriere is available that would be helpful. 21 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Mr. Carriere,

are you willing to come back on the stand and

1	answer some further questions?
2	MR. CARRIERE: Yes. I'm here. Ready.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Very good.
4	Ms. Schmaedick, Mr. Carrier is still
5	under oath.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	WILLIAM CARRIERE
8	was re-called for examination, and having been
9	previously duly sworn, was examined and testified
LO	further as follows:
L1	JUDGE STROTHER: Ms. Schmaedick, your
L2	witness.
L3	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you.
L4	BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
L5	Q And, yes, we're still in the morning.
L6	Good morning, Mr. Carriere. Thank you for coming
L7	back.
L8	I would like to pose to you very much
L9	the same questions that I posed to Mr. Mariani
20	regarding the proposed regulatory text under
21	Section 984.546 specifically. That language is
22	part of Exhibit 1, and I'm focusing on the last

two pages of Exhibit 1, pages 7671 and 7672.

And so, generally, can you remind us are you representing yourself as a handler?

A Yes. I'm a handler. I'm a grower.

And I was on the Market Order Revision Committee,
or am on the Market Order Revision Committee. So
I remember all these points.

Q As you were participating in the discussion of, for example, the list of qualified activities do you feel that the discussion was robust and allowed for consideration of many different types of activities that may or may not apply?

A Yes, definitely. As I mentioned in my testimony, it was robust, and not just that one meeting, we had several meetings. We discussed everything from, you know, what's an eligible activity, what's a qualified activity.

What the, what the intent of the whole thing was, as Mr. Mariani mentioned, was to make it as inclusive as possible without allowing for people to abuse the program. So that's why we

discussed lots of different examples: what would be included, what would not be included, you know, the third party discussion.

We didn't want, as an example, I threw out that I would sell walnuts to Walmart and Walmart could advertise my walnuts, and that's not a reimbursable expense because I don't own Walmart. And so that would be something that would not qualify. Those are all kinds of examples that were discussed.

Q Thank you.

And so you, just to restate, you are both a handler and a producer. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q So, what is your position on the exemption of credit-back for any activities that target farming or grower trade? Do you believe that that's a fair activity to not have qualified as a reimbursable activity?

A Yeah, absolutely. We don't want this money to be used to grow your, your handle. I mean I, we send out -- we advertise to get more

growers to market through us, for example. But that doesn't increase the consumption of walnuts, so that's not the purpose of this program at all, and that's why it's not included.

Q Thank you.

In your role as handler do you have -do you engage at all in any promotion activities
through the Foreign Agricultural Service or the
California Department of Food and Agriculture
Program?

A We have in the past. For example, the trade show booth, we went to a trade show and we shared it with an almond handler and a prune handler. And we were representing walnuts. And CDFA helped pay for the booth.

And that was also discussed, you know.

Ms. Connelly talked about double-dipping, so we
wouldn't -- that wouldn't be an eligible
reimbursement because they already paid for it.

So, you couldn't be able to prove that you paid
for it if you were reimbursed.

Q Thank you.

In your opinion do the terms that are being proposed for submitting documentation, including the time frame of, last opportunity, if you will, to file a claim within 15 days after the end of the marketing year, do those seem reasonable to you?

A Yeah. Yeah, I think so. We did discuss that a little bit.

But as Mr. Mariani mentioned, I would be in the same opinion that, you know, if we were advertising, for example, something in October, I would gather all the data and submit the reimbursement as soon as I had enough qualified assessments paid, for example, to get reimbursed for it. I wouldn't wait until August or the 15 after -- the 15 days after August actually. I would do it as soon as possible to get my money back as quickly as possible.

Q I see. So, I'm just going to use an example to make sure my understanding is correct.

If your calculated cap or your maximum amount reimbursable let's say is \$1,000, and over

the course of the first couple of months of the marketing year you pay in \$100 of assessments, you then would -- and you had activities that let's say qualified for \$50 of reimbursement, your intention then would be to submit that request for reimbursement as soon as possible?

A Yeah. I mean, that's a pretty small number but I'd probably wait until the \$100 was there. But this is kind of how to report, you know, most of, we're charged most of our fee at the beginning of the season because that's when most of the crop is sold. It's very seasonal. So, most of our assessments are due pretty quickly after harvest.

You know, as we ship product out we ship most of our product very quickly. And, granted, some is held quite a long time but most of it is shipped pretty quickly. So, I think we would pay in enough assessments at the beginning of the season so that that wouldn't be an issue.

I think Ms. Vawter's example of waiting till February would be reasonable, you

	know, because then we'd have plenty of time to
2	get through the busy season, get all our
3	paperwork together. And certainly if I still had
4	outstanding reimbursable expenses or qualified
5	activities, or interchangeable terms available,
6	you know, in August, then I would have plenty of
7	time because that's kind of the slowest time of
8	the year for us. So that 15 days is no problem
9	at all.
10	Q Thank you.
11	And this reimbursement mechanism, if
12	you will, was it designed specifically to
13	facilitate the quick reimbursement of handler
14	promotional expenses the expenses that qualify?
15	A That was the intent, yes.
16	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay. Thank you.
17	I have no further questions. Thank
18	you.
19	JUDGE STROTHER: Questions from anyone
20	else at USDA?
21	(No response.)
22	JUDGE STROTHER: Questions from

1	industry proponents?
2	(No response.)
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Questions from anyone
4	on Zoom?
5	(No response.)
6	JUDGE STROTHER: Questions from anyone
7	that has called in?
8	(No response.)
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Do you have anything
10	further to say, Mr. Carriere?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, I would just like
12	to address one thing. You know, we communicate a
13	lot with our growers on all these things.
14	Whenever there's changes; they have questions.
15	And I think it's twofold. It is the
16	responsibility of the handler to get out and tell
17	their growers what's going on. Part of my role
18	being on the Walnut Board is to hear about this
19	stuff and communicate it to constituents, so to
20	speak. And especially our growers, we
21	communicate all of this to our growers.
22	So, any time a grower comes in asks,

Is this going to cost me money? Or are you going, you know, is this going to lower my return, or whatever? We explain it in detail to them as much as possible. But we don't hand them our financials. And I think we explain enough that our growers trust us and trust that we're making good business decisions. And I think that's the end of it.

If a grower's not happy with the way I'm spending my money or the way I'm applying or not applying for credit-backs, for example, and they're not happy with that, then they should go to another handler. And we encourage that, actually. We want growers that trust us and trust that we're using these programs and our -- their money to pay for expenses to market their crop at the highest value. And that's, that's why we're here.

Like I mentioned in my testimony,
we're our largest grower, and so my goal is to
return the maximum return to my farm as well.
And we treat all our growers the same as we treat

our own farm. 1 So, all of these discussions and the 2 3 reason I participate on the Board goes back to 4 that, so that I'm informed so that I can explain 5 this to my growers when they come asking. Because we have plenty to do, and we're just big 6 7 enough where a family where I can participate in 8 these Board meetings and bring it back to the 9 family and to our growers so they understand it. 10 Thank you. 11 JUDGE STROTHER: Does that complete 12 what you have to say? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you very 14 much. 15 JUDGE STROTHER: All right. With that 16 I suppose does -- does anyone, USDA have any 17 further examination of this witness? 18 MS. SCHMAEDICK: No, Your Honor. 19 JUDGE STROTHER: Counsel? 20 MS. CHILUKURI: We were just saying no, 21 Your Honor, we don't have any additional

questions for Mr. Carriere.

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well.
2	Industry proponents?
3	(No response.)
4	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else
5	participating in the, this hearing have any
6	questions, further questions for this witness?
7	(No response.)
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. There are no
9	exhibits I know, but I thank you for coming back
10	on the stand, Mr. Carriere. You may step down
11	from the virtual witness stand.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	JUDGE STROTHER: Are we ready for our
15	next witness? I call the next witness is Heather
16	Donoho.
17	Do we have Ms. Donoho on one way or
18	another?
19	MS. DONOHO: Yes, I'm here.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: There you are. Thank
21	you.
22	Please raise your right hand.

1 WHEREUPON,

HEATHER DONOHO

was called for examination, and having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE STROTHER: I have one exhibit for identification from Ms. Donoho, Exhibit 28, which is her testimony or statement.

(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was
marked as Exhibit No. 28 for
identification.)

Ms. Donoho, you may proceed to give your statement.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. My name is

Heather Donoho, H-E-A-T-H-E-R, last name D-O-N-OH-O. I am the accounting director for the

California Walnut Board, and I will be testifying today on point 8 of the justification, which is the proposal implementation.

The proposal would be implemented through the authority's parameters and the

requirements proposed under the new Section 984.546. Following approval from the Secretary, the CWB would implement the program to become effective the following crop/fiscal marketing year as soon as possible.

Annually, the CWB will establish a budget with an annual assessment to be collected, which includes a budget for the credit-back program. The CWB would then communicate to handlers that the credit-back option is available to them, what their pro rata portion of available credit-back funds is, and the issue procedures on the program and its use, including activities that are eligible for credit-back.

Handlers will be provided with program details, including their available portion of credit-back, via their annual pre-season handler packets. The handlers who choose to utilize the credit-back system will receive routine communications from the CWB, including reminders of filing deadlines. The program includes an appeals process to ensure that handlers have a

way to challenge a decision with which they disagree.

Procedures were developed through robust discussion with the Marketing Order Revision Committee and staff with agreement on the scope of included and excluded activities. The procedures provide participants with direction on the included and excluded activities. Eligible activities include paid media and advertising placement, public relations and publicity, sales promotional work, research and trade shows, and trade seminar activities.

The mix of activities was selected that best support the program objective of promoting the sale and consumption of walnuts. The CWB activities are generic, and the activities under this program, as discussed, would be branded. For the handlers who have multiple product lines of various products, the program encourages activity to grow, foster, and develop these new business segments to move additional volume.

1 Compliance oversight of the program 2 was taken into account when developing the procedures. Handlers will be provided with 3 4 program details, including their available 5 portion of credit-back via their annual preseason handler packets, as I mentioned earlier. 6 7 The handlers who choose to utilize the credit-8 back program will receive communications from the 9 CWB, including reminders for filing deadlines. 10 And the program includes an appeals process to 11 ensure that handlers have a way to challenge a 12 decision with which they agree -- disagree. 13 Excuse me.

As a new program, we understand that we could not conceive every nuance that may emerge with the program, such as a standard practice change, but there is a mechanism for informal rulemaking, as approved by the Secretary, that would allow for future program modifications.

The Board understands that handlers may conduct activities with other partners such

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

as USDA and the California Department of Food and Agriculture, but handlers, as discussed earlier, would not be eligible under the program to "double dip," as there are mandatory spending requirements for this program.

The reimbursement submission process is outlined clearly in the procedures. The CWB accounting staff, along with the input of the executive director and marketing staff, as needed, will administer the program. Per the procedure, the credit-back form will be submitted to the CWB, along with required documentation.

Internally, we would go through our normal procedures to process the submission as we would do for any other payable. We would date stamp the claim when received, review it for correctness and for required documentation and proof of payment. We would route for approval, generate a check, and mail the check. The submission process is outlined, however our internal procedures are not included.

The procedures may need to change over

time. And depending on the scope of the change, this could be a housekeeping change, a committee-level change, or something that would have to go through the informal rulemaking process.

The program was designed with an appeals process in place as a mechanism to manage any unforeseen issues that may arise, especially with this being a new program. If a claim is denied, the handler may ask for a review by the CWB Executive Committee. If the handler is not satisfied with this review, the handler may request to have the full Board review.

Finally, a handler may request an appeal review with the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture who has the ultimate oversight on the matter. A handler must submit a written request that includes permission to share the specific information relating to the claim in question with the committee. Appeals may be personally presented by the handler, or presented by the CWB staff. If the staff presents, the identity of the party involved will be kept confidential

1	unless otherwise waived in writing.
2	And that concludes my testimony.
3	(Pause.)
4	JUDGE STROTHER: Sorry. Examination by
5	USDA?
6	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes, Your Honor.
7	Melissa Schmaedick for the Agency.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness.
9	MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you.
LO	BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
L1	Q Good morning, Ms. Donoho, and thank
L2	you for your testimony. I want to start by
L3	turning to page 2 of your testimony, specifically
L4	looking at your statement in support of paragraph
L5	(e)6(i) through 4(i).
L6	You mention there a form. I'm just
L7	curious, is there are you requiring handlers
L8	that want to participate to submit something, or
L9	is this just sort of a documentation that would
20	facilitate keeping track of individuals who are
21	participating in the program?
22	A Well, we'll be providing a template

1	for the handler for a claim. But a handler is
2	free to include the information that's needed on
3	any form that they choose to use. So it would
4	just need to include a listing of expenditures,
5	that type of thing. But they can use their own
6	form.
7	Q Okay. And can a handler choose to
8	participate in the program at any point within
9	the marketing year, or would they have to
10	participate from the beginning?
11	A No, they absolutely could participate
12	at any point during the year.
13	Q Thank you. Turning your attention to
14	your statement for paragraph (f) at the bottom of
15	that second page of testimony, you state that
16	"Handlers must submit a written request that
17	includes permission to share the specific
18	information relating to the claim in question
19	with the Committee."
20	Can you explain to us why that is
21	important and what that is intended to address?
22	A Well, as the Board we're bound by

confidentiality, so we would, in the event the handler wants to appeal a decision, we would need for the handler to authorize us to provide the information on the expense in question to, first, the Executive Committee so they could see exactly what was being questioned.

Q So then am I correct in understanding that the claims for reimbursement would be handled by the Board staff primarily?

A Yes. That's correct.

Q And then if there were questions or you needed to interact with the handler, would that stay the responsibility of the staff? Or at what point would that evolve to the committee?

A I think it would evolve only when the handler claim has been denied and the handler disagrees for some reason with staff's decision. Otherwise, the day to day communication that might include questions on a form, or maybe something that's missing from a form that needs to be provided, that would just be between staff and the particular handler.

1 MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay, thank you. I 2 have no further questions. 3 JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else from USDA? 4 Hearing none --5 MS. CHILUKURI: Yes, Your Honor. I ask one question? Rupa Chilukuri, USDA. 6 7 JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness, counsel. 8 MS. CHILUKURI: Thank you. 9 BY MS. CHILUKURI: 10 Ms. Donoho, if you could take a look 0 11 at 984.46. That's on Exhibit 1. I just wanted 12 to ask you about 984.46(b), "Credit-back for 13 promotion expenses," and confirm your 14 understanding of how this authority operates. 15 the Board when does its budget has, as it says 16 right here, "The Board may provide for crediting 17 the pro rata expense assessment obligations." 18 is it your understanding that the Board could 19 decide not to use the authority? 20 Α Yes, that is my understanding. 21 Okay. So certain years it could Q 22 decide it's within -- it makes sense to try to do

credit-back; other years it may decide to use 1 their money in a different way. Is that correct? 2 That's -- that's correct. 3 Α 4 MS. CHILUKURI: Okay. I have no 5 further questions. Thank you. JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Anyone else 6 7 from USDA? 8 Anyone from the industry proponents? 9 Anyone on Zoom? 10 Anyone on the telephone? Ms. Donoho, since you're sort of your 11 12 own lawyer here, I give you redirect yourself if 13 you have anything further to say. 14 THE WITNESS: I do not. Thank you, 15 Your Honor. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. With that, we 17 have one exhibit for identification which was 18 Exhibit 28, Ms. Donoho's testimony. Any 19 objection to entering that exhibit into the 20 record? 21 Hearing not -- hearing none, the 22 exhibit is made a part of the record.

1	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
2	document was received into evidence as
3	Exhibit No. 28.)
4	JUDGE STROTHER: You may step down
5	from the virtual witness stand, Ms. Donoho.
6	Thank you for your testimony today.
7	(Witness excused.)
8	JUDGE STROTHER: On my clock it's
9	11:10 out west. I would propose to just go
LO	forward with our final witness, unless someone is
L1	in need of a bio or other break.
L2	I don't see anyone frantically waving
L3	their hand or anything. So, okay.
L 4	Mr. MacTavish, can you hear my voice?
L5	MR. MacTAVISH: Yes, sir.
L6	JUDGE STROTHER: You are taking the
L7	virtual witness stand now.
L8	I'd ask you to raise your right hand.
L9	WHEREUPON,
20	JOHN MacTAVISH
21	was called for examination, and having been first
22	duly sworn, was examined and testified as

follows:

JUDGE STROTHER: I have one exhibit for Mr. MacTavish, which is his testimony, which I notice has been posted on the AMS web page associated with this hearing and is now on our screens.

Mr. MacTavish, the floor is yours.

Please give your statement.

THE WITNESS: Judge Strother, thank
you so much for allowing me to provide this
testimony. Before I actually begin I just wanted
to kind of preface my discussion based on how I
got here.

Yesterday, actually my intent was to listen to a hearing on this credit-back proposal, hoping to gain some new knowledge, get a better understanding of the industry. And as I listened to each of the presentations, questions started just ringing off in my head. And being new to this whole process, I just started firing them off on the chat board.

And I was on my home computer, didn't

have a mic, and so I wasn't really able to communicate. And I apologize for having to send my questions in the text format. It was just really not an effective way to communicate the questions that I was raising.

And so, like I said, I apologize for how that was probably a little awkward. It just didn't -- as I -- I really didn't want to testimony -- provide testimony. But after your encouragement and just saying if I had anything to say I should say it, here I am. So I guess I will begin.

My name is John MacTavish. For the last 10 years I have grown walnuts on a 40-acre parcel. I am a first generation grower. I have no affiliations, foreign partnerships. I have served on no committees associated with either the CWB or CWC, nor do I act in a handler capacity.

Now, in that statement as I was reading it a few minutes ago I do have to make one -- I guess just make one clarification. I'm

here speaking as just an independent grower who's listened to the testimonies of all of the presenters. And when I wrote this last night, I said I was in -- that there was no affiliations.

I am, actually, as of a month ago, a member of the California Walnut Bargaining

Association -- or the walnut -- California Walnut

Bargaining Association. But I'm not acting in

any capacity for that association. That's an

association of growers. So I don't know if that

needs to be amended, but I'm not affiliated with

them other than a member, a recent membership

going back a month.

JUDGE STROTHER: That'll be fine. The testimony you give, we'll label.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

JUDGE STROTHER: May I ask, do you have an address, hopefully not a personal residence address because I'm afraid of personal information being published and something bad happening from that. Is there a business address for yourself you could give for the record?

THE WITNESS: Business address is a home address. I'm retired.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Let's leave your address out of the record then.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, thank you for this opportunity. First of all, and very importantly, I would like to go on the record that I am all in favor of growing the walnut industry and achieving higher prices for all parts of the industry.

Yesterday, as I just previously explained, I believe many of the questions I asked were not fully understood and therefore not answered. The purpose of my testimony today is to clarify my questions as well as offer my view as a small grower of how the walnut industry operates. In my opinion it's a little different than what was described yesterday. And my doing so is in hope that this will facilitate the marketing order process you're going through.

Yesterday one of the first points that I was trying to clarify was the fact that the

assessments that are a major discussion of this, is a credit-back of an assessment the handler contributes to the association are actually in practice paid for by the grower. They're collected by the handler, but they are taken out of the growers' payments. So we pay them.

And Chuck Crain, when asked this question yesterday -- and I think if you look at the testimony it will confirm this -- although another presenter said it could be negotiated, and I think Michelle Connelly said it could be negotiated, unfortunately, in practice the grower always pays.

That could be verified very easily by asking the growers that are members of the association if they pay it or if their handler pays it.

So what's the importance of that? The implication is that true handlers, or handlers that don't grow, or the handler's business side of a handler/grower operation, and they actually are two separate businesses, you've got a grower

who grows and they -- if they're a grower/handler they also handle. But they gain access to the bargaining efforts of the California Walnut Board with no out-of-pocket expenses since those expenses are all borne by the grower, collected by the handler.

So what kind of got me interested in this whole hearing was the press release that was sent out on February 12th from the USDA, I believe. I'm looking at it. And in the second paragraph, and I'll read it, and it's announcing the public hearing. But it says, the second paragraph, the Walnut Board, which is responsible for local administration of the order, proposed amendments that would authorize the Board to credit for market promotion expenses paid by handlers against their annual assessment and establish new rules -- or establish rules and regulations for the Board -- exercise new authority.

I hope that you can appreciate how a grower feels when a press release goes out and

how a layperson could interpret that. Handlers are getting a credit-back of assessments that they are told are being paid by handlers. Well, that's kind of what -- I saw that on my desk and I said, you know, and I realized that the hearing has been both sides.

I just happened to be at my computer, and I logged in and, you know, just started hearing the testimony. So it really was kind of that -- and the communications that I received from the California Walnut Board essentially have said the same thing.

And so I believe it's misleading to state that handlers are subject to an assessment when it's a deduction from what the grower receives from his or her crop. And as I just described, in every communication I -- every communication that I have received from the USDA or the California Walnut Board it makes the statement that assessments are paid by the handler, but when really they're only collected by the handler.

and I'm really making this statement for all the growers who are tired of paying to defray the membership of the California Walnut Board, at least for the record it would seem to make sense that if it's paid by the grower that the records would reflect that and all communications would reflect that.

So that was one thing that I was trying to, I guess, point out to those that were on the call and making questions is that I don't really think the -- that the questions really understood the industry. And so I hopefully -- hopefully that clarifies it.

And I know there will be some push-back saying it can be negotiated. But I think it's real simple. Let's poll the growers and see who pays and who doesn't, and the facts will be very clear.

Secondly, there was a presentation that described the industry flows, how the industry flows from the grower to the consumers.

1 It was stated that the grower sells his crop to I think that was the exact term 2 the handler. that Chuck Crain used. And I found that --3 4 MS. CONNELLY: Your Honor, I'd like to 5 object. 6 Your Honor, you're on mute. 7 JUDGE STROTHER: I am here. 8 I'm sorry. Who's objected? muted. 9 MS. CONNELLY: This is Ms. Connelly. 10 The Walnut Board would object to in point 2 of 11 this testimony. This is a lot of explanation 12 about relationships that have nothing to do with 13 the proposal at hand. THE WITNESS: I haven't made my point. 14 15 JUDGE STROTHER: I'm going to overrule. 16 It does seem to me that the relationship between 17 the handler and the grower is relevant to this 18 hearing, at least relevant enough for this

testimony to go in. If we get further afield

somehow, you can object again, and we'll address

it at that point. It's helpful background, if

nothing else, in my opinion.

19

20

21

So the objection is overruled. You may continue.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

And that's really my point is just to provide all of the background that I think needs to be described, and not just from a handler position.

So, so I felt that was an interesting way of describing the sales transaction where you sell something at a price to be determined in the future by the person who's buying it. And I wanted to explain that.

So the grower actually cultivates, harvests, hulls, and dries the crop at his or her expense. And you heard that he or she then delivers the crop to the handler, again at the grower's expense. No payments are made.

The grower then -- the grower turns over the ownership title of the crop, their crop, losing all control with no influence on what price they receive. The handler proceeds to ready the crop for market. And this would include fumigation costs, shelling, sorting,

1 packing, storage, marketing, shipping, sales 2 commissions, et cetera, all the costs of moving 3 the product to market. 4 (Simultaneous speaking.) 5 MS. HOAGLAND: There's an objection. 6 THE WITNESS: -- partial payments are 7 made to the grower. 8 JUDGE STROTHER: Hang on, Mr. 9 MacTavish. 10 That's you, Ms. Hoagland? 11 MS. HOAGLAND: We have an objection to 12 point 2 from the California Walnut Board. 13 JUDGE STROTHER: Is that different from 14 you, Ms. Connelly? 15 MS. CONNELLY: No. That was my earlier 16 objection, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Thank you. 18 We'll handle it, Ms. Hoagland. You may continue. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. Thank 20 you. 21 So, again, this is background so that 22 the people asking the questions and, hopefully,

this should have been -- probably would have been better off earlier on than at the end. But I guess this is background for the record.

So it is only when the product is sold that you know what you've received.

Oh, so let me go back just a minute. So as the product is sold, partial payments are made to the grower at levels and intervals determined by the handler. It is only when all the product is sold that you know what you have received for the sale of your crop. In some cases this comes almost a year after delivery.

So I think it was misleading in the presentation to say that the grower sells his crop to the handler. For me, that implies getting something of value immediately at a thendetermined price, which is not the case in practice.

On point 3 in the presentation by the University of California professor, and I didn't have access to the exhibits, so I apologize for not knowing the professor's name, but she stated,

and I think I got the quote correctly, because it kind of caught me by surprise, that growers' costs would be unaffected by this program, referencing the credit-back program.

And so one of the questions that I texted in that I don't know how it was interpreted or how it was communicated, but I think there was a little lacking in that whole process, was that ask the professor how -- how the professor could be certain that these new marketing costs would not be added to the other costs the grower pays to the handler for selling their crop. As I described earlier in point 2, there are -- you know, the marketing costs are included in what the handler determines their costs of operations to charge to the grower.

JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. MacTavish, hold on for a moment. We have an objection from Mr. Driver.

Mr. Driver, are you on? Mr. Driver?

MR. HATCH: I'm trying to make sure
he's activated now.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. You need to unmute him.

MR. HATCH: Yes.

JUDGE STROTHER: There you are. I can see you, but I can't hear you yet.

There you are, you're unmuted.

Mr. Driver, identify yourself again.

I know you --

MR. DRIVER: Yes. Robert Driver.

Robert L. Driver. I'm a California Walnut Board member. I testified yesterday. And I would like to address what I believe is a misunderstanding of the competitive nature of handler/grower relationships.

