

Hydrogen Chloride

Crops

Identification of Petitioned Substance

Chemical Names:

Hydrogen chloride

CAS Numbers:

7647-01-0

Other Name:Anhydrous hydrochloric acid, muriatic acid,
chlorane, chlorohydric acid,**Other Codes:**IUPAC: chlorane
InChIKey: VEXZGXHMUGYJMC-
UHFFFAOYSA-N
PubChem: CID 313

Summary of Petitioned Use

Following a petition submitted in 2002 (USDA, 2002), the NOSB voted April 29, 2004 recommending addition of hydrogen chloride to the National List (NOSB, 2002). The final rule was published September 11, 2006 (Day, 2006; Electronic Code of Federal Regulations, 2013) as follows:

§ 205.601 Synthetic substance allowed for use in organic crop production.

In accordance with restrictions specified in this section, the following synthetic substances may be used in organic crop production: *Provided*, that, use of such substances do not contribute to contamination of crops, soil, or water. Substances allowed by this section, except disinfectants and sanitizers in paragraph (a) and those substances in paragraphs (c), (j), (k), and (l) of this section, may only be used when the provisions set forth in §205.206(a) through (d) prove insufficient to prevent or control the target pest.

(n) Seed preparations. Hydrogen chloride (CAS 7647-01-0) for delinting cotton seed for planting.

The NOSB reviewed and recommended hydrogen chloride for renewal at its November, 2009 meeting (NOSB, 2009). As required by the Organic Foods Production Act, the National Organic Standards Board has the responsibility to review each substance on the National List within five years of