I do agree, because I have had three different handlers over the years, I do agree that the grower usually ends up having it taken out of his -- the proceeds of his crop. But I thought that Mr. Crain made it clear yesterday that if a handler does not pay a competitive return, that handler would naturally lose growers.

1 Mr. Crain is not my handler. But that is the real world. 2 JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Driver, I 3 4 understand what you're saying. I would suggest that this is not so much an objection to 5 testimony as it's something to explore with this 6 7 witness through your own examination. 8 And then I guess if we call one 9 witness back, we may call you back, I suppose. 10 But you seem to have a disagreement with this 11 witness as to the -- veracity is too strong a 12 word, but I think in fact you would probably 13 agree that the testimony is relevant, you just 14 don't think it's accurate. Is that right? 15 MR. DRIVER: Yes, Your Honor. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: All right. Hold your, 17 hold your thoughts for examination on this 18 question. And at that time you can ask him whether he agrees, or what he bases his testimony 19 20 on, or something like that. Objection overruled. 21 MR. DRIVER: Thank you.

JUDGE STROTHER: Thank you, Mr. Driver.

Please continue, Mr. MacTavish.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

So I'm just going to kind of go through this because I know there will be, you know, more objections. And I'll just wait till -- going to get to it and then we can go to those.

So the point I was trying to make is that somebody was making a claim in a presentation that there would -- there will be no -- that the grower's costs will be unaffected by this program. And I disagree with that statement because for the following reason.

There's absolutely no transparency when it comes to what a handler charges a grower for services. They're making -- thereby it makes it impossible to know if the costs for this program were just another to be borne by the grower as a deduct to the grower's cost proceeds.

all of the costs of handling are unknown. So to make that statement was, to me, not an accurate statement. And that was what I was, you know, questioning, is that you can't

really say that my costs would not be affected by this program when you have no idea what my costs are, nor do I.

And I guess just as, again, background information, the grower receives a price per pound determined by the handler after the handler has made accommodations for his or her expenses and profit. There is no disclosure of the handler's expenses for services provided to the grower.

The problem is that although we are part of the same industry, we are not partners when it comes to profits. And I heard one of the other presenters say, you know, it's a trust business. And, you know, that's one approach to it. I'd prefer it to be trust and verify, if at all possible.

So we have no way of verifying what we're being charged or, you know, and at the end of the year when prices are essentially within a penny or two of all the major handlers, you know, it's, it's hard to know really are you getting a

competitive price.

So further on that point I'm unaware of any other businesses where you're allowed to charge for services but are not required -- to disclose them. If you just think through all the things that you buy, you usually know what you're paying for them.

so all that being said, again this is just hopefully to help people understand from my perspective, from a different perspective how the industry works. I think it is in the best interests of all that a handler make money, be financially healthy and profitable. I mean, that's -- I'm not opposed to handlers making profit, you know, being profitable. That's not my point. My point is that, you know, that the industry needs -- the people evaluating this need to understand how the industry really works.

Point 4, in Mr. Crain's presentation it was clear that recent low prices were making it difficult for growers to remain profitable.

And I asked Mr. Crain if the handler

profitability was being impacted in the same way it was impacting growers. And I believe the record will indicate that he responded it was.

And, unfortunately, because no grower knows, as I just described, what he is paying his handler for services and profits, nobody will ever know. Without transparency it is difficult to see in a non -- to see a non-conflicted incentive to get the best price for grower clients of the handler/grower. Instead, I see it as handlers have a competing -- a competing incentive to maximize throughput or product.

What that means is the more product they're able to handle, the more money they make. I believe it would lead to a more trusting arrangement, somebody mentioned the trust word, to actually see revenues of the handling side of this industry. Now, this Walnut Board and Walnut Commission were created to represent the handlers and the growers. So it seems to me that there should be some way of saying hey, how are the handlers doing; how are the growers -- we know,

we saw how the growers were doing yesterday. You can't make money at \$0.65 a pound growing walnuts.

So in this environment what I want to know is are -- you know, it would be very helpful in creating trust if we could see how well we are doing collectively as an industry, and see if the pain is being spread evenly amongst the handlers and the growers.

So let me close by saying that I reiterate that I am all for growing the walnut industry and higher prices for all. As I mentioned a couple times in preparing my -- my purpose in preparing these remarks is in the hope that all those involved in reviewing the marketing order or credit-back proposal are equipped with more than one point of view.

And, again, this is my point of view.

Am I concerned that this will be a cost

ultimately borne by the grower? Yes, I am, for

the reasons that I think I've described already.

Am I concerned, and this is probably

the biggest one that gets in my craw, that the handler pays no assessments, yet receives credit for paying them? And you could look at all the exhibits, all the communications, all the press releases that are put out by the USDA and the California Walnut Board. And, yes, I am.

And, unfortunately, since my original reason for listening in on the call was to hear the pros and cons of the credit-back proposal, not to testify, I am unable to provide any well thought out recommendations or suggestions on how to grow our domestic market.

In other words, I hate to be the guy saying hey, why are we, you know, not having any solutions, but I really wasn't planning on testifying. I was just listening and saying hey, wow, that's interesting. That doesn't really work, or that's not how I see the industry working.

So I wasn't really prepared yesterday or today to really question whether or not this credit-back is a good thing or a bad thing.

Lastly, I'm still trying to understand why it's only today that as an industry -- and I'm a newcomer -- why are we focusing on growing our most important and reliable market, the U.S. consumer.

Before I close I do have one other big concern that was raised this morning. And I don't think I really received an answer. Maybe Mr. Driver can shed some light on this one because he was maybe part of the process.

But according to Michelle Connelly, when I asked how the reimbursements will be treated for tax purposes, in my mind I can see an example, a scenario possibly -- and that's why I'm just looking for clarification -- where a handler can actually get more money out of this program than they spend.

Bear with me if you would. Visualize this. It will take 20 seconds.

If I'm a handler and I participate in this program with a \$100,000 marketing investment, and my combined federal and state tax

brackets are 40 percent -- which is not a high tax bracket today with the State of California taxes -- I would be offsetting that \$100,000 expense with \$40,000 of tax savings. And my out-of-pocket costs after tax would be \$60,000.

According to this credit-back program
I can apply to the Walnut Board and request
\$70,000 of my \$100,000 as a reimbursement. I've
got a \$60,000 net after tax cost and I'm getting
70.

If that's how it works, I want some of that, I guess.

So, that's just one point that I heard today that if I'm wrong I'll be pleasantly corrected. But I didn't get an answer. So, if anybody on the line can confirm that I'm wrong or confirm that I'm right, that would be, I think, a relevant point for this discussion.

And like I said, I'm here just to provide background information, a different point of view. And I thank everyone for allowing me to provide these comments.

1	And that's all I have to say. And I'm
2	happy to answer any questions anybody has for me.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. As is our usual
4	procedure, is there any examination of this
5	witness by USDA personnel?
6	MS. CHILUKURI: Yes, Your Honor. Rupa
7	Chilukuri, USDA. I just have a few questions for
8	Mr. MacTavish.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Your witness.
10	MS. CHILUKURI: Thank you.
11	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. MacTavish.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, sir.
13	JUDGE STROTHER: We heard your voice.
14	Is there something you wanted to say?
15	THE WITNESS: No. I was just saying
16	they can, they can call me John.
17	JUDGE STROTHER: No. We're going to
18	call you Mr. MacTavish.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: This is a formal
21	rulemaking, as we all know. I'm joking, but
22	we'll use Mr. MacTavish.

1	Please continue, USDA.
2	MS. CHILUKURI: Thank you.
3	BY MS. CHILUKURI:
4	Q Mr. MacTavish, thank you for your
5	testimony. I just have a few questions for you.
6	I want to sort of connect it back to
7	the Notice of Hearing and the proposal at hand,
8	and your knowledge about the walnut marketing
9	order.
10	Have you, have you read the walnuts
11	order, marketing order?
12	A I've read most of it, yes.
13	Q Okay. And do you know who's regulated
14	by the walnuts marketing order?
15	A Who's regulated by it? You mean
16	Q Right. Directly regulated.
17	A the California Walnut Board.
18	Q Okay. And also, I wasn't quite, quite
19	sure, and, you know, having read the testimony
20	and listening to it do you have a position on the
21	credit-back authority and the related rules and
22	requirements?

A Well, I think I just stated one concern that I have, and that is if it is, as I described, if it allows the handlers to get more money after tax than they put in, yeah, I have a problem with that. I just don't think that's really skin in the game.

I heard "skin in the game" a number of times yesterday, and I think that's a valuable point. But if you can actually spend money and after it's all said and done end up with more money than you spent, I would have a concern, I would have a concern over this.

Q Okay, thank you.

And the last question I have for you is, are you aware that in this process there's also a grower referendum where you can vote on these proposals and you have an opportunity to decide yea or nay on the facility? Are you aware of that?

A Yeah. I think I recall reading that.

And I guess there's another, another form of

background information. Most of the growers I

think, and it was in Mr. Crain's presentation, or many of the growers, maybe even half the growers fall in my category, you know, 40 to 100 acres.

And they're struggling to make it.

And so most of us don't have time, or most of them don't have the time to get involved in these types of matters. And like I said, I was just, I just happened to, just coincidentally happened to see that it was yesterday. Had no intention of testifying. Just wanted to hear what was being said and learn something. And it was through those presentations that I was saying, hey, well wait a minute, that's not the way I see it. And that's not the way it happens to me.

So I just started asking questions.

And here I am. My intent was just, you know, to try and see if I could gain some new knowledge about the industry that I'm a part of.

MS. CHILUKURI: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you for answering and for your testimony.

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Any further
2	examination from anyone at USDA?
3	(No response.)
4	JUDGE STROTHER: And let me say, I
5	don't know if the procedure is clear, we do refer
6	to California Walnut Board as a proponent of this
7	proposal. I don't know whether that puts the
8	burden on them as USDA made a reference to. But
9	I will allow, assuming there's no objections, to
10	a follow-up witness who we've had before coming
11	in from the proponents of the proposal if someone
12	wants, wants to do that.
13	So, with that, again, no, looks like
14	no further examination of this witness by the
15	industry proponents?
16	MS. CONNELLY: Judge, I believe there
17	are a few folks that would like to speak.
18	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Your
19	witness.
20	MR. DRIVER: Robert Driver.
21	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Driver, please.
22	Your witness.

1	MR. DRIVER: Yes. In regard to tax
2	implications, could I speak to that?
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Why don't you ask the
4	witness whether he
5	MR. DRIVER: All right. All right.
6	JUDGE STROTHER: That's one way of
7	doing it. Otherwise I'm going to put you on the
8	stand yourself and you can explain.
9	MR. DRIVER: All right.
LO	BY MR. DRIVER:
L1	Q Mr. MacTavish, since IRS is the entity
L2	that writes the tax code, or Congress of course,
L3	or the government writes the tax code and the IRS
L4	interprets it and collects the tax, I believe
L5	that we are not called as members of the Walnut
L6	Board to opine on matters that we have no control
L7	under.
L8	Do you understand that?
L9	A It was discussed that and it should
20	have been by legal counsel the ramifications of
21	giving a handler money from a, from a

especially from a source of funds that they

didn't pay into.

MS. CONNELLY: They did pay into that.

That's actually the reimbursement of assessments

paid. It's a handler order.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd like you, Michelle, to prove to me that the handlers actually pay the money and it's not a grower payment.

MS. CONNELLY: There is -- we receive checks from --

THE WITNESS: Collected by, collected by the --

JUDGE STROTHER: Hold on, witness talk through me to anyone else that's participating in this. The witness, you're the one testifying so you may say whatever you like.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I was just saying that, you know, I know a lot of growers, I've talked to many of the handlers, and if the handler assesses or deducts the payment of the assessment from the proceeds. And I've heard it a couple times over the last couple days that it

1 could be negotiated. 2 But in real practice and, like, I said, if you read Chuck Crain's testimony, he was 3 4 truthful and he said the handler passes it on to 5 the grower. I mean, read, read the testimony from 6 7 Chuck's presentation. He was truthful. And, you know, I don't want to get 8 9 into an argument about it. But if you can prove 10 otherwise, then I'd be happy to examine what 11 your, your evidence is. But I would say if you 12 ask the growers who pays the assessment it's 13 going to be if not all, pretty much all. (Telephonic interference.) 14 15 JUDGE STROTHER: We're on the record. 16 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 17 MS. CONNELLY: Your Honor, if I may, 18 may I ask Mr. MacTavish a question? 19 JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Driver, may Ms. 20 Connelly ask Mr. MacTavish a question? 21 MR. DRIVER: Yes. 22 JUDGE STROTHER: Proceed, Ms. Connelly.

1	BY MS. CONNELLY:
2	Q Mr. MacTavish, when you said you
3	indicated that you had read the marketing order,
4	who is the legal responsible entity for executing
5	the order?
6	A USDA.
7	Q And within the context
8	A Can we defer to the question?
9	Q Well, I think your answer will be
LO	evident.
L1	The legal entity that is responsible
L2	under the order for collecting assessments is
L3	whom?
L 4	A (No audible response.)
L5	JUDGE STROTHER: I'm sorry, is that
L6	THE WITNESS: I'm not hearing anything
L7	if somebody's speaking.
L8	BY MS. CONNELLY:
L9	Q Yes. I asked the question who is the
20	legal responsible entity for collecting the
21	assessments under the order?
22	A The handler.

1	Q Thank you.
2	A Collecting? Then why does it say paid
3	by handlers against their annual assessments in
4	the press release, and the communication that you
5	That was my point all along is that it says
6	paid for, not collected on behalf of, it says
7	paid for.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: If I might interject,
9	I think things are getting a little muddy in the
10	record.
11	Ms. Connelly, you said collect the
12	assessment. Collecting from whom?
13	MS. CONNELLY: The handler is the legal
14	entity.
15	If Mr. MacTavish would refer back to
16	the language of the order
17	JUDGE STROTHER: No, no, let's not. I
18	don't need to go there.
19	MS. CONNELLY: It's paid to the CWB.
20	And I think, I think that we need to just be
21	clear about that for the record. But it's taken
22	to CWB by the handlers.

	MS. CHILDRORI: Your Honor, II I may
2	interject with the actual order language and ask
3	Mr. MacTavish about that. I started that and he
4	answered with the wrong answer. But if I just
5	may read that language into the record.
6	JUDGE STROTHER: But I think that that
7	will help the record, the clarity of the record.
8	My point, by the way, is that, and I know that
9	Mr. MacTavish has talked about the handlers
LO	collecting the amounts from the growers. I think
L1	the California Walnuts Board collects the
L2	assessment from the handlers. And I'm just
L3	trying to get a clear question.
L4	Sure, I would welcome the actual
L5	language of the order, Ms. Chilukuri.
L6	MS. CHILUKURI: Yes, Your Honor.
L7	So, I'm looking right now in 984.69.
L8	And that's labeled "Assessments."
L9	Sorry. I'm having some computer
20	problems.
21	Okay. So, it says with "(a)
22	Requirement for payment. Each handler shall pay

1	the Board, on demand, his pro rata share of the
2	expenses authorized by the Secretary for each
3	marketing year. Each handler's pro rata share
4	shall be the rate of assessment per kernel weight
5	pound of walnuts fixed by the Secretary times the
6	kernel weight of merchantable walnuts he has
7	certified. At any time during or after the
8	marketing year the Secretary may increase the
9	assessment rate as necessary to cover authorized
10	expenses and each handler's pro rata share."
11	I just wanted to refer all of us to
12	that language, that the order regulates handlers
13	to pay the assessment per the order. Again, we
14	can't speak to I'm not speaking to the
15	business relationships that handlers and growers
16	have with each other. But this is what the order
17	says. And that's why the Board as the
18	instrumentality of USDA is collecting from these
19	handlers.
20	MR. MACTAVISH: Can I speak?
21	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes, it's your turn,
22	Mr. MacTavish.

MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, well, I understand that. But I'm looking at my grower payment. And there's an assessment paid to the California Walnut Commission and the California Walnut Board.

So there's something that's, I guess that doesn't add up to me or doesn't match up to me with the regulations and how I am billed for payment as a Commission assessment and a Board assessment.

I'll be happy to take my name off it and submit it to you, Judge, for the record.

And that's my point, is that it's -we're playing with words here. The grower
actually has that money taken out of what they
get and given to the Association. If that's the
way it is, that's the way it is. I just want it
to be, you know, be honest.

The grower pays for this out of their hard-earned dollars. And the handler doesn't.

They just take it out of what they pay the grower. And I don't know why there's such a --

1 you know, why is there such a disagreement about who collects it or who pays it or what the 2 marketing order says? 3 4 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I --5 MR. MACTAVISH: My point was purely --JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. MacTavish, I 6 7 think you've made your point. I think --8 MR. MACTAVISH: Thank you. 9 JUDGE STROTHER: -- Ms. Chilukuri was 10 that the, it's the handler that's regulated by 11 this order and the order that's being amended, and the money is collected from the handler and 12 not directly from the grower. Is that your 13 14 point? 15 MS. CHILUKURI: That is my point. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Okay. 17 I'm going back to you, Mr. Driver. 18 MR. DRIVER: Well, I just want to make 19 the following statement. You know, 100 handlers 20 JUDGE STROTHER: Wait just a minute. 21 22 You may not make a statement, Mr. Driver.

may ask, in the technical matter of cross examination, you may say something and ask the witness, which is the way, the usual legal way of sneaking in a statement. But this will not be your testimony. I will put you back on the stand and you can make whatever statement you want.

But if you want to bring up a point just for something, for us to think about, which won't be evidence unless the witness agrees with you, you can say, Mr. MacTavish, would you agree that, and then say whatever it is you are trying to get on the record.

MR. DRIVER: All right. Thank you for the clarification.

Mr. MacTavish, hypothetically, if grower A paid you ten cents a pound less than grower B and you had either anecdotal information or you had actually seen a payment, I'm wondering what would your response be in regard to the disparity in those payments between the two growers. I'm talking about between the two handlers.

JUDGE STROTHER: Do you have that question in mind, Mr. MacTavish?

MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, well, so, well, obviously in this environment, ten cents a pound is a huge difference between, in your hypothetical question.

To my knowledge, of all the growers and handlers that I have shared information with, nobody was outside of the ten percent, or ten cents a pound rate recently. It's a kind of an industry where everybody kind of ends up around the same point. So, but, you know, that was kind of the purpose of me testifying, was that there's no transparency.

And this is really going to get into the weeds, Judge. But the -- and this is really not part of the purpose of your hearing today.

But there's whole other part in this where the grower grades your crop.

JUDGE STROTHER: Stop. Stop, please.

Stop, stop, stop. You were asked a question.

Your duty as a witness is to respond to the

```
1
       question, not to take that as an opening to go
       somewhere else with it. Mr. Driver --
 2
                   MR. MACTAVISH: Well, it's -- I
 3
 4
       understand, but it's related.
                                      It could be
       related. And like I said, I don't -- you know,
 5
       obviously --
 6
 7
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Your Honor, I object
 8
                   MR. MACTAVISH: -- you'd want to find
 9
10
       your best price for your crop.
                                       So --
11
                   MS. CHILUKURI: -- you've already gone
12
       so far afield as it relates to the Notice of
13
       Hearing itself that if Mr. MacTavish is saying
14
       that he's going to go further afield --
15
                   (Simultaneous speaking.)
16
                   MS. CHILUKURI: -- your admonishment.
17
                   JUDGE STROTHER:
                                    That's fine. And I
       don't necessarily agree that we've gone beyond
18
19
       the notice. But, Mr. Driver, you are the cross-
20
       examiner here. Did you get an answer to your
21
       question?
22
                                No, I did not.
                   MR. DRIVER:
                                                 Ιt
```

1 matters not whether it's ten cents a pound, five 2 cents, or two cents. What would be your response to seeing the difference of, between your two 3 4 opportunities to sell your product to different 5 handlers? MR. MACTAVISH: If the price is with 6 7 one or two cents, I wouldn't lose any sleep over 8 it, because it changes from year to year as you 9 You said you had change handlers three 10 There must have been a reason why. times. 11 There was a reason why. MR. DRIVER: 12 MR. MACTAVISH: So one to two cents, 13 hey, you know, go with -- you know, sometimes 14 you're going to be above it. Sometimes you're 15 going to be below. 16 MR. DRIVER: Was that a question? 17 MR. MACTAVISH: No. I'm saying so I 18 wouldn't, it wouldn't -- if the price was close, 19 I don't want to be switching handlers every year. 20 I want them to be, I want my handler to be 21 financially secure and profitable. I just, you 22 know, don't think there's enough transparency to

1	really make that determination.
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. Driver, back to
3	you. Did you get an answer to your question?
4	MR. DRIVER: I did. I don't believe,
5	though, that one or two cents is germane. I just
6	yes, he answered the question, but it was
7	indirect.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Do you have follow-
9	up?
10	MR. DRIVER: I do not.
11	JUDGE STROTHER: You completed your
12	questions?
13	MR. DRIVER: Completes my questions.
14	JUDGE STROTHER: Anyone else from the
15	industry proponents for this witness?
16	Anyone participating in this hearing
17	via Zoom have any questions? Anyone that's on
18	the telephone have any questions?
19	Mr. MacTavish, since you're basically
20	your own lawyer in this, I'm going to give you
21	redirect of yourself. Is there anything further
22	you would like to say?

MR. MACTAVISH: I just would like to thank you again. And like I said, my purpose from the beginning was just to provide a different perspective and just to say some things that I think might have been misinterpreted in the answers that I received to my questions yesterday. And it was a fascinating experience. So thank you very much.

JUDGE STROTHER: Just to reiterate, if there's anyone else out there that's listening in to this hearing at all, it's not too late. It's almost too late if you want to testify. But we'll still let you in.

We're looking for testimony from the broadest, relevant testimony and non-repetitive testimony from a broad cross section people. If anyone wanted to come and tell us about things, you're more than welcome. And there are no apologies to be made, it's consistent with the process.

Fools rush in perhaps. I'm going to give USDA another shot. USDA have any other

questions? Particularly, Ms. Schmaedick, you're the one who's got to write up this decision.

By the way, I will say for the record that, you know, I am making a determination where there was an objection and I overruled it and I allowed the evidence in. That's my determination for purposes of developing this record.

That is not -- I'm not the decisionmaker here. If the decision-maker decides to
give no weight to that testimony, perhaps because
it's not relevant or not reliable or for whatever
reason, that will be the decision-maker's
determination. All I'm determining is it's
relevant enough to put in this record to go the
appropriate --

MS. HOAGLUND: Judge Strother?

JUDGE STROTHER: -- including the secretary. Yes.

MS. HOAGLUND: Mr. Norene had, he's indicated that he had his hand up. I can't see him any longer on the shot. Okay. I see him. He's on Zoom.

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Ah he is muted again.
2	Mr. Norene, did you have something?
3	MR. NORENE: Yes, Your Honor. And
4	again, my name is Robert Norene, R-O-B-E-R-T, N-
5	O-R-E-N-E. I've been a member of the Walnut
6	Bargaining Association and a founding member
7	since 1995. I've negotiated with handlers and
8	it's guessed many of these contract issues that
9	Mr. MacTavish addresses.
10	I appreciate your last comments
11	regarding allowing his testimony and overriding
12	the objection of Ms. Connelly. But Mr. MacTavish
13	is under some misleading opinions about
14	handler/grower relationships. I
15	JUDGE STROTHER: Yeah, Mr. Norene, you
16	don't have questions for this witness, but you
17	believe that there are things in Mr. MacTavish's
18	testimony that you would like the opportunity to
19	respond to on the record. Is that correct?
20	MR. NORENE: That's correct, Your
21	Honor.
22	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Let's put,

1	let's slot you into being recalled to the stand,
2	assuming that no one has any objections to that.
3	Do you have any questions for this witness?
4	MR. NORENE: Do I have questions for
5	Mr. MacTavish?
6	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes.
7	MR. NORENE: Not especially, Your
8	Honor.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Well, for instance,
LO	you could ask him do you agree, Mr. MacTavish,
L1	that blah, blah.
L2	MR. NORENE: Okay. Thank you, Your
L3	Honor.
L4	Mr. MacTavish, when you look at your
L5	grower return sheet from whoever your handler
L6	might be, do you see a total amount of funds
L7	withheld from your grower payments?
L8	MR. MACTAVISH: I'm not sure I
L9	understand the question.
20	MR. NORENE: The handler that I sell
21	walnuts to
22	MR. MACTAVISH: Do you mean the

assessments or the total expenses?

MR. NORENE: No, your grower return sheet should indicate an amount withheld from payments that you get for the walnuts that you delivered. Those payment amounts should be a total that you could divide by the total amount of pounds of walnuts you delivered to give you a total cents per pound, in-shell pound that you delivered.

That amount should not exceed somewhere in the neighborhood of 2.75 cents overall for a California Walnut Board and California Walnut Commission assessments. The California Walnut Commission assessment is an obligation of walnut growers. The California Walnut Board assessment is an obligation by handlers.

Many handlers, but not all, many handlers do collect, withhold that amount of money for the California Walnut Board from growers, but not all. That is a contract obligation negotiation between you and the

1	handler that you choose to sell your walnuts to.
2	So do you see that information on your
3	grower payout sheet?
4	MR. MACTAVISH: I do.
5	MR. NORENE: Would you be able to give
6	us a total amount of your funds withheld on cents
7	per pound?
8	MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, let me do the
9	math for you. It's close to whatever the maximum
10	is or both parts of it. There's a breakdown, a
11	California Walnut Commission assessment and a
12	California Walnut Board assessment.
13	MR. NORENE: Okay. What is the
14	California Walnut Board assessment?
15	MR. MACTAVISH: Let's see here. Well,
16	that would be a price per pound or an assessment
17	per pound. So
18	MR. NORENE: Look at your in-shell
19	delivery pound.
20	MR. MACTAVISH: I got you. I got you.
21	I got you. I'm just trying to find that
22	information. It looks like the California Walnut

1	Commission assessment is one percent.
2	MR. NORENE: One cent, one cent.
3	MR. MACTAVISH: One cent, excuse me.
4	And the and it looks like it's 1.85 California
5	Walnut Board assessment.
6	MR. NORENE: 1.85 cents per in-shell
7	pound?
8	MR. MACTAVISH: Well, yeah. So
9	MR. NORENE: So the
10	MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah.
11	MR. NORENE: That's a long ways from
12	four cents per pound was the number that you had
13	used previously. So I think that clears the air
14	on one misunderstanding that
15	MR. MACTAVISH: Well, let me that's
16	for one part. That's for one shipment. Let me -
17	- that's not the annual. So I'd have to look at
18	last year's record and
19	MR. NORENE: Okay. Well, you know,
20	I'd suggest you do that at your leisure. But I
21	thought it was
22	MR. MACTAVISH: It doesn't change the

record that -- let me clarify my answer. 1 2 MR. NORENE: Yeah. MR. MACTAVISH: What isn't clarified 3 4 and my whole point in this is that this is a 5 credit back for expenses paid by handlers against their annual assessments. Those dollars are, 6 7 include my assessments. Would you agree? MR. NORENE: The credit back will be 8 9 paid, if you're asking me a question. I guess 10 that's all right, Your Honor? 11 JUDGE STROTHER: For clarification of 12 the questions you've asked him. 13 MR. NORENE: If I understand your 14 question, Mr. MacTavish, you suggest that the 15 handler is going to get money for funds that you 16 paid in his behalf to the California Walnut 17 Board. 18 But in reality, he needs to spend 19 funds, advertising, market promotion, product 20 development. He needs to spend funds in order to 21 get any reimbursement from the California Walnut

Board.

_	Now, the runds that he spends are in
2	addition to any other marketing expenses, et
3	cetera, PG&E bills, labor bills, whatever he
4	might spend in the course of his operation, the
5	cost to transport walnuts from your huller/dryer
6	to his plant facility. The only
7	MR. MACTAVISH: I understand. I
8	understand all that. Get to the point, please.
9	MR. NORENE: Yeah, he
10	JUDGE STROTHER: You might stop every
11	once in a while and say do you agree, Mr.
12	MacTavish.
13	MR. NORENE: Oh, thank you, Your
14	Honor. So, if you agree with those various
15	scenarios, you have to realize that he's going to
16	get reimbursed from the California Walnut Board
17	partially for his market promotion, his
18	advertising or product development costs. Do you
19	agree with that?
20	MR. MACTAVISH: You misunderstood my
21	question to you.
22	MR. NORENE: Okay. I'll try

1	MR. MACTAVISH: I said let me
2	repeat myself. I said that the handler is going
3	to get reimbursed from money that I pay to the
4	Association. Is that correct?
5	MR. NORENE: I don't believe so.
6	MR. MACTAVISH: So they're truly going
7	to get a reimbursement of money they paid to the
8	Association that came out of their bottom line.
9	MR. NORENE: The handler pays an
10	assessment. Pardon me, Your Honor. The handler
11	pays an assessment based upon a product that is
12	inspected by, oh boy, by the DFA, Dried Fruit
13	Association, when it's ready for shipment. That
14	precipitates his need to pay the assessment to
15	the California Walnut Board. Part of the
16	MR. MACTAVISH: So where does the
17	money that I pay go?
18	MR. NORENE: It goes to the handler.
19	JUDGE STROTHER: And all of this is by
20	way of clarifying the questions Mr. MacTavish is
21	being
22	MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, we're not

getting anywhere. I don't think he's 1 2 understanding what I'm trying to get to. And so, you know, like I said, I'm 3 4 being assessed. And nobody's answered this 5 question, which is I think a valid one, Your Honor. And that is -- and I gave the example. 6 7 And I'll give it again. I understand the handler has to spend 8 9 money to get money. But if they're reimbursed 10 for their, for the money they spend to a tune 11 greater than they spend, I want some of that. 12 And I gave you the \$100,000 example 13 after tax and after reimbursement, so the handler 14 is positive. He isn't spending any of his or her 15 money. 16 JUDGE STROTHER: I think you've made 17 that very clear on the record. I think we're 18 clear as to that. And I think we can move on. 19 MR. MACTAVISH: Thank you. 20 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Mr. Norene, 21 did you have any further questions? 22 MR. NORENE: No, Your Honor. I don't

have any tax advice information. You know, I 1 can't react to that comment. 2 I just wanted to clarify, and I think 3 4 Mr. MacTavish has, that there was an error in a comment of four cents per pound leads to a 5 significant misunderstanding. 6 7 There's additional clarification that 8 needs to be undertaken. And hopefully, if Mr. 9 MacTavish participates in the industry and the 10 Walnut Bargaining Association, we can help 11 clarify his understanding of our industry. 12 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. None of that's 13 testimony. You're supposed to be asking 14 questions of the witness. And you can come back 15 on and testify. I'll let you do that. 16 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. NORENE: 17 JUDGE STROTHER: I mean, it's not 18 going to be evidence. But you're just speaking, 19 making statements while you're examining the 20 witness. 21 Are we back to you, Mr. Driver? 22 MR. DRIVER: I have no further

1	questions.
2	JUDGE STROTHER: You may have said
3	that before actually. All right. No further
4	questions from Mr. Driver. Anyone else from the
5	industry have any questions? Anyone from USDA
6	have any questions?
7	MR. HATCH: Ms. Chilukuri and Melissa
8	Schmaedick had been disconnected. They're
9	attempting to connect back in.
10	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Let's give
11	them a moment.
12	MR. HATCH: This is Andy Hatch.
13	They're continuing to try to connect. And I've
14	conveyed that they have a couple minutes through
15	text.
16	JUDGE STROTHER: Thank you. Any idea
17	what's going on, Mr. Hatch?
18	MR. HATCH: They're connecting back
19	in. And I'm trying to activate them back to
20	speaking and everything.
21	MS. CHILUKURI: Hi. I'm Rupa
22	Chilukuri, USDA. I'm sorry. I think we got

1	kicked off at some point. So I apologize for
2	that.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: I'm not sure it was
4	your fault, Counsel. Is Ms. Schmaedick back yet?
5	MS. CHILUKURI: Ms. Schmaedick is
6	joining
7	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay, Great.
8	MS. CHILUKURI: joining through my
9	phone. So she is on
LO	(Telephonic interference.)
L1	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Great. Can
L2	you hear me, Ms. Schmaedick? All right. So I
L3	guess I'll just go through
L 4	(Telephonic interference.)
L5	JUDGE STROTHER: I don't know whether
L6	we had any testimony from Mr. MacTavish while you
L7	were offline, Ms. Chilukuri and Ms. Schmaedick,
L8	or not.
L9	But I guess I was making another pass
20	through to see whether anyone had any further
21	questions. Let's just try it that way. Does
22	USDA have any further questions?

1 MS. SCHMAEDICK: I do not. 2 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. 3 MS. CHILUKURI: Nor do I, Your Honor. 4 JUDGE STROTHER: All right. Mr. 5 MacTavish, did you say everything you had to say? MR. MACTAVISH: Probably more than I 6 7 Thank you, Judge. needed to say. 8 JUDGE STROTHER: Not at all. Okay. 9 We had one exhibit, which was Exhibit 30, which 10 is Mr. MacTavish's testimony. Any objection to 11 that going into the record? Hearing none, 12 Exhibit 30 is admitted into the record. 13 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as 14 15 Exhibit 30.) 16 JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I think we're 17 out of the initial witnesses, where I expressed a 18 willingness if there was no objection to have 19 either (telephonic interference) Mr. Mariani come 20 back on the stand, something of a rebuttal. 21 the California Walnut Board want to recall these 22 witnesses as rebuttal witnesses?

1	MS. CONNELLY: I don't believe that we
2	do, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Then I guess
4	we allowed USDA to call back Mr. Mariani and Mr.
5	Carriere before. Does USDA want to recall any
6	witnesses really for purposes of rebuttal I guess
7	even though you're not the proponent of the
8	change in the marketing order?
9	MS. CONNELLY: No, Your Honor. I
10	don't believe that we are (telephonic
11	interference)
12	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. It sounds like
13	no one wants any further
14	MS. CONNELLY: recalling any
15	additional witness.
16	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Anything else
17	having to do with witnesses that we need to talk
18	about now?
19	MS. CONNELLY: Are there going to be
20	additional witnesses?
21	JUDGE STROTHER: There are no
22	additional witnesses.

1	MR. MACTAVISH: Judge?
2	JUDGE STROTHER: Yeah.
3	MR. MACTAVISH: Judge? John MacTavish
4	here.
5	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes, sir.
6	MR. MACTAVISH: Am I still on?
7	JUDGE STROTHER: I can hear you, yes.
8	MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, I think I was
9	speaking to a Mr. Norene. And I just wanted to
10	set the record straight. I looked at my grower
11	payments. And can you hear me?
12	JUDGE STROTHER: We can. Proceed.
13	MR. MACTAVISH: Okay. I'm sorry. So
14	I am being assessed at the four cent rate after
15	doing my calculations. So I just wanted to let
16	him rest assured that I have my facts together
17	and that I wasn't incorrect. It's on edible
18	yield and not, and I was comparing, I was reading
19	those numbers on in-shell.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. I blame myself
21	for this record getting a little sloppy. And
22	this

1	MR. MACTAVISH: And that's it. Hey,
2	thank you for putting up with all this.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: That's what we're all
4	here for. Mr. Norene, did you hear Mr.
5	MacTavish's statement just then?
6	MR. NORENE: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	I missed a part of it. It broke up. Could he
8	repeat that answer, please?
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Actually, let me test
LO	my understanding of this. Did you say, Mr.
L1	MacTavish, that you were in fact being assessed
L2	the four cents on your statements?
L3	MR. MACTAVISH: Yeah, yes.
L 4	JUDGE STROTHER: That's what he said.
L5	MR. MACTAVISH: It's based on edible
L6	yield, not in-shell.
L7	JUDGE STROTHER: Do you have that in
L8	mind, Mr. Norene?
L9	MR. NORENE: I believe, Your Honor, if
20	he looked at the statement, it's four cents per
21	hundred weight of edible yield. That's the
22	correction that he needs to acknowledge. If he

1	would read that whole statement, he would be able
2	to see that.
3	JUDGE STROTHER: Mr. MacTavish, do you
4	agree with that, that it's
5	MR. MACTAVISH: I agree.
6	JUDGE STROTHER: The witness agrees.
7	MR. NORENE: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. That completes
9	you. Apparently, no one wants to call you back
LO	on the stand, Mr. Norene. (Telephonic
L1	interference) step down again from the virtual
L2	witness stand.
L3	We have no more witnesses as I
L4	understand it. Going once, going twice, sold.
L5	All right.
L6	So should we take lunch and then come
L7	back and talk about the procedural schedules?
L8	Ms. Chilukuri, I'm looking to you as one of the
L9	counsel.
20	MS. MCGOWAN: Your Honor, this is
21	Tracy McGowan, USDA. (Telephonic interference.)
22	JUDGE STROTHER: I'm sorry. You broke

1	up.
2	MS. MCGOWAN: I believe Ms. Chilukuri
3	is on again. I will
4	(Telephonic interference.)
5	JUDGE STROTHER: Can you hear me, Ms.
6	Chilukuri?
7	MS. CHILUKURI: I can. I'm having a
8	little bit of trouble.
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. And I think
10	MS. CHILUKURI: So I asked, during a
11	break, I asked Tracy to avoid any feedback issues
12	or anything like that.
13	MS. MCGOWAN: Correct. I can speak.
14	If you would like to talk about the scheduling, I
15	can speak on behalf of Ms. Chilukuri, if you'd
16	like to do that now. If you'd like to take a
17	little break and do it afterwards, it's certainly
18	up to you, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE STROTHER: Have you had the
20	opportunity to talk to other participants about
21	scheduling? Is this a consent proposal or
22	MS. MCGOWAN: I can't speak to that

there has been any consent by all the participants, no. These are just, it states that USDA has discussed, not with the other participants.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Let's go ahead and tell me which -- let's put what you have on the record. And if we have -- we may have to go off the record just to save pages to discuss.

But go ahead. What does USDA propose for a schedule?

MS. MCGOWAN: So the proposal would be, I believe you spoke already about corrections to the transcript. And I just wanted to clarify. Would that be, the proposal is, would that be seven calendar days after receipt of the transcript for corrections, or would it be seven business days to submit corrections to the transcript?

JUDGE STROTHER: It wasn't my
proposal. My recollection is someone said seven
business days, but whatever the parties think
they need and want. You've got to coordinate, as

I understand it, with other parties.

I don't think it's going to -- it only took us two days to make the transcript. It shouldn't take that long to go through it I wouldn't think.

But you do have to coordinate with others, as I understand USDA's proposal, but whatever the parties can agree to until you can't, and then I'll order something.

MS. MCGOWAN: Do we need to coordinate off the record, because I would propose seven calendar days, that would be one week, to submit, the USDA would submit corrections to the transcript after receiving them from the parties.

JUDGE STROTHER: Works for me. Any objections? What would we do if anyone had any problems with any of the objections you couldn't agree to amongst yourselves?

MS. MCGOWAN: Well, I believe that we would submit our corrections. And if another party didn't agree with ours, they could submit their corrections as well, in addition.

1	But we would be happy to collect the
2	corrections and submit those that we agreed with.
3	I'm just, I'm not familiar if there's been a
4	disagreement over the corrections before what to
5	do about that.
6	JUDGE STROTHER: Well, before I guess
7	I had suggested if there was a disagreement,
8	(telephonic interference) about that at the time.
9	Mr. MacTavish, you're back on screen. You
10	shouldn't
11	MR. MACTAVISH: And we were
12	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. Ms. McGowan,
13	I'll tell you what. These things are coming to
14	me. Why don't we put seven calendar days for
15	corrections? And then you can tell me whether
16	there are corrections you couldn't agree to and
17	we'll decide what to do then.
18	MS. MCGOWAN: Very good, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE STROTHER: All right.
20	MS. MCGOWAN: Judge Strother, just to
21	clarify, it's seven days after the transcript is

available on the AMS website?

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Yes. Seven days
2	after posting. I guess, Mr. Hatch, we post the
3	transcript on the same web page that the exhibits
4	are posted on now?
5	MR. HATCH: That's exactly right, Your
6	Honor.
7	JUDGE STROTHER: Very well. Okay.
8	Ms. McGowan, is there a proposal on briefing?
9	MS. MCGOWAN: Yes, Your Honor. On
10	briefing, we would then propose two weeks, that
11	would be 14 calendar days, after the transcript
12	is certified for a briefing deadline.
13	JUDGE STROTHER: California Walnut
14	Board, you're the ones that, one of the main, you
15	are the proponent.
16	By the way, Ms. McGowan, are you
17	proposing an initial brief and an answering brief
18	format, that is everybody would go first with an
19	initial brief, then everybody would have the
20	opportunity to answer?
21	MS. MCGOWAN: I would have to defer,
22	if Ms. Chilukuri is still on and can hear me.

```
1
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Yes. Ms. McGowan, I
 2
             This is Rupa Chilukuri, USDA. I just
 3
       wanted to --
 4
                   (Simultaneous speaking.)
 5
                   MS. CHILUKURI: -- a brief can be in
       the form of a letter. It can be very simple.
 6
 7
       So, at this point, I wouldn't request (telephonic
       interference).
 8
 9
                   JUDGE STROTHER: You were breaking up,
       Ms. Chilukuri.
10
11
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Sorry. Can you say
12
       that again, Judge Strother?
13
                   JUDGE STROTHER: You were breaking up.
       I couldn't hear what you said.
14
15
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Let me -- again, Judge
16
       Strother.
17
                   JUDGE STROTHER: Yes.
18
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Let me try a different
             Okay. Is this a little bit --
19
       way.
20
                   JUDGE STROTHER: It's --
21
                   (Telephonic interference.)
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Is this a little bit
22
```

1	better?
2	(Telephonic interference.)
3	JUDGE STROTHER: It's pretty broken
4	up.
5	MS. MCGOWAN: Your Honor, I texted Ms.
6	Chilukuri to see if she could text back to me
7	what she would like to say if she continues to
8	break up. So
9	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay.
LO	MS. MCGOWAN: Okay.
L1	JUDGE STROTHER: I mean, we could take
L2	a break for lunch. But I think we're pretty
L3	close to wrapping this up.
L 4	I guess I can turn to another item
L5	while we're waiting to hear about that. And I
L6	don't think we need to do anything more on
L7	exhibits.
L8	I just wanted to go over, I have
L9	admitted into evidence exhibits, as set out on
20	the AMS web page, 1 through 30 except Exhibit 27
21	was withdrawn by Ms. Connelly. So that's not
22	admitted. But all other exhibits between 1 and

```
30 are now part of the record. Is that -- would
 1
 2
       everyone agree that I have that correct?
 3
                   MS. MCGOWAN:
                                 Yeah.
 4
                   MR. HATCH: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
                   JUDGE STROTHER:
                                     Okay.
                                            So that's the
                Ms. Chilukuri, are you back with us?
 6
       record.
 7
                   MS. CHILUKURI: Can you hear me okay
 8
       now?
 9
                   JUDGE STROTHER:
                                     Is that you, Ms.
10
       Chilukuri? I could hear that.
11
                   MS. CHILUKURI:
                                   Yes.
12
                   JUDGE STROTHER: Okay --
13
                   MS. CHILUKURI: -- Rupa Chilukuri,
14
             So I just wanted to talk briefly about the
       USDA.
15
       briefs.
16
                                           Do you have a
                   JUDGE STROTHER: Yes.
17
       proposal?
18
                   MS. CHILUKURI:
                                    So --
19
                   (Telephonic interference.)
20
                   MS. CHILUKURI: -- a brief, it doesn't
21
       have to be a (telephonic interference) just
22
       following up on --
```

1	JUDGE STROTHER: Oh, boy. We got all
2	the way to the end.
3	MS. CHILUKURI: what Ms. McGowan
4	had said or anything like that. It would just be
5	people, a legal brief if people would go that
6	route.
7	JUDGE STROTHER: This is completely
8	breaking up. We got this far. It worked pretty
9	well. But
10	(Telephonic interference.)
11	JUDGE STROTHER: breaking up too
12	much for me to understand.
13	MS. HOAGLUND: Judge Strother? This
14	is Erin Hoaglund. If Ms. Chilukuri wants to
15	write her, what she wants to say in the chat
16	board, I can read it. It might be easier that
17	way, because it's very difficult.
18	MS. CHILUKURI: I actually did that to
19	Tracy. So, Tracy, did you receive
20	MS. MCGOWAN: No, I have not received
21	
22	MS. CHILUKURI: anything?

	MS. MCGOWAN: I'm sorry. I apologize,
2	Your Honor.
3	MS. CHILUKURI: Maybe I'll send that to
4	you, Erin.
5	MS. MCGOWAN: Right. I can speak to
6	that. Ms. Chilukuri was trying to make the point
7	that a brief doesn't have to be a legal brief in
8	that form with an argument. It could be in the
9	form of a letter opining on the record.
LO	And, yes, and I believe she is saying
L1	just one round of briefing. But, please, bear
L2	with me a minute, and I will confirm that with
L3	her.
L4	JUDGE STROTHER: Is Ms. Schmaedick on
L5	the line?
L6	MS. MCGOWAN: This is Tracy McGowan
L7	again. And she did confirm, yes, just one round
L8	of briefing, and the briefing could be, as I said
L9	before, in whatever format the submitter would
20	like. It does not have to be a formal brief.
21	JUDGE STROTHER: All right. I agree
22	with that. I'm not sure I agree with one round.

	is Ms. Schmaedick on the line; is Ms. Schmaedick
2	on Zoom here somehow? She's the one that's going
3	to write the recommended decision as I understand
4	it. And I want to be cognizant of what serves
5	her purposes.
6	(Telephonic interference.)
7	MS. HOAGLUND: Wasn't Ms. Schmaedick
8	calling through Rupa Chilukuri's line?
9	MS. CHILUKURI: I'll let Ms.
10	Schmaedick speak up if she is able. But if it's
11	breaking up, I'm not sure
12	JUDGE STROTHER: Yeah. Our technology
13	has failed us.
14	MS. MCGOWAN: I'm texting Ms.
15	Chilukuri again, so perhaps she will respond. I
16	apologize for the delay.
17	MR. HATCH: This is Andy Hatch.
18	Melissa Schmaedick texted me saying one round is
19	fine. We customarily only do one she says.
20	JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. She is the one
21	who has to write the decision.
22	I will say this on the record. We can

do one round of briefs. But if somebody has something they want to respond to, they can shoot in an answer with a request to respond and we'll deal with that when it happens, even with a request for a, you know, quick ruling on that.

Same thing on the transcript corrections. If transcript corrections are submitted and somebody has some disagreement with that, is there a provision for a particular time to object? Somebody can always make their own schedule, but it should be quick.

MS. MCGOWAN: Your Honor, this is Ms. McGowan again. I'm a little confused. So we've got the seven calendar days for the transcript request. And if there's a disagreement, then we would provide for that in the schedule. I understand that. I think that could be resolved fairly quickly.

But I wonder if we could get some clarification. You said the one round is fine, but if someone has a question or has a request for someone else to respond, that you would

consider that if it happened. What would you, would we have a call, a conference call to discuss the timing of that or what do you envision on that?

JUDGE STROTHER: Well, I think whoever is got the problem should, you know, request what they want me to do at the time.

An ideal thing to do would be to submit an answering brief that says this is what I want to respond to and this is why. This is inaccurate, or I didn't get the opportunity to raise this, or whatever the reason is why they think they deserve the opportunity to answer.

Now, I would advise parties submitting initial briefs, and normally I would say this anyway even if there were answering briefs provided for, don't sandbag. Don't assume you're going to be able to get in your position on the next round of briefs. Say whatever it is you have to say in the first round of briefs.

So I'm a little, I'm frankly a little skeptical that we just let people submit initial

briefs, because you don't have the discipline of 1 someone coming in and saying that's not right. 2 But if Ms. Schmaedick, who's writing 3 4 the recommended decision, can live with one round and that is the typical way of doing this, I 5 agree with that. I think if that's what the 6 7 parties desire, that's fine with me. Make sense? 8 MS. MCGOWAN: It makes sense to me. I feel like I'm 9 JUDGE STROTHER: 10 yelling. I apologize if it seems that way. 11 Hoaglund, you're smiling. Does this make all 12 sense to us as OALJ on this case? 13 MS. HOAGLUND: Yes. I just thought it was funny that you said you were yelling. 14 15 (Laughter.) 16 MS. HOAGLUND: You were. 17 JUDGE STROTHER: My wife tells me when 18 I work from home. I'm in an office now. Now, no 19 reason you should care about this. It's too much 20 information. But her office is right up above 21 from mine, and she says she can't work there

while I'm on one of these things because I'm

yelling. So I'll try to improve.

But, all right. It sounds to me.

Does anyone have any objections to one round of
briefs? Let's start with that. Hearing none,
we'll do one round of briefs.

Those briefs will be due 14 calendar days, and by due I mean they'll be filed with the Hearing Clerk's office. They can be sent in via email, the usual filing arrangements. I'm sure we could find out how to do that if somebody that's working on this doesn't know. Before 4:30 by the way, 14 calendar days after I certify the record.

MS. HOAGLUND: Judge Strother?

JUDGE STROTHER: Yes.

MS. HOAGLUND: What if the calendar day -- I haven't looked at it. But if the calendar day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, you want to move the deadline the next business day, like the Rules of Practice provide?

JUDGE STROTHER: Yes, yes, go by the

-- we could just say that in front. But the usual procedural rules would state that if the, if a day falls on a Saturday or a Sunday or a federal holiday, that automatically moves the deadline to the next business day. And that's what I would expect to follow here.

And if something, of course -- well, it's actually an interesting point when you actually consider it more before. It seems to me that the presiding judges have ruled on motions to extend those deadlines in the past.

But one could also argue that the rules provide that this case is out of my hands once I certify the record, and the administrator ought to be doing that. But let's just cross that bridge when we get there.

I would expect if someone seeks an extension of the deadline for some reason that since I'm the one that set that deadline, I would be the one to extend it. I know that's just a statement. I'm not asking for anyone to agree or disagree.

All right. Hearing no objections, seven calendar from the posting of the transcript that we receive from the reporter on the AMS website. USDA will submit proposed transcript corrections to me that had been vetted with other participants in the proceeding.

Then 14 calendar days after I certify the record, initial and the sole briefs, and the brief can be in any form. It could be a letter. It could be any kind of comment. Ms. Schmaedick is okay with this. The rules actually say argument or proposed findings of fact.

We're not going to put any pages
limits on those briefs. We're not going to put
any limits on the format. Just tell us your
position as supported by the record.

I will say that, as the rules provide, the record will be closed at that point. Any factual evidence can only come from the record. It can't come from something outside. Now there are rules on official letters and things like that.

But, and also I would say that as far as reply briefs or answering briefs, I mean, if somebody submits something with a bunch of attachments, that could be objectionable.

It could be a motion to -- it's not really a motion to strike, because it's not testimony. It would be a motion to disregard that portion of a brief. And I guess really that motion might, that motion might go through the administrator. So I think we have a procedural schedule.

I guess I should loop in the court reporter. Mr. Stroman, I understand that, or at least my recollection is that the Agency asked for a five business day turnaround on the transcript. Is that doable?

COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, all of those details are handled through our office. So I wouldn't be able to tell you, unfortunately.

JUDGE STROTHER: Okay. So you don't have, you have no projection of when the transcript --

1 COURT REPORTER: No, Your Honor. 2 don't have any information pertaining to that. But if anyone gives our office a call, they would 3 4 be able to direct you on that. 5 JUDGE STROTHER: Give me a moment. think that's all I have. Anyone else have 6 7 anything substantive, procedural, housekeeping, anything at all? 8 9 Hearing none, I appreciate 10 everyone's participation in this. It was a very 11 professionally done rulemaking hearing. 12 appreciate everybody's input. 13 I thought that the -- not that anyone 14 should go by my opinion on this, but I thought we 15 did well through this electronic means given the 16 situation. And it seems like a supportive record 17 Anyone else have anything to say? 18 Okay. With that, we'll close this 19 hearing. Have a good day. Stay safe. Off the 20 record. 21 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 22 went off the record at 3:38 p.m.)

-
A
a.m 1:16 5:2
ability 25:21 32:3 50:15
51:6 59:2
able 25:19 30:8 35:6
52:14 74:18 75:9,12
78:17 109:9 112:16
130:20 151:1 168:14
197:5 210:1 221:10
223:18 228:19 229:4
above- 138:9
above-entitled 1:15
97:8 229:21
above-referred 7:11
24:18 99:21 149:1
206:13
absolutely 22:12 43:12
46:5 50:1 52:15 69:17
84:21 129:20 145:11
165:13
abuse 32:10 58:10
128:22
abuses 31:2 48:7 121:5
abusing 58:18 121:10
122:14
accept 49:1
acceptability 61:15
acceptable 82:18,22
accepted 32:9 52:22
53:2,6,22 54:7 84:8
access 12:22 50:15
155:2 161:21
accommodations
166:7
account 98:15,22 141:2
accounting 28:16 84:21
138:17 142:8
accurate 72:7 121:7
164:14 165:21
accurately 111:11
achieving 153:9
acknowledge 209:22
acquired 95:11
acquisition 33:19 39:13
acquisitions 29:4,10,15
33:11 37:13,16 39:13
39:19 94:11,12 95:2,3
acre 122:3
acreage 100:17
acres 176:3
act 151:18
acting 26:5 126:2 152:8
activate 124:8 204:19
activated 162:22
activities 27:21 28:12
28:20,22 29:7,22 31:4
1
ı

31:15 32:1 34:1,11,13 34:15 41:1,21 42:11 48:1 51:13 55:2,19 56:5,6,13,15 57:6,9 57:10,13,14,19 58:1 60:22 61:17,18,19 62:2.5 63:3.5 66:10 66:11,21,21,22 67:21 68:7,9,17 72:3 73:6 73:15 75:1,2,4,6,7,10 76:6 92:4 110:20 111:10,11,12 112:1,2 113:20 114:3 119:1 119:13 120:5,8,10 121:4 128:10,12 129:16 130:7 132:3 133:5 139:13 140:6,9 140:9,12,13,16,17 141:22 activity 4:8 24:11 30:3 31:9,10,19 32:13,16 34:5,7,9,9 35:20 42:5 44:16 46:13 49:8,21 51:22 52:10 54:6 58:14 59:19 60:1 61:2 63:1 69:11 70:5,19 72:6 75:19 76:11,15 77:1 82:20 118:8 120:13,14,16,18 128:18,18 129:18,19 140:20 actual 183:2,14 ad 61:4,10 add 185:7 added 7:4 162:11 adding 79:18 addition 200:2 213:22 additional 6:9 75:14 120:10 136:21 140:22 203:7 207:15,20,22 address 25:2 28:3 50:5 54:8 100:3 134:12 145:21 152:18,19,21 153:1,2,4 158:20 163:12 addressed 83:1 90:2 addresses 18:4 110:22 116:12 194:9 addressing 115:14 adjust 119:13 adjusted 119:14 administer 28:16 142:10 administered 28:8 administration 155:14

administrator 226:14 228:10 admission 25:15 admitted 206:12 217:19 217:22 admonishment 189:16 ads 63:7 advantage 85:8 advertise 122:12 129:6 129:22 advertising 34:2 42:19 53:5.6 56:18 60:17 61:9 84:3 131:11 140:10 199:19 200:18 **advice** 203:1 advise 223:14 Advisor 3:22 affiliate 46:3,10,12 47:1 47:2,16 affiliated 152:11 affiliates 48:13 affiliation 18:7 affiliations 151:16 152:4 afield 158:19 189:12,14 afraid 95:21 152:19 Ag 58:5 59:2 agency 32:11,12,14 53:9 84:4 144:7 228:14 ago 108:17 151:21 152:5 **agree** 54:4 96:15 111:20 141:12 163:15 163:16 164:13 187:10 189:18 195:10 199:7 200:11,14,19 210:4,5 213:8,18,21 214:16 218:2 220:21,22 224:6 226:21 **agreed** 214:2 agreeing 97:16 agreement 140:5 agrees 164:19 187:9 210:6 Agricultural 2:10 57:20 100:20 130:8 **Agriculture** 1:1 2:2,4,9 5:5 57:21 130:9 142:2 143:15 **Ah** 109:8 194:1 ahead 21:20 23:21 71:3 82:12 91:14 212:5,9 air 198:13 **al** 5:6 allocated 40:1

allow 48:13 76:19 141:19 177:9 allowable 39:4 77:21 allowed 31:20 94:16,18 128:11 167:3 193:6 207:4 allowing 7:21 84:19 104:11 107:7 128:21 150:10 172:21 194:11 **allows** 175:3 alluded 42:9 **almond** 57:13 104:16 125:2 130:13 almonds 71:11 102:1 104:18 alternatives 114:15 amend 55:22 56:3 amended 152:11 186:11 **amendment** 1:9 5:13 amendments 155:15 amount 29:18 38:4,11 38:13,17 40:2,3 70:15 70:16 83:17,18 96:3 100:17 114:8,14,19 131:22 195:16 196:3 196:6,10,19 197:6 amounts 183:10 196:5 **AMS** 3:10 14:19 150:4 214:22 217:20 227:3 **AMS'** 108:16 **AMS-SC-19-0082** 1:9 analysis 102:9 109:17 analyzed 43:10 **analyzing** 43:13,14 and/or 57:20 60:3,4 93:18 118:11 **Andrew** 3:9 5:22 67:11 82:6 Andy 12:18 19:14 67:11 204:12 221:17 anecdotal 187:17 announced 74:5 announcing 155:11 annual 27:15 30:13 40:16 55:20 81:6 139:7,17 141:5 155:17 182:3 198:17 199:6 annualized 76:21 81:8 annually 27:14 31:13 105:2 139:6 answer 23:17 36:20 50:4 81:21 123:5,10 127:1 171:8 172:15 173:2 181:9 183:4

Administrative 1:3,20

II			231
	74.0	154.47	F4-00 FF-4 70-0 40 40
189:20 191:3 199:1	approves 74:2	151:17	54:22 55:4 79:9,10,18
209:8 215:20 222:3	approximately 33:15	Associates 105:8 106:2	79:20 80:3,3,13
223:13	104:5,12 121:20	106:7	110:15 120:9 187:17
answered 90:15 91:5	April 1:13 5:3,22	association 152:7,8,9	back 9:14 10:2 11:5
153:14 183:4 191:6	area 122:8 125:15	152:10 154:3,16	23:6,10 25:8 33:4
202:4	areas 111:18,21 120:19	185:16 194:6 201:4,8	35:2,15 39:3 40:17,20
answering 176:21	120:21 122:10,10	201:13 203:10	50:20 55:5 57:10 58:2
215:17 223:9,16	argue 226:12	assume 33:13 99:6	59:13 60:12,14 61:21
228:2	argument 180:9 220:8	118:21 123:2 223:17	62:22 67:12 68:18
answers 98:21 192:6	227:12	assuming 23:7 74:6	70:6,7,13,15 76:22
anticipate 44:22 49:17	arrangement 168:16	107:7 177:9 195:2	77:19 79:5,10,20
anybody 99:4 119:2	arrangements 225:9	assured 208:16	80:12 81:17 82:6
122:14 172:16 173:2	arugula 122:21	attached 6:22	84:19 85:1 86:15
anyone's 19:2	asked 16:15 17:13 19:8	attaching 45:12	89:21 92:8 93:2 97:1
anytime 45:5	25:1 64:22 78:3 82:10	attachments 228:4	97:6,11 100:13
anyway 223:16	90:15 91:3 100:3,16	attempting 29:21 204:9	103:17 117:21 121:14
AO-SC-20-J-0011 1:8	101:14,21 102:4	attend 52:18	126:16,22 127:17
apologies 41:4 192:19	107:5 120:4 122:17	attendee 19:13,17	131:18 136:3,8 137:9
apologize 40:7 41:3	153:13 154:7 167:22	attention 57:16 113:10	141:8 152:13 157:16
55:14 64:22 66:3 82:7	171:12 181:19 188:21	115:5 145:13	161:6 164:9,9 174:6
82:11 93:9 107:13	199:12 211:10,11	attorney 2:5 3:22 16:18	182:15 186:17 187:5
116:15 151:2,6	228:14	attractive 29:19	191:2 199:5,8 203:14
161:21 180:16 205:1	asking 18:4 41:5 67:21	audible 20:4 181:14	203:21 204:9,18,19
220:1 221:16 224:10	79:16 80:3 125:7	audience 8:5	205:4 206:20 207:4
Apparently 210:9	136:5 154:15 160:22	audit 30:9	210:9,17 214:9 217:6
appeal 143:14 146:2	176:16 199:9 203:13	August 30:7 66:7 101:8	218:6
appeals 54:9 111:1	226:21	131:15,16 133:6	background 56:9
115:8,9,11 116:14	asks 134:22	authority 28:14 54:18	158:21 159:5 160:21
139:22 141:10 143:6	assessed 93:22 202:4	147:14,19 155:20	161:3 166:4 172:20
143:19		174:21	175:22
	208:14 209:11		backing 72:22
appearance 17:21 18:6	assesses 93:22 179:20	authority's 27:6 138:22 authorize 125:20 146:3	backs 76:5 82:17
appearances 2:1 16:14	assessment 27:15 29:6	155:15	bad 23:6 152:20 170:22
16:16,17 17:1,6,14	33:7 38:18,21,22 46:6	authorized 119:12	balance 121:3
appears 23:20	51:1 64:5,8 80:4 96:6		
applicability 54:22,22	96:9 97:20 98:2,10,18	184:2,9	balancing 121:6 bar 69:10,11 70:2
76:4 110:18	98:19 102:1 110:15	automatically 226:4	bargaining 152:6,8
applicable 28:18 38:5	139:7 147:17 154:2	availability 21:15 107:8	155:3 194:6 203:10
38:18 43:1	155:17 156:14 179:21	available 4:10 10:19,21	
application 38:20 39:6	180:12 182:12 183:12	12:5 14:19 15:21 16:1	based 12:1 21:4 29:9
39:7	184:4,9,13 185:3,9,10	19:9 23:10 24:13	29:11 33:7,11 69:14
apply 58:7 70:15	196:14,16 197:11,12	27:18,19 29:8,14	70:5,10 72:17 77:7
128:13 172:7	197:14,16 198:1,5	33:19 37:3 38:5,10,11	95:1,2,10 103:5,6,10
applying 135:10,11	201:10,11,14	39:2 41:22 50:3 77:4	106:3 107:8 114:16
appreciate 8:2 81:20	assessments 30:21	92:5,15,17,17 107:1	150:12 201:11 209:15
99:19 102:22 106:19	33:17 50:13,18 72:10	125:9 126:20 133:5	bases 164:19
119:8,17 155:21	72:13,16 73:5,21	139:10,11,16 141:4	basically 61:13 78:11
194:10 229:9,12	74:20 77:8,11,14,16	214:22	105:5 191:19
approach 58:2 77:15	94:5,17,20 101:21	Avenue 2:6,11	basis 30:13 40:17 55:16
166:15	102:3 104:17 131:14	average 37:9 100:21	55:20 59:3 72:14,21
approaches 17:17	132:2,13,19 154:1	avoid 121:10 122:13	76:21 81:6,8 94:6,13
appropriate 77:22	156:2,20 170:2 179:3	211:11	bear 92:1 171:18
82:18 193:15	181:12,21 182:3	aware 175:15,18	220:11
approval 27:9 30:14	183:18 196:1,13	awkward 151:7	beet 122:18
139:2 142:18	199:6,7		beets 122:20
approved 41:9 43:11	assigned 12:17	<u>B</u>	beginning 8:9 17:21
116:3,17 141:18	associated 150:5	b 30:17 37:22 46:1	27:13 74:10 81:10
	l		I
•			

132:11,19 145:10 192:3 **begins** 73:16 110:9 behalf 2:2,14 16:18 182:6 199:16 211:15 **belief** 111:5 believe 5:22 10:9.21 11:16 21:3,22 37:20 42:14 56:6 60:2 64:7 101:8 108:4 115:19 117:13 119:9 129:17 153:12 155:10 156:13 163:12 168:2,15 177:16 178:14 191:4 194:17 201:5 207:1 207:10 209:19 211:2 212:12 213:19 220:10 **benefit** 121:12 benefits 89:4 best 11:15,17,19 29:13 37:18 140:14 167:11 168:9 189:10 **better** 25:13 35:11 90:18 108:13 150:16 161:2 217:1 beyond 55:9 189:18 **big** 56:18 136:6 171:6 biggest 170:1 **bill** 10:3,6 95:10 billboard 63:7 **billed** 185:8 **billings** 52:3 53:7 **bills** 200:3,3 **bio** 96:19 149:11 **bit** 36:12 38:7 68:11,13 91:1 105:2 120:5 123:4 131:8 211:8 216:19,22 **black** 92:10 **blah** 195:11,11,11 **blame** 208:20 **Board's** 41:14 50:17 54:14 60:21 89:1 114:21 **Bob's** 47:5 **boil** 64:3 **books** 30:9 92:3 **boost** 71:14 **booth** 130:12,15 **borne** 155:5 165:17 169:20 bottom 60:13 145:14 201:8 **bound** 145:22 **box** 92:10 boy 201:12 219:1

butter 34:22 bracket 87:14,19 90:5 172:2 brackets 172:1 **brand** 46:19 47:10 71:21 75:20 branded 60:8 75:13 140:18 brands 60:4 break 96:20.22 97:12 108:10 149:11 211:11 211:17 217:8,12 breakdown 197:10 breaking 41:4 216:9,13 219:8,11 221:11 **bridge** 226:16 brief 215:17,17,19 216:5 218:20 219:5 220:7,7,20 223:9 227:9 228:8 **briefing** 14:11 15:4 16:12 215:8,10,12 220:11,18,18 **briefly** 218:14 briefs 218:15 222:1 223:15,16,19,20 224:1 225:4,5,6 227:8 227:14 228:2,2 bring 5:18 69:18 121:12 136:8 187:7 **bringing** 105:10 **broad** 192:16 **broadest** 192:15 broke 209:7 210:22 **broken** 217:3 brought 122:6 budget 27:15,16 31:11 33:12,15,16 55:12,17 73:4,10,12 74:3,7 103:16 104:3 139:7,8 147:15 budgetary 33:9 budgeted 29:4,12 76:21 building 73:10 bunch 228:3 **burden** 12:2 18:13 20:19 21:8 177:8 business 14:18 15:1.5 61:1,6,22 63:10 90:4 117:9 135:7 140:21 152:21 153:1 154:20

166:15 184:15 212:17

212:21 225:20 226:5

businesses 154:22

228:15

167:3

busy 133:2

butters 112:11 buy 62:13 167:6 **buying** 159:10 **c** 4:1 31:1 47:22 79:5.7 79:16,20 80:3,4,13 110:16 120:9 C-O-N-N-E-L-L-Y 26:18 calculate 33:5 70:9 calculated 131:21 calculation 33:2 35:3 35:15 37:2,6,10 38:9 calculations 4:9,9 24:12,13 35:18 208:15 calendar 212:15 213:12 214:14 215:11 222:14 225:6,12,16,18 227:2 227:7 California 1:8 2:14,16 2:17 5:13 10:8 14:22 15:10 26:19 34:16 56:17 57:21 59:11.12 59:15,20,22 60:5,7 70:21 71:22 75:16,19 79:8,17 87:4 88:1,20 89:7 91:4,9 92:5 93:2 93:7,8,9,10,14 96:7,8 98:14 102:11 121:12 130:9 138:18 142:1 152:6,7 155:3 156:11 156:19 157:4 160:12 161:20 163:10 170:6 172:2 174:17 177:6 183:11 185:4,4 196:12,13,14,15,20 197:11,12,14,22 198:4 199:16,21 200:16 201:15 206:21 215:13 call 9:14 10:2 19:2,19 20:12 30:16 101:2 137:15 157:11 164:8 164:9 170:8 173:16 173:18 207:4 210:9 223:2.2 229:3 called 24:4 61:3 85:17 117:21 134:7 138:3 149:21 178:15 calling 20:21 221:8 **campaign** 61:5 77:9

capable 115:14,16 capacities 26:22 capacity 151:19 152:9 **capture** 111:12 care 224:19 careful 28:7 Carrier 127:4 Carriere 3:13 4:4 10:3,6 10:21 11:1,4,7 21:11 64:15 65:4,11 126:14 126:20,21 127:2,7,16 134:10 136:22 137:10 207:5 carries 40:14 carry 40:16 carrying 42:7 case 12:14 46:20 68:4 70:13 73:17 104:6 161:17 224:12 226:13 cases 161:12 catch 10:4 23:14 51:7 category 123:22 176:3 caught 51:1 162:2 cause 51:17 caveat 32:8 52:22 60:3 92:15 **CDFA** 58:5 59:3 130:15 ceiling 29:11 39:7,11 40:1 cent 69:1 96:3 198:2,2 198:3 208:14 cents 29:17,18 41:11 41:13 43:1 68:15 89:21 94:1 96:9 97:19 187:16 188:4,10 190:1,2,2,7,12 191:5 196:8,11 197:6 198:6 198:12 203:5 209:12 209:20 certain 45:15 49:6,7,13 114:2 119:1 147:21 162:10 certainly 6:14 19:18 22:6 42:3.14.21 43:22 48:9 49:13 51:3,18,20 53:3 54:9,15 56:11 58:4,7 60:20 68:16 83:10 118:13,13 133:3 211:17 certification 15:3.5 **certified** 184:7 215:12 certify 225:12 226:14 227:7 cetera 48:22 101:13,13 160:2 200:3

candy 69:9,11

cap 33:10,19 131:21

capabilities 82:4

challenge 140:1 141:11

184:18 cite 105:19 challenging 89:5 6:12 73:5 146:18 collection 81:4 change 37:13 39:8,11 **claim** 31:4 32:14 48:2 156:17,18 182:4 54:12 76:12,22 77:20 collectively 169:7 39:13,16 42:3 43:5 communications 74:9 collects 178:14 183:11 83:3,7,12,13,14,18 52:2 53:12 78:11 79:1 139:20 141:8 156:10 186.2 86:21 141:17 142:22 84:17,20 94:15 157:7 170:4 column 37:21 38:1 41:7 143:1,2,3 190:9 110:13 113:5,8 131:4 companies 98:9 142:16 143:8.18 54:21 57:17 60:13 198:22 207:8 **company** 47:4,6 118:22 110:10,11,22 113:3 comparable 104:21 **changed** 30:13 39:20 145:1,18 146:16 115:6,7 165:8 53:10 compared 82:18 combined 87:18 171:22 claimant 84:19 **changes** 44:10 52:9 comparing 208:18 134:14 190:8 **claims** 116:17,19 **come** 6:10 8:6 10:12 compatriots 96:19 15:10 21:4 23:6.10 changing 45:5 51:21 118:10,18 146:8 competent 115:16 clarification 47:21 25:8 42:12 51:19 **CHANNING** 1:19 competing 168:11,11 53:22 74:2,3 88:19 60:12 78:22 79:12 competitive 163:13,20 **charge** 162:16 167:4 **charged** 132:10 166:19 88:3 93:20 151:22 91:8,21 97:1,6 101:8 167:1 171:15 187:14 199:11 115:15 126:16,22 **charges** 96:2 97:19 complementary 71:20 complete 74:11 136:11 203:7 222:20 136:5 192:17 203:14 165:14 clarifications 102:22 206:19 210:16 227:19 **charts** 101:3 122:4 completed 76:7,10 chat 150:21 219:15 **clarified** 101:16 199:3 227:20 119:2 191:11 comes 16:10 53:9 58:4 **check** 30:1 44:15,19,21 clarifies 157:14 completely 73:3 219:7 clarify 64:11 65:18 60:20 63:5 83:11 completes 106:15 87:3 142:19,19 79:16 100:5 101:20 134:22 161:12 165:14 126:7 191:13 210:8 checking 58:21 65:4 **checks** 45:2,7,11 59:7 153:15,22 199:1 166:13 **compliance** 32:6 141:1 116:16 179:10 203:3,11 212:13 **comfortable** 115:10,12 components 72:13 **Chief** 1:20 coming 11:5 100:18 214:21 compute 69:15 Chilukuri 2:3 5:19,19 clarifying 95:15 201:20 111:16 127:16 137:9 computer 150:22 156:7 clarity 45:16 183:7 177:10 214:13 224:2 183:19 6:21 9:5,6 14:14 comment 26:6 43:7 15:13,21 16:6 17:8,10 clean 49:15 conceivable 118:17 21:6,6 25:10 26:13 clear 34:6 41:19 70:18 44:9 203:2,5 227:10 conceivably 39:18 64:17,18 65:16,17 78:7 95:20 97:15 comments 99:7 172:22 conceive 51:19 141:15 194:10 157:19 163:19 167:20 69:22 82:2 90:8,11,14 conceived 31:20 52:1 177:5 182:21 183:13 Commission 96:8 107:13 119:21,22 concept 22:9 120:2 123:11 136:20 202:17,18 168:19 185:4,9 concern 171:7 175:2,11 147:5,6,8,9 148:4 clearly 55:15 142:7 196:13,14 197:11 175:12 173:6,7,10 174:2,3 clears 198:13 198:1 concerned 6:12 169:19 commissioned 105:3 clerk 12:16 169:22 176:20 183:1,15,16 186:9,15 189:7,11,16 Clerk's 5:9 225:8 commissions 160:2 concerns 5:12 18:21 204:7,21,22 205:5,8 **clients** 168:10 committee 28:6 43:16 50:5 54:8 **CLJ's** 5:8 43:22 44:1 54:11 205:17 206:3 210:18 **concludes** 35:21 144:2 56:12 58:9 73:19 211:2,6,7,10,15 **clock** 149:8 conclusion 25:3 111:19 115:19 116:4 215:22 216:1,2,5,10 close 30:8 169:10 171:6 **conduct** 141:22 216:11.15.18.22 190:18 197:9 217:13 128:5,6 140:5 143:10 conducted 31:10 34:15 229:18 143:19 145:19 146:5 217:6 218:6,7,10,11 59:19 60:1 76:7.9.15 closed 227:18 146:14 82:20 92:4 102:13 218:13,13,18,20 committee- 143:2 219:3,14,18,22 220:3 **closer** 35:7 78:17 105:7 committees 120:12 220:6 221:9,15 **code** 178:12.13 conducting 62:2 cognizant 116:1 221:4 151:17 conducts 41:2 Chilukuri's 221:8 commodities 57:11 **choose** 88:10 139:18 coincidentally 176:8 conference 19:19 20:13 **common** 72:5 141:7 145:3,7 197:1 collect 94:2,5,8 96:7 223:2 confidential 143:22 182:11 196:19 214:1 communicate 27:17 **chooses** 26:2 98:3 collected 27:15 72:16 74:8 96:18 134:12,19 confidentiality 146:1 **chose** 62:18 68:12 134:21 139:9 151:2.4 112:4 79:4 98:3 139:7 154:5 confirm 50:7 55:15 Chuck 3:14 154:7 158:3 155:5 156:21 179:11 communicated 81:11 58:12 73:14 74:2 179:11 182:6 186:12 162:7 147:13 154:9 172:16 180:3 Chuck's 180:7 **collecting** 181:12,20 communicating 105:20 172:17 220:12,17 circumstances 39:15 182:2,12 183:10 communication 6:3,6 confirmation 108:17

11
confirms 74:7 confused 66:4 222:13 Congress 178:12 connect 174:6 204:9,13 connecting 204:18 connectivity 82:8 Connelly 2:15 4:3,8 10:15,20 11:2,18 14:16 15:6 19:4,12,16 20:1,10,18,20 21:1,2 21:11,12,14,18,22 22:9,14,17,18 23:3,16 23:22 24:3,9,16,21 25:7 26:4,7,10,15,16 26:17,18 33:1 35:8,11 36:3,11,18 64:19 65:6 65:18 80:16,19 86:2 88:18 91:1 113:18 116:5 121:5 122:16 125:9 130:17 154:11 158:4,9,9 160:14,15 171:11 177:16 179:2 179:9 180:17,20,22 181:1,18 182:11,13 182:19 194:12 207:1 207:9,14,19 217:21 Connelly's 26:11 Connolly 96:15 97:21 99:19 102:21 106:16 106:16 Connolly's 97:13 99:13 cons 170:9 consent 211:21 212:1 considered 34:21 49:22 52:10 60:22 61:5 68:14 89:8 91:4 109:22 120:12 considering 52:9 consistenty 32:10 consistent 8:7 192:19 constituents 134:19 constituents 134:19 constituents 82:22 consumer 61:14,14 171:5 consumers 62:13
considering 52:9
consistency 32:10
constituents 134:19
171:5
157:22
consumption 34:16 56:17 57:8 59:15 62:4
62:16 63:13 70:20
71:15 89:11 111:14
130:2 140:15 contact 11:2 18:17,19
contacted 83:8
I

context 62:2,10 66:18 181:7 continue 100:10 159:2 160:18 165:1 174:1 continues 48:19 110:14 110:16 217:7 continuing 204:13 contract 57:19 97:22 194:8 196:21 contributes 154:3 control 45:20 159:19 178:16 controls 45:10 controversies 16:9 conversation 112:5 conversations 111:19 112:14 conveyed 204:14 coordinate 212:22 213:6,10 copies 31:8 48:21 **copy** 6:8 13:8,9 36:15 **correct** 13:11,13 45:19 48:4 51:10 60:2,10,11 61:12 64:6 71:22 72:1 72:8,8 74:20,21 75:18 75:18 81:7,18,19 89:8 89:9 95:14 96:3 99:8 99:9 103:11,12,21 104:4 111:4 113:18 129:13,14 131:20 146:7,10 148:2,3 194:19,20 201:4 211:13 218:2 corrected 172:15 correction 15:16 209:22 corrections 14:12,17 14:18,21 15:11,14,15 15:20 16:3,5,9 212:12 212:16,17 213:13,20 213:22 214:2,4,15,16 222:7,7 227:5 correctly 113:6 121:2 162:1 correctness 142:17 cost 61:6.22 121:14 135:1 165:18 169:19 172:9 200:5 costs 40:16 89:4 90:10 159:22 160:2 162:3

162:11,12,14,16

172:5 200:18

counsel 2:5 10:17

165:10,16,19 166:1,2

120:1 136:19 147:7

couple 12:11 61:16 69:7 75:21 82:6,14 86:9,13 91:17 93:21 132:1 169:13 179:22 179:22 204:14 course 15:15 16:1 53:13 55:21 56:20 61:1 71:6 74:6,15 85:3,5 92:13 95:13 132:1 178:12 200:4 226:7 **court** 13:7,11,15,18 14:6 18:2,10 35:6,10 228:12,17 229:1 cover 184:9 covered 31:21 36:12 120:6,6 122:21 Crain 3:14 154:7 158:3 163:19 164:1 167:22 **Crain's** 102:5 167:19 176:1 180:3 craw 170:1 create 112:9 115:21 122:7,8 **created** 168:19 **creates** 123:7 creating 112:10 169:6 credible 56:7 credit 35:1 39:3 55:4 57:10 58:2 60:14 62:22 68:18 70:6,13 70:15 76:5 79:10 81:17 82:17 86:15 87:10,11 89:22 92:8 93:1 103:17 155:16 170:2 199:5,8 credit- 35:14 141:7 credit-back 27:16,18,22 31:5,7 37:3 38:3,4,17 38:19 39:6 40:22 48:2 49:19 110:13 113:5 116:17 125:2 129:16 139:8,10,12,14,17,19 141:5 142:11 147:12 148:1 150:15 154:2 156:2 162:4 169:16 170:9.22 172:6 174:21 credit-backed 27:19 credit-backs 135:11 **creditable** 4:9 24:12 37:2 38:3 66:9,14,16 67:16 68:4,8 76:6 79:7 83:4 113:11 credited 41:8 79:5,19

178:20 205:4 210:19

80:12 crediting 147:16 **crop** 65:20 66:6 132:12 135:17 156:16 158:1 159:13,15,18,18,21 161:11,15 162:13 163:18 188:19 189:10 crop/fiscal 139:4 cross 58:21 97:17 100:12,13 187:1 192:16 226:15 cross- 189:19 cue 32:19 cultivates 159:12 Curator 3:9 **curious** 75:2 86:15 124:22 125:5 144:17 **current** 37:12,16 50:13 50:14,18 51:5,13 74:19 77:17 94:17 currently 104:15 customarily 221:19 **CWB** 3:13,14,15,16,17 3:18,19,20 4:12 26:2 27:10,14,17 28:16 30:7,8 139:3,6,9,20 140:16 141:9 142:7 142:12 143:10,20 151:18 182:19,22 **CWC** 151:18

D **d** 1:19 31:11 110:18 116:15 120:10 **D-O-N-O-** 138:16 **D(3)(i)** 59:9 **D(4)(i)** 57:18 **D.C** 2:7,11 data 29:14 103:6 131:12 date 114:2 125:10 142:15 **DAVIS** 3:8 day 5:15 15:1,1 16:2 85:18 146:18,18 225:17,18,20 226:3,5 228:15 229:19 day's 91:22 Daylight 1:16 days 14:18 15:5 112:7 113:13 114:7,9,13 116:18,21 117:5,10 118:6,14 131:4,16 133:8 179:22 212:15 212:17,21 213:3,12 214:14,21 215:1,11

dip 142:4 131:2 142:12,17 describe 43:20 113:16 222:14 225:7,12 described 111:10 123:3 144:19 dipping 58:17 227:7 deadline 215:12 225:19 153:18 156:17 157:21 direct 44:4 115:5 229:4 documented 113:12 documents 12:21 24:19 159:6 162:13 168:5 direction 140:8 226:5.18.19 99:22 169:21 175:3 deadlines 139:21 141:9 directly 5:21 51:8 59:13 doing 8:7 15:14 17:19 102:6 105:17 116:13 226:11 describes 113:7 deal 16:10 222:4 describina 159:8 174:16 186:13 61:15 62:1 97:14 director 2:15 26:19 153:18 168:22 169:1 **December** 118:15 **Description** 4:6 **deserve** 223:13 138:17 142:9 169:7 178:7 208:15 decide 12:5,6 43:5 224:5 226:15 147:19,22 148:1 disagree 15:17 140:2 design 60:21 dollar 87:20.22 89:20 175:18 214:17 designed 55:6,8 125:4 141:12 165:11 226:22 133:12 143:5 disagreement 164:10 103:16 decided 12:13 29:18 dollars 4:10 24:14 desire 224:7 186:1 214:4,7 222:8 42:4 33:10,14 76:20 88:2 **decides** 193:9 222:15 desk 156:4 90:3 92:8 104:20 detail 56:22 135:3 deciding 43:8 disagrees 115:17 detailed 34:6 146:17 185:20 199:6 decision 68:13 115:18 discipline 224:1 domestic 170:12 140:1 141:12 146:2 details 139:16 141:4 146:17 193:2 221:3 disclose 59:1 167:5 Don 102:17 228:18 disclosed 92:19 **Donald** 3:10 21:17 221:21 224:4 determination 54:5 decision-193:8 84:10 116:7 191:1 disclosure 166:8 **Donoho** 3:15 4:4,11 decision-maker 193:9 193:4,6,13 disconnected 204:8 11:18 84:22 106:22 decision-maker's **determine** 29:10,14 discount 62:12 137:16,17,19 138:2,7 33:6 35:16 55:11,11 **discounting** 62:6,7,9 138:13,16 144:11 193:12 147:10 148:11 149:5 decisions 135:7 59:5 84:5,17 62:11,16 declines 116:4 determined 29:3.5 discuss 41:10 44:1 **Donoho's** 148:18 deduct 165:18 34:19 38:12 41:17 83:13 131:8 212:8 double 58:17 142:4 deductible 90:4 43:1,16 55:13 56:13 223:3 double-dipping 130:17 69:1 83:4 126:15 discussed 29:16 68:11 **Dr** 102:17,20 103:14 deduction 156:15 deducts 179:20 159:9 161:9,17 166:6 104:10 111:18,21 106:8 deemed 61:22 determines 162:15 128:16 129:1.10 draft 4:11 24:15 25:16 defer 21:5,9 25:1 181:8 **determining** 53:1 58:20 130:16 140:17 142:2 drafting 109:17 68:15 120:13 193:13 178:19 212:3 draw 113:10 215:21 **Dried** 201:12 deferred 100:4 **develop** 44:5 140:21 discussion 42:16 66:9 **define** 28:19 **developed** 28:6 56:10 68:21 88:12 110:4 dries 159:13 **Drive 2:16** defined 114:22 140:3 121:10 128:9,10 129:3 140:4 150:12 Driver 3:16 162:19,20 defining 34:12 developing 31:18 98:15 154:1 172:18 definitely 55:14 119:4 141:2 193:7 162:20 163:7,9,9,10 119:16 121:9 128:14 development 111:7 discussions 88:19 91:8 164:3,15,21,22 171:9 177:20,20,21 178:1,5 **definition** 34:7 48:17 199:20 200:18 112:8 125:3 136:2 disparity 187:20 178:9,10 180:19,21 deflect 40:15 **DFA** 201:12 186:17,18,22 187:13 **defray** 157:3 dictating 49:12 **display** 108:18 difference 75:8 188:5 189:2,19,22 190:11 delay 221:16 **dispute** 116:9 disqualified 51:8 190:16 191:2,4,10,13 delegated 28:15 190:3 differences 65:22 78:5 disqualify 59:22 203:21.22 204:4 **delivered** 196:5,7,9 due 50:13 51:8 87:11 disregard 228:7 **delivers** 159:15 78:8 different 7:7 17:17 distinct 75:8 132:13 225:6,7 delivery 161:12 197:19 **distinction** 67:4,5,22 duly 24:5 107:20 127:9 demand 112:9 115:22 34:20 42:10.10 43:9 distributed 6:13 138:4 149:22 120:18 122:9 123:7 43:17 66:11 68:19 184:1 divide 196:6 duty 188:22 72:12 75:17 93:21 **Dylan** 13:22 121:6,6 128:12 129:1 **doable** 228:16 demonstration 122:19 denied 143:9 146:16 148:2 153:17 160:13 docket 1:8 5:5,7,9 Ε document 3:9 7:12 85:7 **department** 1:1 2:2,4,9 163:16 167:10 172:20 190:4 192:4 216:18 138:10 149:2 206:14 **e** 4:1 28:4 31:17 51:12 5:5 17:18 57:21 130:9 difficult 22:4 167:21 documentation 31:7 55:1 56:5 67:21 142:1 depending 39:14 143:1 168:7 219:17 45:19 48:20 49:2,6,21 110:20 111:11,15 depends 72:18 difficulties 109:4,7 84:18 114:9 118:8 **E-L-L-E** 26:18

11			230
1	l	00 00 440 0 400 44	
e)6(i) 144:15	141:11	99:22 149:2 180:11	exemption 129:16
earlier 7:7 11:22 55:21	ensuring 31:3	187:9 193:6 203:18	exercise 155:19
82:11 83:1,11,21	entail 14:20 75:16	206:14 217:19 227:19	exhibit 4:6 6:21 7:2,3,4
100:4 109:4,7 119:6	entailed 14:17	evident 70:19 181:10	7:5,12 14:5 24:10,11
141:6 142:2 160:15	entails 34:7	evolve 32:3 51:14,17	24:14,19 25:15 26:9
161:2 162:13	enter 17:13 26:2	146:14,15	32:21 36:15 37:20
early 7:18	entered 18:6 25:13	ex 6:2,12	54:20 61:18 62:22
easier 219:16	entering 99:16 148:19	exact 125:15 158:2	67:2,12 99:12,13,14
easily 154:14	entice 62:13 69:2	exactly 61:12 64:6 73:6	100:1 108:5,8,11
Eastern 1:16	enticing 41:18	95:22 146:5 215:5	109:11,14 127:22
echo 28:1	entire 114:20 115:21	examination 36:2 63:17	128:1 138:6,7,11
economic 102:8 105:9	entities 46:7	99:4 106:16 107:19	147:11 148:17,18,19
edible 208:17 209:15	entity 92:18 178:11	123:21 126:2,8 127:8	148:22 149:3 150:2
209:21	181:4,11,20 182:14	136:17 138:3 144:4	206:9,9,12,15 217:20
effect 81:14 88:21 89:6	environment 169:4	149:21 164:7,17	exhibits 6:17 12:13,14
91:3	188:4	173:4 177:2,14 187:2	12:16 13:6,10,20 14:3
effective 27:11 139:4	envision 73:7 223:4	examine 180:10	14:7 24:9 26:8 99:11
151:4	equipped 169:17	examined 24:5 107:20	99:16,18 106:15
efficiency's 78:15	equitable 42:2 54:2	127:9 138:4 149:22	108:3 126:9 137:9
effort 75:5	equity 32:5	examiner 189:20	161:21 170:4 215:3
efforts 155:3	Erin 3:22 219:14 220:4	examiners 85:12	217:17,19,22
eight 27:2	error 203:4	examining 97:14	expand 38:6 48:7 57:22
either 17:19 19:11,15	escalated 54:13	203:19	expanding 120:21
25:6 82:9 85:19	especially 134:20 143:7	example 32:11 33:4,5	expect 226:6,17
151:17 187:17 206:19	178:22 195:7	35:2 37:5 40:1 46:11	expectation 50:17
electronic 229:15	ESQ 2:3,4	46:14,17,19,22 47:3	expected 32:2
eligibility 30:3 31:13	essential 22:5	52:4 53:3,4,16 63:7	expenditure 66:15,16
44:17 54:6 80:2,5	essentially 10:16 48:2	70:2 73:9,17 83:16,21	67:1 68:5,8 83:4
110:17	51:7 96:6 126:2	83:21 103:15,17	expenditures 4:9 24:12
eligible 27:21 28:20	156:11 166:20	104:6 128:9 129:4	28:13 29:11 37:2 38:3
29:6,11 34:1,12 35:1	establish 27:14 139:6	130:1,11 131:11,14	56:7 66:10 67:7,17
35:14 39:1 46:9 47:17	155:18,18	131:20 132:21 135:11	68:5 76:6 79:7 86:19
48:12 49:22 50:10,19	established 31:13,16	171:14 202:6,12	89:22 113:12 145:4
51:13 52:10 55:19	31:22 32:8,16 52:22	examples 32:18 34:8	expense 31:21 70:12
58:16 60:1 61:19	55:17 84:7	34:17 35:2,18 37:4	84:3,3 87:9,20 90:4
66:10 70:5 71:5,7,13	establishing 31:18	42:12 48:8 69:7,22	129:7 146:4 147:17
72:3 76:14 80:4 88:9	estimate 103:3,4,9,16	129:1,10	159:14,16 172:4
89:22 116:18 119:13	105:4,5	exceed 38:4,10 39:3	expenses 41:7 47:13
128:17 130:18 139:14	estimated 104:2	118:13 196:10	61:20,20 79:3,11
140:9 142:3	estimates 100:21	exceeds 38:17 77:20	87:21 133:4,14,14
eliminate 31:2 48:6	103:21	83:14	135:16 147:13 155:4
eliminates 48:12	et 5:6 48:22 101:13,13	excepted 60:19	155:5,16 166:7,9
email 225:9	160:2 200:2	exception 31:20	184:2,10 196:1 199:5
emerge 141:16	evaluate 55:11	exclude 112:21	200:2
employs 105:17	evaluated 30:12 68:17	excluded 61:1,7 62:8	experience 192:7
encourage 29:20	evaluating 39:17 43:13	62:14 63:3 140:6,8	explain 37:5 38:20
135:13	167:17	excuse 29:21 44:3	42:11 46:3 51:16
encouragement 151:10	evenly 169:8	47:18 78:10 93:8	60:18 63:2 105:5
encourages 140:20	event 87:9 146:1	101:8 141:13 198:3	111:3 135:3,5 136:4
ended 41:12	eventually 109:12	excused 126:12 137:13	145:20 159:11 178:8
ends 114:2 163:17	116:6	149:7	explained 153:12
188:11	everybody 18:5 115:22	executing 181:4	explanation 158:11
engage 75:3 130:7	188:11 215:18,19	executive 2:15 26:19	explicitly 28:19
engaged 112:3,3	everybody's 229:12	26:22 54:11 73:19	explore 164:6
engaged 112.3,3 ensure 30:5 32:5,9	everyone's 229:10	115:18 116:4 142:9	explore 104.0 exposure 75:14
34:13 58:10 139:22	evidence 31:8 49:8,13	143:10 146:5	express 44:9 52:18
34.13 30.10 139.22	CVINCTICE 31.0 43.0, 13	170.10 170.0	CAPICOS 44.0 02.10
	I	I	I

expressly 62:14 **extend** 226:11.20 extension 226:18 extensive 18:15 56:8 F **f** 110:6,21 115:6 116:14 145:14 facets 30:6 facilitate 133:13 144:20 153:19 facility 175:18 200:6 fact 42:17 59:19 64:4 88:8 105:16 115:17 116:1 153:22 164:12 209:11 227:12 factors 41:13,16 50:8 51:16 68:14 120:11 facts 157:18 208:16 factual 227:19 failed 221:13 fair 42:2 53:14 54:1 116:8 117:1,5 121:1 125:17 129:18 fairly 56:8 222:18 fall 101:7 117:8 176:3 falls 225:18 226:3 familiar 214:3 **family** 136:7,9 far 8:22 189:12 219:8 228:1 farm 101:13 135:21 136:1 farming 63:1,6 129:17 fascinating 192:7 fault 205:4 favor 153:8 **February** 118:16 132:22 155:9 federal 52:16 87:19 108:6 171:22 225:19 226:4 fee 132:10 feedback 52:13 211:11 feel 128:10 224:9 feels 155:22 felt 159:7 figure 12:4 72:12 103:8 103:15 file 16:10 48:1 131:4 filed 31:4 34:11 225:7 filing 16:8 139:21 141:9 225:9 fill 69:13

expressed 206:17

116:7 149:10 **finalize** 101:6 finally 23:2 121:18 122:2 143:13 financial 30:9 financially 167:13 190:21 financials 135:5 find 57:10 189:9 197:21 225:10 **findings** 227:12 fine 62:19 92:21 97:3 152:14 189:17 221:19 222:20 224:7 finished 10:13 65:14 firing 150:20 firm 105:22 106:3 first 7:19 8:13,15,16 13:19 14:4 17:3 19:2 21:12 24:4 44:18 54:21 58:22 60:12 67:15 76:18 77:4 78:11 94:2 126:18 132:1 138:3 146:4 149:21 151:15 153:6 153:21 215:18 223:20 **fiscal** 27:11 65:20 66:5 five 122:1 190:1 228:15 fixed 184:5 flexibility 32:4 43:3,8 52:6 76:19 114:21 flexible 71:9 119:12 121:3 floor 150:7 flows 157:21,22 focus 42:5 focused 17:17 91:11 focusing 127:22 171:3 **folks** 18:4 99:7 177:17 **follow** 226:6 **follow-** 10:10 102:13 191:8 **follow-up** 99:3,4 177:10 **following** 27:9,11 30:2 44:15 65:8 85:1 139:2 139:4 165:12 186:19 218:22 **follows** 24:6 107:21 127:10 138:5 150:1 Folsom 2:17 Food 57:21 130:9 142:1 **Fools** 192:21 forecast 103:2 forego 26:7 foreign 57:20 58:5 59:2

130:8 151:16

foresee 39:21 44:19 form 31:7 45:3 48:21 49:1,10,14 116:8 142:11 144:16 145:3 145:6 146:19,20 175:21 216:6 220:8,9 formal 5:4 44:2 173:20 220:20 format 49:3 151:3 215:18 220:19 227:15 forms 4:11 24:15 25:16 26:2,8 44:20 74:10 81:5.11 formula 70:9 formulated 16:17 73:4 forth 84:19 85:2 forward 13:22 21:9 45:5 55:12 121:12 122:22 149:10 forwarded 6:8 foster 140:20 found 119:14 158:3 founding 194:6 four 33:13 94:1 96:3,9 97:19 122:1 198:12 203:5 208:14 209:12 209:20 fourth 109:13 frame 114:4 131:3 frankly 223:21 frantically 149:12 free 145:2 front 59:18 77:10 115:15 226:1 Fruit 201:12 full 34:3 44:3 54:13 83:18 87:9 143:12 fully 30:20 50:22 51:1 153:13 fumigation 159:22 function 91:13 fund 40:21 **funds** 27:20 29:2,9,12 30:18 31:14 40:5,8,10 40:15,17,19 79:4 139:12 178:22 195:16 197:6 199:15,19,20 200:1 funny 224:14 further 26:11 35:18 38:16 42:8 43:5 63:15 73:1 79:12 98:22 102:15 106:9 107:21 117:19 123:13,19 127:1,10 133:17

134:10 136:17 137:6 147:2 148:5,13 158:19 167:2 177:1 177:14 189:14 191:21 202:21 203:22 204:3 205:20,22 207:13 future 42:13 44:20 141:19 159:10

G **gain** 150:16 155:2 176:18 game 89:16,17 175:6,7 gather 131:12 gauge 53:1 54:1 gauging 61:14 general 2:5 40:20 120:15 generally 128:2 generate 142:19 generation 151:15 generic 75:5,10,15,19 140:16 **germane** 191:5 getting 90:6 156:2 161:16 166:22 172:9 182:9 202:1 208:21 give 24:17 33:14 46:11 48:7 69:6 70:14,16 73:8 88:18 116:20 138:13 148:12 150:8 152:15,22 191:20 192:22 193:10 196:7 197:5 202:7 204:10 229:5 given 30:22 32:15 37:13 39:12,16 63:1 76:15 77:1 185:16 229:15 gives 14:4 18:17 52:6 53:4 75:13 229:3 giving 32:17 178:21 **glad** 109:8 goal 29:20 50:1 56:16 57:7 58:8 59:14 62:15 63:11 84:12 85:3 89:10,14 115:21,21 116:1 123:6 135:20 goals 71:16 119:11 GOODHUE 3:17 Goodhue's 103:14 aosh 106:6 government 178:13 grades 188:19

final 54:17 101:5,12

granted 60:15 76:5

132:17

greater 202:11 gross 52:3 53:7 ground 100:18 121:19 group 43:14 114:19 groups 68:18 85:20 grow 112:8 129:21 140:20 154:20 170:12 grower 3:21 63:2 94:1 96:3 97:19 98:1,4,10 121:18 128:4 129:17 134:22 135:20 151:15 152:1 153:16 154:4 154:12,22 155:5,22 156:15 157:6,22 158:1,17 159:12,17 159:17 160:7 161:8 161:14 162:12,16 163:17 165:14,18 166:5,10 168:4,9 169:20 175:16 179:7 180:5 185:2,14,19,22 186:13 187:16,17 188:19 195:15,17 196:2 197:3 208:10 grower's 135:9 159:16 165:10,18 grower/handler 155:1 growers 93:22 95:6 96:7 102:11 105:10 121:13 130:1 134:13 134:17,20,21 135:6 135:14,22 136:5,9 152:10 154:15 157:1 157:3,17 163:22 167:21 168:2,20,22 169:1,9 175:22 176:2 176:2 179:18 180:12 183:10 184:15 187:21 188:7 196:15,21 growers' 154:6 162:2 growing 153:8 169:2,11 171:3 grown 1:8 5:12 151:14 grows 155:1 quess 6:18 10:14,15 11:15 12:5 14:17 16:10,13 17:3 36:22 45:15 57:13 58:19 61:10 85:18 90:1 92:10 94:8 97:14 117:20 126:13.18 151:11,22 157:10 handling 165:19 168:17 hands 226:13 161:3 164:8 166:4 172:12 175:21 185:6 Hang 160:8 199:9 205:13,19 happen 40:9 48:18 207:3,6 214:6 215:2

217:14 228:8,12 guessed 194:8 guide 28:21 **quided** 43:15

н **H-E-A-T-H-E-R** 138:16 **H-O** 138:17 **ha** 19:10,10 half 176:2 hand 22:22 24:1 44:1 135:4 137:22 149:13 149:18 158:13 174:7 193:20 handle 9:2 47:14 71:10 88:10 129:21 155:2 160:18 168:14 handled 83:9 146:9 228:18 handler's 29:8 33:3 38:18,21 39:14 48:10 64:8 87:16 88:5 117:6 154:20 166:9 184:3 184:10 handler/grower 154:21 163:13 168:10 194:14 handlers 10:10,12 27:17 29:2,19 30:18 30:20 31:6 33:7,9 38:22 46:15 47:17 48:1,20 49:18 58:6 59:9 72:19 74:8,19 75:12 77:12 81:6,8 94:5,7,10 95:8,9 102:11 105:10 112:2 112:3 116:18 117:15 118:7,10 139:10,15 139:18,22 140:18 141:3,7,11,21 142:2 144:17 145:16 154:19 154:19 155:17 156:1 156:3.14 163:16 166:21 167:14 168:11 168:19,22 169:8 175:3 179:6,19 182:3 182:22 183:9,12 184:12,15,19 186:19 187:22 188:8 190:5,9 190:19 194:7 196:17 196:18,19 199:5 handlers' 31:12

happened 156:7 176:8 176:9 223:1 happening 152:21 happens 176:14 222:4 happy 26:1 54:12 90:17 107:10 135:9,12 173:2 180:10 185:11 hard 40:7 98:8 166:22 hard-earned 185:20 **harvest** 132:14 harvests 159:13 Hatch 3:9 5:22 6:18,19 8:18,19 12:18,18 19:14,14 20:7 32:21 33:2 67:11 69:17,20 70:7 108:7,9,13,15 124:7,12,15 162:21 163:3 204:7,12,12,17 204:18 215:2,5 218:4 221:17,17 hate 170:13 head 91:22 93:5 105:15 150:19 **healthy** 167:13 hear 12:3 19:10,10 20:3 21:21 23:7 86:5,11 90:17 91:22 107:3 124:14,18 134:18 149:14 163:5 170:8 176:10 205:12 208:7 208:11 209:4 211:5 215:22 216:14 217:15 218:7,10 heard 93:20 94:4 103:19,20 112:6 159:14 166:13 172:13 173:13 175:7 179:21 hearing 1:5,16 5:8,13 5:15 8:6 11:11 12:16 16:15 22:7 25:18 36:16 40:8 84:22 98:16 99:17 106:14 137:5 147:4 148:21 148:21 150:5,15 155:8,12 156:5,9 158:18 174:7 181:16 188:17 189:13 191:16 192:11 206:11 225:4 225:8 227:1 229:9,11 229:19 Heather 3:15 4:4.11 137:15 138:2,16 **heavy** 9:22

183:7 203:10 **helped** 130:15 helpful 22:6 126:20 158:21 169:5 helping 71:14 **helps** 62:12 **hey** 168:21 170:14,16 176:13 190:13 209:1 **Hi** 19:7 36:11 86:14 204:21 high 83:5 84:5 87:13 90:5 172:1 higher 153:9 169:12 **highest** 135:17 **highly** 93:18 highway 63:8 Hinman 3:10 101:17 102:17,17,19,20 106:8 **Hinman's** 101:3 **Hoagland** 3:22 6:7 99:9 160:5,10,11,18 **Hoaglund** 193:16,19 219:13,14 221:7 224:11,13,16 225:14 225:16 hold 22:7 51:4 126:14 162:17 164:16,17 179:13 holiday 118:12 225:19 226:4 home 150:22 153:2 224:18 honest 185:18 Honor 5:20 8:16 9:6 13:12 14:6,15 15:2,2 17:10 18:2,10 19:4 22:1,3 25:10 26:13,16 35:22 36:5 63:18 64:12,17 80:18 81:21 82:2 90:8 100:2,15 106:20 108:22 119:19 119:21 123:13 126:6 126:19 136:18.21 137:12 144:6 147:5 148:15 158:4,6 159:3 160:16 164:15 165:2 173:6 180:17 183:1 183:16 189:7 194:3 194:21 195:8,13 199:10 200:14 201:10 202:6.22 203:16 206:3 207:2,9 209:6 209:19 210:7,20 211:18 214:18 215:6 215:9 217:5 218:4

50:21 74:9 83:7

held 132:17

help 85:6 103:20 167:9

220:2 222:12 228:17 229:1 **HONORABLE** 1:19 hope 153:19 155:21 169:14 hopefully 152:18 157:13,14 160:22 167:9 203:8 hoping 150:16 **hours** 27:5 housekeeping 12:12 143:2 229:7 huge 121:22 188:5 huller/dryer 200:5 **hulls** 159:13 hundred 209:21 hundredweight 33:14 hurry 14:13 hypo 69:13 hypothetical 77:2 103:17 122:16 188:6 hypothetically 87:17 187:15 ideal 223:8 ideally 49:9 ideas 120:20 identification 7:13

idea 22:13 166:2 204:16 24:10,14,20 32:22 138:7,12 148:17 identified 7:5,16 43:10 99:11 identify 9:17 163:7 **identity** 143:21 II 1:11 iii 116:15 immediately 161:16 **impact** 50:14 impacted 168:1 impacting 168:2 impacts 102:10 105:9 implement 27:10 28:16 139:3 implementation 27:2 28:9 138:20 **implemented** 27:6 55:5 138:21 implementing 78:18 implication 154:19 implications 178:2 **implies** 161:15 importance 39:9,10

57:3 59:12,17 145:21 171:4 importantly 153:7 impossible 165:16 **improve** 225:1 in-shell 112:18 196:8 197:18 198:6 208:19 209:16 in-store 122:19 inaccurate 223:11 inadvertently 8:12 incentive 168:9.12 incentivize 41:20 42:17 include 44:8 59:21 63:4 73:20 79:22 81:15,16 84:18 112:1,18 140:9 145:2,4 146:19 159:22 199:7 included 13:9 18:5 36:17 56:13 61:17,20 81:16 93:3 120:19 123:8 129:2,2 130:4 140:6,8 142:21 162:15 includes 139:8.21 141:10 143:17 145:17 including 27:16,21 31:7 48:21 79:9 131:3 139:13,16,20 141:4,9 193:17 inclusive 112:21 121:4 122:12 128:21 income 86:16 87:5,6 incorrect 208:17 increase 39:18 130:2 184:8 increased 29:22 increasing 120:17 incremental 89:20 incurring 29:21 Independence 2:6.11 independent 152:1 indicate 93:17 124:7 168:3 196:3 indicated 101:3 102:6 104:13 181:3 193:20 indirect 191:7 indirectly 102:7 105:18 individual 87:15 88:5 individuals 10:11 17:13 144:20 industries 104:22 industry 21:7 26:21 52:2,13 61:9 78:17 85:12 104:15,16

117:16 123:22 125:3 134:1 137:2 148:8 150:17 153:9,10,16 157:13,21,22 166:12 167:11,17,18 168:18 169:7,12 170:18 171:2 176:19 177:15 188:11 191:15 203:9 203:11 204:5 industry's 121:1 ineligible 28:20 34:13 61:2 influence 41:13 159:19 informal 30:14 43:4,19 43:20 44:6 52:5 78:3 141:18 143:4 information 36:13 50:3 72:20 81:5,17 92:18 103:5,10 120:11 125:8 143:18 145:2 145:18 146:4 152:20 166:5 172:20 175:22 187:17 188:8 197:2 197:22 203:1 224:20 229:2 informed 136:4 ingredients 93:13 initial 206:17 215:17,19 223:15,22 227:8 inner 91:12 input 28:17 142:8 229:12 inspected 33:8 95:10 201:12 **inspections** 72:17,18 instance 39:1 69:9 70:22 71:18 195:9 instances 17:12 instrumentality 184:18 **intended** 145:21 intending 49:1 intent 30:4,20 31:1 34:14 48:5,16 55:4 60:22 128:19 133:15 150:14 176:17 intention 132:5 176:10 **interact** 146:12 interchangeable 133:5 interchangeably 28:14 66:5 68:2 interested 52:12 155:7 interesting 159:7 170:17 226:8 interests 167:12

206:19 207:11 210:11 210:21 211:4 214:8 216:8,21 217:2 218:19,21 219:10 221:6 interject 25:11 182:8 183:2 internal 45:1,8,10,20 142:21 Internally 142:13 interpret 156:1 interpretation 28:22 84:14 interpreted 162:7 interprets 178:14 interrupt 35:7 intervals 161:8 introductions 42:18 invested 121:1 investment 29:22 121:22 171:22 invite 6:8,9,14 **invoice** 94:12 invoiced 94:13,21 95:1 involved 53:15 84:14 111:6.13 143:22 169:15 176:6 involvement 98:2 **IRS** 178:11,13 issue 16:14 44:1 45:2 61:10 82:21 98:15 101:7,10 103:7 115:15 132:20 139:12 issued 73:5 113:9 issues 16:8 143:7 194:8 211:11 italics 46:1 item 217:14 items 25:9

J
Jack 3:18 4:3 10:3,5
19:22 20:2 22:5 23:1
23:16,20 107:18
JEFFREY 3:8
job 18:22 105:12
jobs 102:5,6 105:2,18
John 3:21 4:5,7,12
13:17 86:14 149:20
151:13 173:16 208:3
join 109:9
joined 22:1
joining 22:19 109:3
205:6,8
joins 21:15
joint 15:10,16 16:8

105:17 106:11 112:22

interference 65:5

180:14 205:10,14

154:18

important 37:7 46:4

leave 11:19 112:15 223:21,21 maintaining 45:9 71:18 joking 173:21 live 55:9 224:4 major 154:1 166:21 153:3 led 68:20 living 51:20 majority 119:5 **JR** 3:20 local 155:14 maker 193:9 left 41:22 43:2 judged 32:14 making 45:9 48:9 52:9 location 93:11 judges 1:3 17:12 legal 178:20 181:4,11 lodge 15:22 68:13 73:18,19 78:6 226:10 181:20 182:13 187:3 219:5 220:7 logged 82:11 156:8 135:7 157:2,11 165:8 **Jumping** 60:12 165:15 167:14,20 juncture 43:6 legally 122:11 logical 116:8 long 117:1 121:13 193:4 203:19 205:19 justification 27:3 44:5 **leisure** 198:20 123:2 132:17 198:11 **manage** 143:6 138:19 **let's** 5:7 7:8,8 12:3 management 28:15 18:14 20:2 23:6,21 213:4 Κ longer 193:21 **manager** 115:13 33:17 39:22 52:12 look 8:11 38:15 54:20 mandatory 142:4 63:6 75:21 90:19 **Kathir** 12:22 13:3,3,21 manner 30:11 73:14 66:12 67:2,13,14 96:21 97:6 131:22 13:22 114:1 117:3 keep 48:16,17 49:10,11 132:4 153:3 157:17 74:22 78:20 84:13 182:17 194:22 195:1 104:7,9 105:13 manufacturer 71:19 **keeper** 12:14 Mariani 3:18 4:3 10:3.5 197:15 204:10 205:21 122:10 147:10 154:8 **keeping** 144:20 170:3 195:14 197:18 11:3 19:5,7,17 20:3 212:5,6 225:4 226:15 keeps 49:15 letter 216:6 220:9 227:9 kept 77:6 92:9 143:22 198:17 20:12,20 21:10,15,16 looked 16:21 41:11,16 kernel 184:4.6 **letters** 227:21 21:21 22:5,11,19 23:1 kicked 205:1 level 41:17 43:17 49:7,7 56:12 102:10 208:10 23:1,4,6,7,8,12,17 209:20 225:17 61:15 69:1 75:13 64:16 65:4,10 106:22 kinds 129:9 78:14 86:20 94:18 **looking** 10:15 37:19 107:2,10,15,18 108:3 **knowing** 161:22 knowledge 150:16 143:3 54:21 56:21 59:8 67:3 109:2,15 118:6 120:3 levels 29:16 41:11 76:1 80:6 105:9 113:4 123:17 125:22 126:5 174:8 176:18 188:7 105:12 161:8 144:14 155:10 171:15 127:19 128:20 131:9 knows 168:5 life 30:13 183:17 185:2 192:14 206:19 207:4 **KUMAR** 3:11 lifting 9:22 210:18 Mariani's 12:4 light 171:9 looks 49:13 84:11 86:4 mark 4:6 53:20 **L** 163:10 limiting 42:1 177:13 197:22 198:4 marked 7:12 24:19 **limits** 227:14,15 **loop** 228:12 138:11 label 14:4 152:15 line 8:15 10:22 19:6,18 lose 163:21 190:7 market 83:6 101:15 labeled 183:18 19:19 20:13 46:16 losing 159:19 112:8 128:5,6 130:1 labeling 14:7 93:15 47:9 71:16 95:18 loss 122:1 135:16 155:16 159:21 labor 200:3 107:2 172:16 201:8 losses 122:3 160:3 170:12 171:4 **lacking** 162:8 lost 23:18 82:7 121:16 199:19 200:17 220:15 221:1,8 ladder 57:7 laid 5:9 lines 112:6 117:8 121:7 **lot** 43:2 112:5,10 121:9 marketing 1:9 2:10,10 language 28:4 36:17 140:19 121:15 134:13 158:11 5:14 26:21 27:12 28:5 28:17 30:7 38:6,19 76:2,9 78:12,21 79:17 list 7:3,4 8:21 15:17 179:18 **lots** 129:1 40:3,20 41:7 43:15 18:3 19:17 34:3 51:13 110:3.8 113:15 low 167:20 52:17 55:1,6 56:11 116:10.13 117:14 56:8.10 61:2 128:9 57:18 60:15,17 61:8 127:21 182:16 183:2 listed 16:16 17:1.15 lower 135:2 lunch 210:16 217:12 61:13 65:22 66:2,3 183:5,15 184:12 19:12,22 34:1,11 56:5 67:19 93:12 68:6 74:4 75:5 76:4.8 large 109:22 112:2,16 M 76:11 77:9,10 78:19 largest 135:20 **listen** 150:15 M-I-C-H- 26:17 84:2 87:9 90:4 93:13 lastly 93:19 171:1 **listened** 150:17 152:2 **listening** 170:8,16 ma'am 173:12 110:18 113:14 114:1 late 192:11,12 174:20 192:10 MacTavish's 13:17 14:1 114:6,10,12,21 118:8 Laughter 224:15 118:9 119:7 125:1,19 **listing** 145:4 90:16 97:17 108:11 launching 56:21 lists 120:7,10 108:15,18 194:17 law 1:3,20 32:16 131:5 132:2 139:4 little 38:6 68:11,13 91:1 206:10 209:5 140:4 142:9 145:9 lawyer 26:5 126:3 153:20 160:1 162:11 148:12 191:20 95:21 105:2 120:4 mail 142:19 mailed 116:17 162:14 169:16 171:21 layperson 156:1 123:4 131:8 151:7 153:17 162:8 182:9 main 215:14 174:8,11,14 181:3 lead 14:21 15:14 168:15

maintain 25:21 120:22

maintained 34:14

208:21 211:8,17

216:19,22 222:13

leads 203:5

learn 176:11

184:3,8 186:3 200:2

207:8

match 185:7 matching 12:20 material 81:5 materials 35:13 **math** 197:9 matter 1:15 5:16 97:8 143:16 187:1 229:21 matters 5:17 92:16 176:7 178:16 190:1 **maximize** 168:12 **maximum** 131:21 135:21 197:9 McGowan 2:4 82:3,5 84:1 210:20,21 211:2 211:13,22 212:11 213:10,19 214:12,18 214:20 215:8,9,16,21 216:1 217:5,10 218:3 219:3,20 220:1,5,16 220:16 221:14 222:12 222:13 224:8 mconnelly@walnuts.... 2:19 mean 11:22 12:15 17:3 46:3 51:18 61:11 62:7 66:6,17 68:3 86:19 89:6,10 104:4 114:11 129:22 132:7 167:13 174:15 180:6 195:22 203:17 217:11 225:7 228:2 meaning 39:8 means 28:20 29:10 62:17 63:11 113:16 168:13 229:15 measurement 101:11 mechanics 28:8 mechanism 48:15 49:15 98:11 133:11 141:17 143:6 **media** 56:19 140:10 meet 62:17 118:13 meeting 52:17 63:11 73:13 74:1 114:16 128:16 meetings 52:11,15,16 128:16 136:8 Melissa 2:9 9:11 12:22 13:5 22:4 36:6 144:7 204:7 221:18 melissa.schmaedick... 2:12 member 85:19 152:6,12 163:11 194:5,6 members 154:15 178:15

membership 92:6 152:12 157:4 mention 19:5 83:11 144:16 mentioned 39:12 50:2 52:4 93:1 103:22 116:5 128:14.20 131:9 135:19 141:6 168:16 169:13 merchantable 184:6 **method** 105:6 mic 35:7,8 151:1 Michelle 2:15 4:3,8 24:3 26:17 64:1 81:2 86:14 154:11 171:11 179:6 middle 37:21 57:17 110:10 115:7 mike 124:9 milk 35:1 112:12 million 33:15,17 103:16 103:22 104:5 mind 10:1 171:13 188:2 209:18 minded 115:20 minding 99:7 mine 224:21 minimize 32:10 minute 7:21 90:22 161:6 176:13 186:21 220:12 minutes 82:8 96:22 108:17 151:21 204:14 misinterpreted 192:5 misleading 156:13 161:13 194:13 missed 65:1 209:7 missing 146:20 **mission** 59:14 mistake 93:8 misunderstand 104:1 misunderstanding 163:12 198:14 203:6 misunderstood 200:20 mitigate 28:21 mix 71:13 140:13 mixed 8:13 35:12,13 69:11 70:5 71:1 moderator 32:19 modifications 141:20 modify 32:4 moment 20:8 103:5,10 103:11 119:3 162:18

204:11 229:5

money 77:10 90:6

121:1 129:21 131:17

135:1,10,16 148:2

167:12 168:14 169:2 171:16 175:4,9,11 178:21 179:7 185:15 186:12 196:20 199:15 201:3,7,17 202:9,9,10 202:15 month 152:5.13 monthly 72:14,21 77:8 94:6,9,13,22 95:13 months 132:1 MORC 29:16 109:16 111:19 112:14 **MORC's** 120:16,20 morning 7:9,14 9:2 21:18 23:3,10 109:2,6 127:15,16 144:11 171:7 motion 228:5,6,7,9,9 **motions** 226:10 **move** 90:19 140:21 202:18 225:19 moves 226:4 moving 33:22 37:17 41:6 44:7 45:21 160:2 muddy 95:21 182:9 **multiple** 140:19 mute 36:6 107:14 108:13,14 158:6 muted 86:4 124:11 126:15 158:8 194:1

N 4:1,1 **N-** 194:4 name 19:15 26:17 46:18,19 59:9 75:20 105:22 138:15,16 151:13 161:22 185:11 194:4 names 92:21 National 100:20 nationally 101:16 naturally 163:21 **nature** 35:13 163:13 **nay** 175:18 necessarily 49:12 60:8 63:11 92:18 189:18 necessary 184:9 need 6:11 8:1 9:17 18:19 21:4 22:10 30:10 34:15 49:14 52:5 55:22 58:12,22 60:5 64:15 65:3 96:19 99:11 108:2 142:22 145:4 146:2 149:11 163:1 167:17 182:18

182:20 201:14 207:17 212:22 213:10 217:16 needed 32:5 119:14 142:10 145:2 146:12 206:7 needs 32:3 49:6 51:15 51:17 59:10 93:17 146:20 152:11 159:5 167:17 199:18,20 203:8 209:22 **negotiated** 154:10,12 157:16 180:1 194:7 negotiation 196:22 neighborhood 196:11 net 90:6 172:9 new 22:20 27:7 41:20 42:17.18 56:21 57:5 70:14 74:4,4 99:4 101:7 112:9,10,10 122:7 139:1 140:21 141:14 143:8 150:16 150:19 155:18,19 162:10 176:18 newcomer 171:3 night 152:3 nobody's 202:4 non 168:8 non-conflicted 168:8 non-eligible 34:11 non-reimbursable 34:9 non-repetitive 192:15 Norene 3:19 21:17,17 21:19 42:15 193:19 194:2,3,4,15,20 195:4 195:7,12,20 196:2 197:5,13,18 198:2,6,9 198:11,19 199:2,8,13 200:9,13,22 201:5,9 201:18 202:20,22 203:16 208:9 209:4,6 209:18,19 210:7,10 **norm** 53:10 83:17 84:8 84:11 normal 61:1,5,22 142:14 normally 16:17 62:1 81:16 223:15 norms 31:22 84:6 **Nos** 24:19 **note** 5:20 25:11,14,22 46:1 notice 1:16 25:18 36:16 108:6 150:4 174:7 189:12.19 noticed 6:16 25:18 99:8

notices 17:21

nuance 141:15
number 5:5,14 6:21
14:5 24:22 37:15
41:15 67:16 101:6,12
102:5 105:19 125:16
132:8 175:7 198:12
numbered 7:16
numbering 14:3
numbers 5:8,9 100:1
125:11 208:19
nuts 35:12 69:12 95:5
112:11 121:16

O 4:1 o'clock 97:7 **O-L-I-A-N** 106:7 **O-R-E-N-E** 194:5 OALJ 3:22 5:8 224:12 oath 97:13 107:16 127:5 **object** 158:5,10,20 189:7 222:10 objected 158:8 **objecting** 25:12,15 **objection** 10:18 12:7 17:19 21:13 26:1 100:9 107:6,8 148:19 159:1 160:5,11,16 162:18 164:5,20 193:5 194:12 206:10 206:18 objectionable 228:4 objections 9:20 11:5,10 15:19,22 99:6,16 165:5 177:9 195:2 213:16,17 225:3 227:1 **objective** 78:18 89:12 101:10 140:14 objectives 71:16 obligation 196:15,16,22 **obligations** 74:16 81:11 147:17 **obviously** 65:13 83:14 86:19 123:6 188:4 189:6 occurred 49:8 October 27:13 131:11 **of-pocket** 172:5 offer 153:15 offered 99:12,15 103:2 offhand 125:10 office 1:3 2:5 5:9 20:12 45:11 49:17 105:21 117:2 224:18,20

225:8 228:18 229:3 official 18:18 66:3 103:7 227:21 offline 205:17 offsetting 172:3 oil 122:21 **OMB** 25:20 once 36:3 121:18 200:11 210:14 226:14 one's 85:17 ones 8:22 46:9 48:11 64:9 91:17 215:14 ongoing 16:8 40:15 online 108:11 open 14:16 15:6 41:22 50:17 52:11,16,17 74:15 78:22 79:9,18 112:15 115:20 open-ended 112:20 opening 189:1 operates 78:9,9 147:14 153:17 operating 40:16 operation 46:15 88:7 88:11 154:21 200:4 operations 78:14 117:9 162:16 opine 178:16 opining 220:9 opinion 11:20 52:19 110:4 111:9 115:8 116:20 117:16 119:15 131:1,10 153:17 158:22 229:14 **opinions** 194:13 opportunities 190:4 opportunity 39:15 112:17 131:3 153:6 175:17 194:18 211:20 215:20 223:11,13 opposed 83:18 167:14 **option** 27:18 139:10 **options** 54:16 order 1:9 5:14 12:1,6,6 28:5 30:8 31:9 43:15 46:7 47:19 48:17 52:17 56:11 64:10 66:2 93:13 128:5,6 140:4 153:20 155:14 169:16 174:9,11,11 174:14 179:4 181:3,5 181:12,21 182:16 183:2,15 184:12,13 184:16 186:3,11,11

199:20 207:8 213:9

orders 125:20

organization 47:8 68:22 original 13:9 170:7 originally 11:22 originals 12:13,19 ought 17:6 226:15 out-172:4 out-of-pocket 155:4 outcome 54:13 outlined 142:7,20 outs 100:17 outset 25:14.22 outside 84:9 88:15 188:9 227:20 outstanding 133:4 overall 84:4 117:13 196:12 overly-burdened 117:2 overriding 194:11 **overrule** 158:15 overruled 159:1 164:20 193.5 oversight 141:1 143:15 owned 46:2,8,21 47:4 47:16,18 48:11 64:9 ownership 159:18 owns 46:15 47:3

D

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 5:1 **p.m** 97:9,10 229:22 Pacific 97:7 packages 93:15 packaging 60:6 93:3,11 packet 74:14 81:15 packets 81:3,4 139:18 141:6 packing 160:1 page 4:2 8:15 14:4 37:19,20 44:13,14 45:22 52:21 54:20,20 60:13 67:15 69:20 109:12 110:9,17,22 113:3 115:5 144:13 145:15 150:4 215:3 217:20 pages 109:13 128:1,1 212:8 227:13 paid 30:19,21 38:18

48:2 56:18 60:17 61:9

61:17 68:6 72:14 77:8

79:3 83:5 87:9 98:19

77:11,14,17,18,22

130:19.20 131:14

140:9 154:4 155:16

156:3,20 157:6 179:4 182:2,6,7,19 185:3 187:16 199:5,9,16 201:7 **pain** 169:8 panelist 20:8 paper 45:16 paperwork 118:15 133:3 paragraph 8:15 30:17 31:1,11,17 37:22 45:22 47:22 52:20 54:22 55:1,4 56:5 57:17,22 59:8 79:5,9 79:15,18 80:13 110:11,15,21 111:10 111:15 113:4,6 115:6 116:14,15 144:14 145:14 155:11,13 paragraphs 7:17 28:4 30:17 51:12 79:20 110:5 parameters 27:6 54:6 113:8 138:22 parcel 151:15 **Pardon** 201:10 parentheses 60:16 Parkshore 2:16 part 57:3 67:5 74:13 75:4 78:21 91:7 99:18 100:11,13 109:16 112:21 113:11 123:2 127:22 134:17 148:22 166:12 171:10 176:19 188:17,18 198:16 201:15 209:7 218:1 parte 6:3,12 partial 50:22 83:8 160:6 161:7 partially 200:17 PARTICIPANT 85:21 participants 17:5 125:6 140:7 211:20 212:2,4 227:6 participants' 20:17 **participate** 29:19 69:2 74:19 136:3,7 144:18 145:8,10,11 171:20 participates 203:9 participating 50:9 51:9 58:20 69:4 106:12,13 117:15 124:3 128:8 137:5 144:21 179:14 191:16

participation 71:19

II
229:10
particular 12:2 121:17
146:22 222:9
Particularly 193:1
parties 17:4 48:13
52:12 64:2 212:21
213:1,8,14 223:14
224:7
partly 12:15
partners 141:22 166:12
partnerships 151:16
parts 153:10 197:10
party 46:2,10,12,12,22 47:2,8,12,15 64:4
129:3 143:22 213:21
pass 96:6 205:19
passes 180:4
Pause 20:15 144:3
pay 38:22 83:16 84:4
95:13 96:12 130:15
132:2,19 135:16 154:6,16 163:20
154:6,16 163:20 179:1,2,7 183:22
184:13 185:21 201:3
201:14,17
payable 117:9 142:15
paying 46:6 50:13 64:4
64:8 157:3 167:7
168:5 170:3
payment 31:8 48:22
49:7 50:22 51:2 83:9
94:3 98:10 116:16
142:18 179:8,20
183:22 185:3,9
187:18 196:5
payments 51:7 80:4
91:4 110:15 154:6
159:16 160:6 161:7
187:20 195:17 196:4
208:11
payout 197:3
pays 154:13,17 157:18
162:12 170:2 180:12
185:19 186:2 201:9
201:11
peek 111:15
penetration 101:15
penny 166:21
people 15:21 17:16
44:9 69:4 101:17
120:4 128:22 160:22
167:9,17 192:16
219:5,5 223:22
percent 29:3,4 32:12,15
33:16,18 35:1 47:5
52:3 53:7,9,17,19
II

70:3,6,14,15 83:20,20 84:8 87:10,10,18 100:22 101:15,18 102:2 103:15 104:5,7 104:11,17,20 125:12 172:1 188:9 198:1 **percentage** 70:10,13 perform 48:1 performed 114:3 performs 31:4 **period** 16:2,6 44:9 73:15 116:21 permission 143:17 145:17 **person** 18:17 123:10 159:10 personal 152:18,19 personally 143:19 personnel 173:5 perspective 28:10 167:10,10 192:4 pertaining 229:2 **PG&E** 200:3 Ph.D 3:17 philosophy 120:16 phone 28:2 85:14 205:9 **phoned** 124:5 **phrase** 39:5,9,10 **piece** 102:12 pile 49:2 place 54:10 104:8 143:6 **placed** 11:17 placement 140:10 **places** 18:16 planning 104:9 170:15 plant 200:6 play 45:12 53:9 **playing** 185:14 pleasantly 172:14 please 10:3 22:22 26:14 32:19 34:1 35:4 67:11 69:21 80:20 82:12 86:3 100:13 106:5 108:14 118:2 119:15 137:22 150:8 165:1 174:1 177:21 188:20 200:8 209:8 220:11 pleasure 26:20 plenty 133:1,6 136:6 **plus** 70:12 pocket 87:20 point 8:10 26:6 27:2 41:17,18 42:22 43:2 44:5,22 77:21 104:8 104:21 118:16 122:7

146:14 157:10 158:10 158:14,21 159:4 160:12 161:19 162:13 165:7 167:2,16,16,19 169:17,18 172:13,18 172:20 175:9 182:5 183:8 185:13 186:5.7 186:14,15 187:7 188:12 199:4 200:8 205:1 216:7 220:6 226:8 227:18 **pointed** 103:1 points 28:3 100:5 128:7 153:21 **poll** 157:17 **pop** 91:22 popular 85:18 portion 27:19 29:2,8 31:12 35:14 38:9 39:1 47:13 64:2 70:4 71:5 71:13,15 73:20 77:3 78:11 139:11,16 141:5 228:8 **pose** 127:18 **posed** 127:19 position 25:19 95:19 129:15 159:6 174:20 223:18 227:16 positive 202:14 possibility 21:2 42:9 89:6 120:17 possible 6:20 9:12,15 65:10 85:5 104:2 110:7 112:15,20 117:4 118:9 120:22 122:13 128:21 131:17 131:18 132:6 135:4 139:5 166:17 **possibly** 171:14 post 61:5 215:2 **posted** 16:7 108:16 150:4 215:4 posting 12:20 215:2 227:2 potential 31:2 48:6,12 48:14 121:5 potentially 41:20 42:17 43:9 46:21 47:7 56:14 56:20 62:12 70:1 77:5 83:16 pound 94:1 96:10 166:6 169:2 184:5 187:16 188:4,10 190:1 196:8 196:8 197:7,16,17,19 198:7,12 203:5

PowerPoint 4:8 24:11 32:19 69:18 72:2 99:14 practical 32:18 73:9 practice 53:2,6 54:7 69:8 83:17 141:17 154:4,12 161:18 180:2 225:20 practices 32:9 53:1,22 82:19,22 121:6 **pre** 61:5 pre- 60:16 61:12 141:5 **pre-season** 139:17 pre-testing 61:8 precipitates 201:14 precise 73:3 **preclude** 50:8 58:17 71:12 predetermined 28:11 predicate 96:2 **preface** 150:12 prefer 166:16 preference 17:5 preliminarily 18:3 preliminary 5:17 premise 31:22 prepare 30:9 **prepared** 170:20 preparing 169:13,14 present 3:6 17:13 18:5 73:12 93:18 presentation 99:14 157:20 161:14,19 165:9 167:19 176:1 180:7 presentations 150:18 176:12 presented 49:3 89:19 114:18 143:20,20 presenter 154:10 presenters 152:3 166:14 **presents** 143:21 preserve 25:19 presiding 226:10 press 155:8,22 170:4 182:4 pretty 23:6 120:20 132:7,13,18 180:13 217:3,12 219:8 prevalent 93:14 prevent 58:21 **previous** 37:6,7 65:3 previously 107:20 116:5 127:9 153:11 198:13

pounds 196:7

138:19 145:8,12

prove 31:9 130:20 44:16 171:17,21 172:6 price 62:6,7,9,11 159:9 179:6 180:9 159:20 161:17 166:5 processor 96:2 program's 60:21 programs 57:11 58:6 provide 7:21 31:6 32:4 167:1 168:9 189:10 processors 71:10 89:5 48:20 52:13 81:6 58:12,21 68:19 190:6,18 197:16 produced 93:15 101:22 104:14 125:4 113:20 118:7 140:7 **prices** 121:13 153:9 producer 129:13 146:3 147:16 150:10 166:20 167:20 169:12 produces 46:16 135:15 producing 122:2 project 118:11.14 119:2 151:9 159:4 170:10 pricing 32:1 172:20,22 192:3 primarily 146:9 **product** 33:8 34:22 projection 228:21 222:16 225:21 226:13 46:19 56:21 57:1,5 projects 79:3 **prime** 53:4 227:17 60:9 62:12,16,17 69:7 prominent 93:11 prior 29:3,9,13 37:11,12 provided 13:6 139:15 37:17 39:12 70:2 71:1,20 95:8,9,9 **promote** 20:7 34:15 **privacy** 92:16 56:16 57:5,8 59:15 141:3 146:21 166:9 101:19 132:15,16 223:17 140:19 160:3 161:4,7 62:15 63:10.12 69:10 **pro** 27:18 33:6,20 provides 35:15 45:15 70:20 89:10 111:14 139:11 147:17 184:1 161:10 168:12,13 providing 49:20 144:22 184:3,10 190:4 199:19 200:18 122:11 promoting 59:20 62:3 **provision** 82:16 222:9 **probably** 123:3,8 201:11 125:14 132:8 151:7 production 101:4 140:15 provisions 78:8 prune 57:13 104:15 161:1 164:12 169:22 121:14 promotion 40:20 41:7 125:3 130:13 206:6 **products** 35:19 41:21 57:4,18 59:10 62:10 **problem** 109:8 133:8 42:18 46:17 71:11 69:7 75:11,13,15 prunes 102:1 166:11 175:5 223:6 112:11 140:19 79:11 111:13 130:7 **public** 1:5 44:8 52:11 147:13 155:16 199:19 **problems** 183:20 professional 32:9 92:13 140:10 155:12 52:22 53:2,22 54:7 200:17 publicity 140:11 213:17 procedural 8:8 24:22 82:19.22 promotional 35:13,20 published 152:20 professionally 229:11 46:13 58:6 62:5 92:8 **pull** 100:17,22,22 210:17 226:2 228:10 229:7 professor 161:20 162:9 133:14 140:11 105:13 promotions 68:6 **pulling** 14:12 procedure 14:9 142:11 162:10 professor's 161:22 proof 12:2 31:8 48:21 purchasing 101:18 173:4 177:5 procedures 27:20 28:6 profit 166:8 167:15 49:7 113:20 142:18 **purely** 186:5 28:19 31:19,21 32:2,4 profitability 168:1 proper 27:11 45:9 purpose 70:19 130:3 profitable 167:13,15,21 proponent 17:18 21:7 153:14 169:14 188:13 32:7 34:5,10 45:2,8 177:6 207:7 215:15 188:17 192:2 51:14 84:13 111:3,7 190:21 139:12 140:3,7 141:3 **profits** 166:13 168:6 proponents 85:13 purposes 18:18 86:17 142:7,14,21,22 program 27:10,16,20 106:11 123:22 134:1 86:21 117:21 171:13 proceed 6:5 11:20 28:7,15,21 29:12,20 137:2 148:8 177:11 193:7 207:6 221:5 177:15 191:15 pursuant 1:16 57:19 21:11,12 22:13,15 30:6,12 31:3,12,16 79:4,5,20 80:12 25:8,11 26:14 32:17 32:5,6,10 33:9,16 proposal 27:2,5 88:16 100:14 115:4 138:13 38:12,13 39:4,17 40:6 89:12,15 91:8 98:15 push- 157:15 Pushpa 12:21 13:3,22 180:22 208:12 40:22 41:22 43:13,14 138:20,21 150:15 158:13 169:16 170:9 **PUSHPINDER** 3:11 proceeding 25:20 50:3,11,16 54:2 55:5 put 8:21 11:11 17:7 227:6 55:8,16 57:15 58:3,14 174:7 177:7,11 21:1 54:10 67:12 81:4 **proceeds** 159:20 58:18 59:6.14 60:21 211:21 212:11,14,20 81:14 100:7 106:14 163:18 165:18 179:21 71:7,17 72:13 73:1,21 213:7 215:8 218:17 74:5,15,17 78:18 proposals 175:17 108:8 117:1 170:5 process 20:21 30:14 175:4 178:7 187:5 33:9 42:11 43:4,9,19 81:14,18 85:4 88:8 **propose** 81:13 149:9 193:14 194:22 212:6 43:21 44:7,8 49:5 90:7 91:13 92:3,5 212:9 213:11 215:10 102:3 103:18 111:3 **proposed** 1:8 5:13 214:14 227:13,14 50:6 51:5 52:6 54:10 56:2 68:14 73:10,22 puts 177:7 119:11,13 121:11 15:11 16:2,5 27:7 36:17 44:10,10 putting 14:4 18:13 78:4,15 85:4,7 97:18 122:14 125:4,6 209:2 109:17 110:3,5 111:2 100:19 113:7 115:9 128:22 130:3,10 139:3,9,13,15,21 111:3,7 115:9,11 115:11 139:22 141:10 Q 116:13 117:14 127:20 142:6,14,20 143:4,6 140:14,17,20 141:1,4 150:20 153:20 162:9 131:2 139:1 155:14 qualified 28:12,12 42:1 141:8,10,14,16,19 227:4,12 55:1 56:6 66:10,22 171:10 175:15 192:20 142:3,5,10 143:5,8 processed 45:17 94:16 144:21 145:8 162:3,4 proposing 215:17 67:20 68:7,9 69:10 processing 30:2,5 165:11,17 166:2 **pros** 170:9 70:19 72:6 75:1,2,4

76:6 93:1 110:20 132:14,16,18 222:18 111:11 120:5,8,10,13 quite 23:20 36:12 41:22 132:17 174:18,18 120:14,18 128:9,18 129:18 131:13 132:4 **quote** 162:1 133:4 R qualify 70:4 129:9 **R-O-B-E-R-T** 194:4 133:14 RACHAEL 3:17 qualifying 31:15 raise 22:22 23:22 quality 23:5 quantifying 105:11 137:22 149:18 223:12 raised 171:7 quarterly 72:15 question 24:22 37:1 **raising** 151:5 44:18 63:19 64:19,22 ramifications 178:20 65:1 79:13 80:8,9,11 range 34:2 102:1 104:1 80:19 82:10 88:18 104:2,16 90:14 92:2,22 96:1 ranges 104:10,11,14 rapidly 51:21 100:16 101:14,21 102:4 116:12 118:2 rata 27:19 33:6,20 122:15 123:1,4,10 139:11 147:17 184:1 124:3,8,17,22 126:18 184:3.10 143:18 145:18 146:4 rate 32:13,14 33:8,13 41:8,14 43:2,10 44:10 147:6 154:8 164:18 170:21 175:14 180:18 53:9,17,19 55:18 180:20 181:8,19 68:12 70:9,16 83:5,15 183:13 188:2,6,21 84:3,4 100:22 101:4 101:15 102:2,3 189:1,21 190:16 104:18 110:13 113:5 191:3,6 195:19 199:9 199:14 200:21 202:5 184:4,9 188:10 222:21 208:14 questioned 146:6 rates 32:11 42:10,19 43:9 68:19 82:19 83:2 questioning 83:1 165:22 102:1 questions 10:11 18:4 ratio 125:2,5 21:3 36:3 41:5 49:20 re-called 107:19 127:8 50:4 63:15 64:18 react 203:2 75:22 80:15 82:7,9,14 read 34:3 79:19 155:11 85:9 86:2,8 91:21 174:10,12,19 180:3,6 102:16 106:9 108:5 180:6 181:3 183:5 210:1 219:16 109:10 117:19 123:13 reading 18:19 151:21 123:19 124:6 127:1 127:19 133:17,19,22 175:20 208:18 134:3.6.14 136:22 readiusted 101:12 137:6,6 146:11,19 **reads** 79:15 147:2 148:5 150:18 ready 11:8 14:10 22:17 151:3,5 153:12,15 107:12,14 108:18 157:11,12 160:22 127:2 137:14 159:21 162:5 173:2,7 174:5 201:13 real 73:17 157:17 164:2 176:16,21 191:12,13 191:17,18 192:6 180:2 193:1 194:16 195:3,4 reality 69:14 199:18 199:12 201:20 202:21 realize 51:18 200:15 203:14 204:1,4,5,6 realized 156:5 205:21,22 realizing 114:1,21 quick 91:17 124:17,22 reason 34:12 37:11 133:13 222:5,11 45:7 61:3 136:3 quickly 16:11 131:18 146:17 165:12 170:8

190:10,11 193:12 223:12 224:19 226:18 reasonable 37:15 54:2 103:4,9 104:8,21 114:8,11,13,19 115:1 116:2 131:6 132:22 reasonably 28:8 reasons 50:8 112:19 169:21 rebuttal 206:20,22 207:6 recall 10:7 17:11.12 22:5,11 64:15 65:3,10 96:1 126:9 175:20 206:21 207:5 recalled 11:12,16 12:7 19:9 107:5 195:1 recalling 12:8 207:14 **Recd** 4:6 receipt 116:18 212:15 receipts 37:6,8 49:2 **receive** 13:19 30:18 38:2,17 39:3 50:19 87:10 89:21 94:10 95:5,5,8,8 139:19 141:8 159:20 179:9 219:19 227:3 received 13:19 30:10 53:17 94:18 99:22 108:16 142:16 149:2 156:10,18 161:5,11 171:8 192:6 206:14 219:20 receives 156:16 166:5 170:2 receiving 213:14 recollection 114:16,17 212:20 228:14 recommendation 41:14 43:18 44:2 73:18,20 115:2 recommendations 119:5 170:11 recommended 41:8 111:21 114:15 121:11 221:3 224:4 reconfirm 109:15,20 record 14:15,16 18:18 18:19 25:12 26:3,8 65:19 92:14 95:20,21 97:7,9,11,16 99:12,17 99:18 100:5 109:21 125:18 148:20,22 152:22 153:4,7 157:5 161:3 168:3 180:15 182:10,21 183:5,7,7

185:12 187:12 193:3 193:7,14 194:19 198:18 199:1 202:17 206:11,12 208:10,21 212:7,8 213:11 218:1 218:6 220:9 221:22 225:13 226:14 227:8 227:16,18,19 229:16 229:20.22 records 92:3 157:6 redirect 126:4 148:12 191:21 redundant 41:5 refer 65:19 66:5 177:5 182:15 184:11 **reference** 76:3 177:8 referencing 162:4 referendum 175:16 referred 56:7 72:3 138:10 referring 66:18 68:1 110:8 refers 79:7,10,15 reflect 53:13 157:7,8 reflected 60:6 reg 76:1 79:14 122:22 regard 30:17 31:1,11 81:17 108:5 110:3 113:2 178:1 187:19 regarding 27:1 31:17 37:1 51:12 73:6 111:13 127:20 194:11 regards 118:6 Register 108:6 regular 59:3 74:9 112:18 regulated 46:7 47:19 64:10 174:13,15,16 186:10 regulates 184:12 regulations 155:19 185:8 regulatory 66:12,18 72:4 79:14 82:15 91:12 93:16 109:18 127:20 reimbursable 34:8 129:7,19 131:22 133:4 reimburse 62:20 reimbursed 31:15 33:10 45:18 47:13 48:3 58:13 69:15 78:1 88:10 113:21 125:5 130:21 131:14 200:16 201:3 202:9

reimbursement 29:6 30:1,5,10,18,21 34:18 34:20 44:14,20 45:4 55:18 64:3 76:17 80:2 80:5 87:3 88:1.9 93:2 94:15 110:13 113:5,9 114:5 119:1,6 130:19 131:13 132:4,6 133:11,13 142:6 146:8 172:8 179:3 199:21 201:7 202:13 reimbursements 29:17 66:21 86:16 171:12 reiterate 27:4 169:11 192:9 rejected 32:15 relate 75:22 related 34:10 45:13 174:21 189:4,5 relates 59:13 66:8 68:11 70:17 73:1 75:22 189:12 relating 143:18 145:18 relation 98:18 relations 140:10 relationship 158:16 relationships 158:12 163:14 184:15 194:14 relative 28:4 release 155:8,22 182:4 **releases** 170:5 relevant 158:17,18 164:13 172:18 192:15 193:11.14 reliable 171:4 193:11 remain 119:11 167:21 **remains** 123:2 remarks 169:14 remember 22:22 42:8 46:5 128:7 remind 128:2 reminders 139:20 141:9 removed 92:22 repeat 23:16 26:1 67:8 105:22 201:2 209:8 repetition 82:13 repetitive 82:10 reply 228:2 report 101:7 132:9 reported 29:15 reporter 13:7,11,15,18 14:6 16:15 18:2,10,22 35:6,10 227:3 228:13 228:17 229:1 reporting 88:14

represent 168:19 representative 16:19 101:16 representing 128:3 130:14 request 9:13 23:11 54:11 132:6 143:12 143:13,16 145:16 172:7 216:7 222:3,5 222:15,21 223:6 requested 36:7 requests 30:10 required 78:12 93:10 94:9,19 95:12 142:12 142:17 167:4 requirement 94:7 183:22 requirements 27:7 139:1 142:5 174:22 requiring 59:17 144:17 research 60:15 102:12 140:11 reserve 31:14 40:6,11 40:12,13,14,15,18 residence 152:18 resolved 222:17 respect 15:17 35:12 53:5 60:3 86:18 100:16 respective 33:11 38:14 respond 188:22 194:19 221:15 222:2,3,22 223:10 responded 168:3 response 20:4 123:16 124:1,4 133:21 134:2 134:5,8 137:3,7 177:3 181:14 187:19 190:2 responses 93:21 responsibility 86:20 134:16 146:13 responsible 155:13 181:4,11,20 rest 208:16 restate 129:12 result 62:6 102:8 resultina 68:8 resumed 97:9 retail 47:10 retailer 47:9 **retake** 107:9 retired 153:2 return 40:6 121:21 135:3,21,21 163:21 195:15 196:2 returned 31:14 40:10

revenue 29:4 105:12 **revenues** 168:17 review 43:22 54:12,14 56:1 92:6 142:16 143:9,11,12,14 reviewed 45:17 55:20 reviewing 169:15 revise 52:5 revised 7:20 **Revision** 28:5 43:15 56:12 128:5,6 140:5 ringing 150:19 Robert 3:16,19 163:9 163:10 177:20 194:4 robust 68:20 110:4 128:11,15 140:4 role 28:21 45:12 130:6 134.17 roll 17:4 40:3 **Room** 2:6 round 220:11.17.22 221:18 222:1,20 223:19,20 224:4 225:3,5 route 142:18 219:6 **routine** 139:19 ruled 226:10 **rulemaking** 5:4 30:14 43:4,19,21 44:6 52:6 78:4 141:18 143:4 173:21 229:11 rulemakings 16:22 rules 8:8 50:11 155:18 155:18 174:21 225:20 226:2,13 227:11,17 227:21 ruling 222:5 run 55:16 58:5 Rupa 2:3 5:19 21:6 64:18 119:21 147:6 173:6 204:21 216:2 218:13 221:8 rupa.chilukuri@ogc.... 2:8 rush 192:21

Sacramento 106:3 **safe** 45:14 229:19 **sake** 78:15 **salad** 122:18,20

sale 34:15 56:17 59:15 62:3,15 63:12 89:11 140:15 161:11

S

sales 62:10 70:20

120:18 122:8 123:7 140:11 159:8 160:1 **sample** 4:9,9 24:13 33:2 101:17 sandbag 223:17 satisfaction 113:13 satisfied 143:11 satisfy 65:7 Saturday 225:18 226:3 save 212:8 savings 172:4 saw 7:6 38:8 156:4 169:1 saying 87:17 136:20 151:10 157:16 164:4 168:21 169:10 170:14 170:16 173:15 176:13 179:17 189:13 190:17 220:10 221:18 224:2 **says** 8:15 20:10 38:2,16 41:7 46:1 59:9 60:14 65:20 70:18 75:16 76:5 82:17 110:12 116:16 147:15 155:12 182:5,6 183:21 184:17 186:3 221:19 223:9 224:21 SC-19-984-1 1:9 scenario 87:12 171:14 scenarios 200:15 schedule 14:11 15:7 16:12 212:10 222:11 222:16 228:11 scheduled 5:15 11:22 schedules 210:17 scheduling 21:9 211:14 211:21 Schmaedick 2:9 9:11 9:12,21 10:2,5,9 11:14 12:9,22 13:5,6 13:16 19:8 22:3,4,9

22:12 36:5,7,8,10,19 64:14,20,21 65:9,13 68:10 78:3 107:5.11 107:14 108:1,2,4,21 108:22 109:1 117:18 126:17,19 127:4,11 127:13,14 133:16 136:18 144:6,7,9,10 147:1 193:1 204:8

221:18 224:3 227:10 scope 28:11 88:15 101:21 140:6 143:1 screen 12:20 19:11,17

205:4,5,12,17 206:1 220:14 221:1.1.7.10

S 4:1

124:10 134:20 177:17 59:2 100:20 130:8 189:15 216:4 23:21 67:10,12 108:3 178:2 184:14,20 108:8 111:16 124:12 services 165:15 166:9 single 55:6,10 167:4 168:6 sir 88:16 96:15 149:15 211:13,15,22 220:5 126:15 214:9 **screens** 150:6 serving 26:20 173:12 208:5 221:10 **situation** 39:21 50:12 **speaker** 20:11 28:2 set 8:8 15:11 17:22 se 10:17 87:16 229:16 **speaking** 9:9,19 13:4 season 81:9,10 118:12 33:16 38:12 75:1 132:11,20 133:2 84:12 122:22 208:10 skeptical 223:22 16:18,19 82:4 90:13 skin 89:16,16 175:6,7 152:1 160:4 181:17 217:19 226:19 141.6 184:14 189:15 203:18 seasonal 132:12 setting 21:8 **skip** 44:12 seven 14:18 16:2 204:20 208:9 216:4 second 5:14 8:11,14,15 **sleep** 190:7 Specialist 2:10 38:15 44:13 52:21 212:15,16,20 213:11 **slide** 33:22 34:4,10,17 35:4.17 70:8 **specific** 30:17 41:14 76:18 77:5 85:18 92:2 214:14,21 215:1 49:3 62:3 113:11 106:17 145:15 155:10 222:14 227:2 **slides** 32:20 143:17 145:17 slight 67:4,22 seven-day 16:6 155:12 **slipped** 90:22 specifically 25:17 42:9 **Secondly** 157:20 seventh 14:22 15:1 **sloppy** 208:21 54:21 57:17 61:4 **seconds** 171:19 shaking 93:4 78:21 91:11 121:17 share 4:10 24:13 27:19 **slot** 195:1 **secretary** 27:9 30:15 127:21 133:12 144:13 41:9 54:17 116:6 29:14 33:3,6,11,18,21 slowest 133:7 small 112:2,16 132:7 specifics 105:14 139:2 141:19 143:14 38:14 125:1 143:17 specified 112:14 184:2,5,8 193:18 145:17 184:1,3,10 153:16 Secretary's 105:20 **shared** 19:20 20:13 **smiling** 224:11 specify 93:14 section 79:6,21 127:21 130:13 188:8 **snack** 46:17 47:4,5 70:2 **spell** 106:1,4 **shed** 171:9 71:12 112:11 spelled 116:9 139:1 192:16 **spend** 89:19,20,21 90:3 **secure** 190:21 **sheet** 195:15 196:3 sneaking 187:4 **sold** 132:12 161:4,7,10 171:17 175:9 199:18 seeing 19:15 61:14 197:3 99:5 190:3 **shell** 77:9 210:14 199:20 200:4 202:8 **shelled** 112:18 sole 227:8 202:10,11 seeks 226:17 shelling 159:22 **solutions** 170:15 spending 135:10 142:4 seen 122:3 187:18 segments 140:21 **ship** 132:15,16 **solving** 116:8 202:14 selected 57:6 140:13 **shipment** 103:6 198:16 somebody 18:20 86:3 spends 200:1 sell 129:5 159:9 190:4 201:13 103:19 125:9 165:8 spent 175:11 **shipped** 132:18 168:16 222:1,8,10 **spoke** 42:15 212:12 195:20 197:1 selling 162:12 shipping 160:1 225:10 228:3 **spot** 17:7 sells 158:1 161:14 shoot 222:2 somebody's 181:17 **spread** 169:8 seminar 140:12 shortly 22:19 somewhat 71:8 **spring** 73:11,13 soon 45:6 131:13,17 seminars 34:3 shot 88:18 192:22 **spur** 29:21 staff 28:6,15,16,17,22 send 5:21 13:21,22 193:21 132:6 139:5 44:4 49:18 54:5 84:22 15:1,2,15,16 16:2,5 **show** 130:12,12 sorry 9:10,21 13:2 15:8 115:13 140:5 142:8,9 25:20 94:19 129:22 **showed** 53:18 21:17 23:15 28:1 35:7 143:21,21 146:9,13 151:2 220:3 **showing** 12:19 19:16 36:6,20 61:19 64:21 146:21 sending 14:21 **shows** 34:2 35:3 57:2 67:8 71:3,4 80:7 **staff's** 146:17 Senior 2:10 120:20,20 124:11 91:17 96:17 100:6 140:12 108:9 109:5,6 144:4 **stake** 47:3 sense 43:3 68:21 69:14 71:9 147:22 157:5 shredded 7:18 158:8 181:15 183:19 **stamp** 142:16 stand 11:6 23:10 97:1 204:22 208:13 210:22 224:7,8,12 side 63:8 154:20 168:17 216:11 220:1 97:13 106:18 107:6,9 sent 81:7 155:9 225:8 **sides** 156:6 signatories 45:10 sort 21:8 69:13 72:5,10 126:10,16,22 137:10 **sentence** 38:16 41:6 110:10 113:8 122:16 137:11 149:5,17 significant 89:4 203:6 79:2,10,18 144:19 148:11 174:6 178:8 187:5 195:1 separate 25:20 41:1 **similar** 57:9,12,14 61:2 206:20 210:10,12 68:6 101:22 **sorting** 159:22 43:17 77:6 78:15 102:9.12 154:22 similarly 35:4 84:16 sound 23:5 85:15 **standard** 32:12 53:10 simple 24:12 157:17 **sounds** 23:17 207:12 75:7 117:9 141:16 separately 67:20 225:2 standards 52:2,2 **September** 66:7 74:2,4 216:6 source 178:22 standpoint 88:11 117:6 **simply** 64:3 served 151:17 speak 36:7 45:22 82:3 start 37:1 109:11 122:2 **serves** 221:4 Simultaneous 9:9.19 **Service** 2:10 57:20 58:5 13:4 90:13 160:4 87:15 88:4,7 123:19 144:12 225:4

test 60:17 61:8,13 53:5 57:18 66:13 started 150:18,20 156:8 118:10 131:12 132:5 209:9 106:22 120:4 179:13 176:16 183:3 143:16 144:18 145:16 185:12 212:17 213:12 207:17 210:17 211:14 testified 24:5 107:20 starting 104:8,21 127:9 138:4 149:22 211:20 218:14 starts 110:11 121:20 213:13,20,21 214:2 talked 27:5 81:2 121:5 163:11 state 44:14 47:22 48:5 223:9,22 227:4 testifies 6:14 108:19 130:17 179:19 183:9 52:21 87:18 102:11 **submits** 228:3 **submitted** 32:14 49:9 talking 20:10 64:1 testify 22:10 107:1 105:11 145:15 156:14 170:10 192:12 203:15 171:22 172:2 226:2 49:14 114:4,4 142:11 112:10 187:21 target 37:17 129:17 testifying 8:3 27:1 stated 55:15,21 62:22 222:8 submitter 220:19 95:22 138:18 170:16 119:10 158:1 161:22 targeted 63:6 176:10 179:15 188:13 175:1 **submitting** 118:18,22 targets 63:1 tax 86:17,21 87:11,14 testimonies 152:2 **statement** 24:17 26:12 131:2 223:14 87:19 88:11,13,20 testimony 4:7,8,11,12 51:11 60:14 96:16 subsequent 34:8 5:21 6:15 7:22 11:17 **subsidiaries** 46:2 47:16 89:2 90:5,6,9 91:3 100:8 108:11 116:14 13:17 14:1 21:4 23:22 138:8,14 144:14 47:18 64:9 171:13,22 172:2,4,5,9 **subsidiary** 46:9,21 47:5 175:4 178:1,12,13,14 24:10 25:3,8 35:21 145:14 150:8 151:20 36:12 41:10 44:13 156:20 157:2 165:11 48:11 202:13 203:1 taxes 172:3 45:22 50:2 64:7 65:7 165:20,21 186:19,22 substantiate 105:16 teams 59:5 65:20 77:8 83:22 85:1 187:4,6 209:5,20 substantiating 102:5 technical 123:4 187:1 90:16 92:1 97:18 210:1 226:21 substantive 229:7 statements 203:19 successful 69:5 technically 6:2 99:13,20 100:4,10 209:12 sufficient 84:18 technology 221:12 102:6 103:14,19 states 1:1 5:5 62:5 suggest 15:7 164:4 telephone 106:13 106:15,19 108:15,18 113:11 212:2 198:20 199:14 148:10 191:18 126:11 128:15 135:19 Statistics 100:20 telephonic 65:5 180:14 138:8 144:2,12,13 suggested 214:7 suggestions 170:11 205:10,14 206:19 145:15 148:18 149:6 **status** 89:2 **Suite** 2:17 207:10 210:10,21 150:3,11 151:9,9 stay 95:18 146:13 **summer** 74:3 211:4 214:8 216:7,21 152:15 153:14 154:9 229:19 step 36:14,14 42:7 **Sunday** 225:18 226:3 217:2 218:19,21 156:9 158:11,19 99:19 106:17,18 supplement 84:20 219:10 221:6 164:6,13,19 174:5,19 126:9 137:10 149:4 support 49:20 140:14 tell 16:4 56:9 65:22 176:22 180:3,6 187:5 144:14 66:14 78:7 81:3 96:21 192:14,15,16 193:10 210:11 stick 45:4 supported 227:16 134:16 192:17 212:6 194:11,18 203:13 **stop** 96:14 188:20,20 supporting 56:15 214:13,15 227:15 205:16 206:10 228:7 188:21,21,21 200:10 supportive 229:16 228:19 testing 60:17 61:4,10 tells 224:17 **supports** 105:18 61:13 storage 160:1 **Tetolian** 105:8 106:2 straight 49:11 208:10 **suppose** 136:16 164:9 template 144:22 straightforward 29:1 supposed 111:4 203:13 ten 33:16,18 44:5 96:22 text 66:13,18 72:4 76:1 79:14,15 82:15 91:12 **strictly** 114:22 surprise 162:2 102:2 103:15 104:4,7 93:17,18 109:18 **strike** 228:6 surprised 90:2 118:21 104:11,17,20 187:16 122:22 127:20 151:3 **Stroman** 13:14 14:3 **SW** 2:6.11 188:4,9,9 190:1 228:13 swear 23:21 **tend** 66:4 204:15 217:6 switching 113:2 190:19 tends 62:10 texted 162:6 217:5 strong 120:17 164:11 sworn 22:21 24:5 term 66:3 121:14 158:2 221:18 struggling 176:4 texting 221:14 107:20 127:9 138:4 terms 15:4,22 21:9,10 **study** 105:3,13 28:13 42:1 45:16 then- 161:16 stuff 57:3 134:19 149:22 system 45:15 49:19 49:19 60:18 61:9 theoretically 103:3 subcommittee 52:8 58:10 59:7 139:19 65:19 66:1,4,9,11,13 theory 39:19 77:2 109:16 they'd 14:16 50:19 67:15 68:2,3 71:18 **subject** 30:3 44:16 72:5,9 73:3 74:18 things 12:12 21:8 25:1 156:14 submission 30:2.4 **T** 4:1.1 76:9,12 78:5 79:16 36:14 42:18 43:3 105:11 110:5 115:10 45:17 49:10.15 51:21 44:15,17 51:4 53:18 **T-E-T-** 106:6 121:4 131:1 133:5 74:11 78:16 81:15 142:6,14,20 taken 141:2 154:5 84:13 100:3 112:13 163:17 182:21 185:15 terrible 122:5 **submit** 72:19 76:13 77:3,13,14 94:9,11,14 takes 73:15 121:17,19 **Terry** 3:12 63:18 80:18 116:2 134:13 167:6 95:10 113:8 114:9 talk 14:11 16:12 51:3,12 118:1 182:9 192:4,17

194:17 214:13 224:22 227:21 third 37:20 46:2,10,12 46:22 47:2,7,12,15 64:2 109:13 110:10 110:10,21 113:3 115:6 129:3 thoroughly 111:18 thought 28:7,9 42:7,11 103:20 114:19 115:1 125:18 163:19 170:11 198:21 224:13 229:13 229:14 thoughts 17:8 49:4 164:17 three 24:9 100:22 163:15 190:9 threw 129:4 throughput 168:12 tie 47:21 tight 48:17 till 119:3 132:22 165:5 timeframe 66:7 Timeliness 110:12 113:4 timely 30:5,11 73:14 114:1 117:3 times 121:15 169:13 175:8 179:22 184:5 190:10 timing 16:1 72:12 73:3 223:3 tired 157:1,3 title 159:18 titled 115:7 today 27:1 40:8 99:20 106:19 126:11 138:19 149:6 153:14 170:21 171:2 172:2,14 188:17 told 156:3 tons 101:4 top 44:13 105:15 110:17 **TOS** 3:20 total 29:3 38:4 123:5 195:16 196:1,6,6,8 197:6 track 144:20 tracking 45:15 Tracy 2:4 82:2,4 210:21 211:11 219:19,19 220:16 **trade** 34:2 55:6 57:1,2 63:2,6 129:17 130:12 130:12 140:12,12

trail 45:17,19 transaction 159:8 transcript 5:11 13:9 14:11,17,19 15:6,11 15:20 16:5,9 17:1,15 17:22 212:13,16,18 213:3.14 214:21 215:3,11 222:6,7,14 227:2,4 228:16,22 transcripts 16:7 translates 104:19 transparency 45:16 165:13 168:7 188:14 190:22 transport 200:5 travel 61:19.20 treat 100:11 135:22,22 treated 86:16 87:5 88:13 171:13 tree 121:16 trees 101:1 Trial 2:5 **trouble** 211:8 **true** 89:16 154:19 truly 201:6 trust 135:6,6,14,15 166:14,16 168:16 169:6 trusting 168:15 truthful 180:4,7 **try** 20:7 91:2 121:13 122:7,11 147:22 176:18 200:22 204:13 205:21 216:18 225:1 trying 17:10 67:10 72:11 89:15 95:20 96:13,18 97:15 112:8 112:9,19 120:22 121:3,10,11 122:8 124:8 153:22 157:10 162:21 165:7 171:1 183:13 187:11 197:21 202:2 204:19 220:6 Tuesday 1:12 tune 202:10 turn 35:9 54:19 57:16 118:15 184:21 217:14 turnaround 228:15 turning 144:13 145:13 turns 159:17 twice 36:4 210:14 **two** 7:6 9:1,13 10:11 11:15 12:7 28:13 37:9 45:10 76:11,16 78:7,8 85:20 99:11 112:7

118:2 128:1 154:22

166:21 187:20,21 190:2,3,7,12 191:5 213:3 215:10 **twofold** 134:15 type 14:12 41:13 42:5 49:10 82:19 115:15 121:21 145:5 types 4:8 24:11 35:19 41:21 42:10 44:19 51:16 56:12 57:10,12 57:14 68:17 71:11 75:10 92:4 101:22 111:12 128:12 176:7 **typical** 224:5 typically 72:20 73:11 78:14 94:2 98:6,7 101:12 **typo** 80:10

U **U.S** 2:2,4,9 143:14 171:4 **ultimate** 143:15 ultimately 29:17 32:6 41:12 54:17 169:20 unable 170:10 unaffected 162:3 165:10 **unaware** 167:2 **understand** 6:3 15:9 54:1 55:3 74:14 77:7 79:13 80:11 89:14 90:1 96:13,14 98:21 113:6,15 121:2 136:9 141:14 164:4 167:9 167:18 171:1 178:18 185:2 189:4 195:19 199:13 200:7,8 202:8 210:14 213:1,7 219:12 221:3 222:17 228:13 understandable 117:15 understanding 13:8 71:6 80:8 113:17,19

understandable 177.13 understanding 13:8 71:6 80:8 113:17,19 131:20 146:7 147:14 147:18,20 150:17 202:2 203:11 209:10 understands 141:21 understood 153:13 157:13 undertaken 118:8 203:8

unforeseen 143:7 unfortunately 154:12 168:4 170:7 228:19

uniform 49:11

United 1:1 5:4 University 161:20 unknown 165:20 unmute 7:9 20:2 86:3 163:2 unmuted 7:9 20:5 163:6 **unused** 31:13 40:5,17 updated 103:7 ups 102:14 **USDA** 3:8,9,10,11,12 5:19 9:12 13:6 14:20 19:8 21:5.6 25:12.14 36:2 44:4,7 63:16 64:13,18 73:14 74:2,7 82:1 85:12 100:19 101:6 102:16,17 105:19 106:11 115:1 116:6 117:22 119:20 119:22 123:15 133:20 136:16 142:1 144:5 147:3,6 148:7 155:9 156:18 170:5 173:5,7 174:1 177:2,8 181:6 184:18 192:22,22 204:5,22 205:22 207:4,5 210:21 212:3 212:9 213:13 216:2 218:14 227:4 **USDA's** 25:19 213:7 use 19:18 27:20 28:13 29:20 34:16 37:7,8,15

use 19:18 27:20 28:13 29:20 34:16 37:7,8,15 37:15 40:2 45:7 49:19 57:8 66:4 68:19 70:8 70:20 74:16 84:6 100:21 101:13 102:1 105:19 131:19 139:13 145:3,5 147:19 148:1 173:22 users 28:21

usual 173:3 187:3 225:9 226:2 usually 163:17 167:6 utilization 92:3 utilize 112:17 139:18 141:7 utilized 62:11

utilized 62:11 utilizing 59:6 104:22

V

valid 202:5 valuable 125:18 175:8 value 135:17 161:16 varied 42:20,21 various 17:2 35:19,19 41:11 45:12 56:12 58:6 68:17,19 70:9

74:11 104:14 120:12 140:19 200:14 varying 29:16 vast 119:5 Vawter 3:12 63:18,19 63:20,22 80:18,19,22 81:1 118:1,2,4,5 119:17 Vawter's 132:21 veil 46:16 veracity 164:11 verified 154:14 verify 166:16 verifying 166:18 version 8:11 versions 7:7 versus 34:9,20 37:9 41:21 42:6,18 62:2 83:20 vetted 227:5 Videoconference 1:14 view 110:7 153:15 169:17,18 172:21 viewing 8:5 **views** 44:9 virtual 106:18 126:10 137:11 149:5,17 210:11 virtually 115:14 visible 59:18 93:18 vision 74:6 Visualize 171:18 voice 149:14 173:13 **volume** 1:11 140:22 vote 175:16 voted 122:10

W

wait 77:13,21 119:3 131:15 132:8 165:5 176:13 186:21 waiting 69:18 132:22 217:15 waived 144:1 walk 36:13 69:19 72:10 73:2 Walmart 129:5.6.8 walnut 2:14,16 10:8 14:22 15:10 26:19 29:22 34:22,22 35:14 58:13 70:4 71:4,13,15 79:8,17 87:4 88:1,20 89:8 92:6 93:2,7,9 96:8,8 102:10 117:2 121:12 122:21 125:1 134:18 138:18 152:6

152:7,7 153:8,16 155:3,13 156:11,19 157:4 158:10 160:12 163:10 168:18,18 169:11 170:6 172:7 174:8,17 177:6 178:15 185:4.5 194:5 196:12,13,14,15,16 196:20 197:11,12,14 197:22 198:5 199:16 199:21 200:16 201:15 203:10 206:21 215:13 walnut-only 34:19,21 walnuts 1:8 5:12 34:16 56:18 57:8 59:11,12 59:16,20,22 60:5,8 62:4 63:13 70:3,3,10 70:21 71:6,22 75:14 75:17,19 89:11 91:4,9 93:8,10,14 98:14 111:14 112:18 120:18 121:16,17,19 122:12 122:20 123:7 129:5,6 130:2,14 140:15 151:14 169:3 174:10 174:14 183:11 184:5 184:6 195:21 196:4,7 197:1 200:5 wanted 6:5 7:20 13:16 19:5 25:11,14,21 42:5 43:16 48:16 52:13 63:7 64:11 65:18 71:8 74:22 78:20 88:3 101:20 110:2 112:15 120:11 122:15 147:11 150:11 159:11 173:14 176:10 184:11 192:17 203:3 208:9,15 212:13 216:3 217:18 218:14 **wanting** 49:19 wants 48:6 146:2 177:12,12 207:13 210:9 219:14,15 Washington 2:7,11 wasn't 54:15 55:15 68:15 96:18 107:6 151:1 170:15.20 174:18 208:17 212:19 221:7 waving 149:12 way 7:16 11:20 16:16

16:21 17:19 18:3

22:14 25:6 42:2 49:13

59:4 79:14 88:3 99:5

99:6 117:14 121:1

126:4 135:9,10 137:17 140:1 141:11 148:2 151:4 159:8 166:18 168:1,21 176:14,14 178:6 183:8 185:17,17 187:3.3 193:3 201:20 205:21 215:16 216:19 219:2,17 224:5,10 225:12 ways 17:2 56:22 198:11 web 150:4 215:3 217:20 website 6:16 12:21 14:19 16:7 108:16 214:22 227:4 weeds 188:16 week 213:12 weekend 13:7 weeks 215:10 weight 184:4,6 193:10 209:21 welcome 8:2,6 183:14 192:18 went 91:7 97:9 105:14 130:12 229:22 west 149:9 wholly 46:2,8,21 47:4 47:16,18 48:10 64:9 wide 112:15 widely 41:22 50:3,17 56:14 85:4 wife 224:17 William 3:13,20 4:4 127:7 willing 126:22 willingness 206:18 wishes 52:18 withdrawn 4:11 26:10 26:10 99:15 217:21 withheld 195:17 196:3 197:6 withhold 98:10 196:19 witness 6:4 19:2,9 20:18 22:21 24:4,7 36:2,9 42:8 63:17,21 65:16 83:10 85:14 86:10.18 88:22 89:9 91:10,15 92:12 95:22 96:17 97:3,5,22 98:7 98:17 99:5 100:2,15 102:18 106:6,20 107:22 108:21 117:21 118:3 120:1 124:19 126:12 127:12 134:11 136:13,17 137:6,11 137:12,13,15,15

138:15 144:8 147:7 148:14 149:5,7,10,17 150:9 152:16 153:1,5 158:14 159:3 160:6 160:19 164:7,9,11 165:2 173:5,9,12,15 173:19 177:10,14,19 177:22 178:4 179:5 179:11,13,15,17 180:16 181:16 187:3 187:9 188:22 191:15 194:16 195:3 203:14 203:20 207:15 210:6 210:12 witnesses 4:2 6:9 8:22 9:1,13,16,18 10:8,13 10:16 11:12.16 12:7 65:3 119:10 206:17 206:22,22 207:6,17 207:20,22 210:13 wonder 222:19 wonderful 63:9 wondering 69:6 79:8 82:15 83:2 94:6 187:18 word 164:12 168:16 words 59:11,11,22 71:21 170:13 185:14 work 13:10 18:11 34:18 35:3,19 44:4 47:11 49:18 59:2,4 69:8 70:1,22 72:11,15 76:12 83:13,15 85:5 111:4 140:11 170:18 224:18.21 worked 219:8 working 20:11 23:20 56:2 58:8 73:7 78:13 83:19 114:12,20 170:19 225:11 workings 91:12 works 11:15 32:18 167:11,18 172:11 213:15 world 73:9,17 164:2 worry 18:12 wouldn't 20:16 37:15 51:8 58:15 71:12 86:21 123:9 130:18 130:18 131:15 132:20 190:7,18,18 213:5 216:7 228:19 wow 170:17 wrapping 217:13 write 87:8,19 90:3

193:2 219:15 221:3

	l	I	1
221:21	0.65 169:2	99:12,16 100:1	69:1 70:15 87:10,10
writes 178:12,13	0.70 68:12	250 2:17	89:21 125:12 172:10
writing 144:1 224:3		250,000 33:20 40:2	70,000 87:22 172:8
written 117:14 143:16	1	26 4:8 24:11,20 32:21	7671 37:19 67:15
145:16	1,000 101:17 131:22	99:13,17 100:1	109:12 110:9 113:3
wrong 113:18 172:14	1.85 198:4,6	27 4:11 24:14,20 25:15	128:1
172:16 183:4	1:01 97:10	26:9 99:14 217:20	7672 54:20 60:13
wrote 152:3	10 151:14	28 4:11 138:7,11 148:18	109:12 110:17,22
W1313 102.0	10,000 88:2	149:3	115:5 128:1
X	100 35:1 68:15 132:2,8	29 7:3 13:19	110.0 120.1
X 33:10,18	176:3 186:19	207.010.10	8
X 33.10,10	100,000 87:19 90:3,3	3	8 138:19
Υ	171:21 172:3,8	3 103:22 161:19	85,000 102:6 105:2,18
yea 175:18	202:12	3:38 229:22	00,000 102.0 100.2,10
year 27:11,12 29:9,13	101 2:16	30 4:7,12 7:5,12 32:15	9
29:15 31:16 37:8,11	1099 87:5	53:17,19 70:3,6,14	90 98:8
	11:00 1:16	83:20 108:11 116:18	916-932-7070 2:18
37:12,12,13,14,16,17		116:21 117:5,10	95630 2:17
37:18 38:6,19 39:12	11:03 5:2	206:9,12,15 217:20	984 1:9 5:14
39:14,14,16 40:3,4,6	11:04 5:16 11:10 149:9	218:1	984.46 78:7,9,21 80:6
43:12 50:14 55:1,7,10	12:50 97:9	30th 101:9	147:11
55:10,13,18 65:21,22	12:50 97.9 127 4:4	31 66:7	984.46(a) 79:2
66:3,5,6 72:17 73:16	12th 155:9	31st 30:8	984.46(b) 147:12
74:4,4,10,12 76:4,8	137 4:4	365 114:13	984.46(c) 67:3,16
76:11,16,18,18 77:1,4	137 4.4 138 4:11	303 114.13	984.546 27:8 67:20 78:9
77:5,10 78:19 81:9	14 15:5 215:11 225:6,12	4	110:3,6,12 111:2
95:13 101:9 110:19	227:7	4 28:5 31:17 51:12	127:21 139:2
113:14 114:2,6,10,13	1400 2:6,11	103:22 167:19	984.546(d) 76:3
114:22 118:9,11,19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		984.546(e) 75:3 120:7
119:7 131:5 132:2	149 4:5,11 15 32:12 52:3 53:7,8	4(i) 144:15 4:30 225:11	984.546(e)(l) 82:16
133:8 139:5 145:9,12	83:20 84:7 102:2	4.30 225.11 40 87:18 101:15,18	984.546(E)(ii) 70:18
161:12 166:20 184:3		172:1 176:3	984.69 79:4 183:17
184:8 190:8,8,19	104:17,20 113:13		984.70 79:4
year's 29:3 37:6,7 39:13	114:7,9 118:6,14	40-acre 151:14	
114:20 198:18	131:4,15,16 133:8 16 26:21	40,000 172:4 400 101:17	99 4:8,8
year-end 30:7	1920 101:4	435-260-9964 2:12	
yearly 55:16 72:14	1920 101.4 1995 194:7		
years 26:21 37:9 53:11	1995 194.7	46 78:10,11	
76:16 104:19 121:20	2	5	
122:1 147:21 148:1		-	
151:14 163:16	2 97:6 144:13 158:10	5 121:20	
yelling 224:10,14 225:1	160:12 162:13 2.5 33:17 103:16	5(ii) 120:9	
yesterday 6:1 9:14 16:14 19:20 20:14		50 29:17 41:11 47:5	
	2.7 104:5	132:4	
25:2 42:15 122:4	2.75 196:11	546 78:13	
150:14 153:11,18,21	20 171:19	584.46 37:21	
154:8 163:11,19	20-J-0011 5:6 202-702-4982 2:7	6	
169:1 170:20 175:8 176:9 192:7	202-702-4962 2.7 2020 1:13 5:4 101:11	60,000 87:21 172:5,9	
yield 208:18 209:16,21	2025 1:13 3:4 101:11	630 103:1	
yieiu 200. 10 209. 10,21	20230 2.7,11 206 4:12,12	65 4:3 29:17 41:12	
	20th 5:22	650 101:12 103:2	
Zoom 106:12 124:3	20 (15.22 21 1:13	650,000 101:4	
134:4 148:9 191:17	21 st 5:3	000,000 101.4	
193:22 221:2	2331-C 2:6	7	
190.22 221.2	24 4:3,8,8,11 27:4	7 4:7	
0	25 4:8 24:10,20 33:15	70 29:18 41:12,17 43:1	
	20 7.0 27.10,20 33.13	1023.1041.12,1743.1	
I	I	I	I

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Walnuts Grown in California

Amendment to Marketing Order No. 984

Before: USDA

Date: 04-21-20

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &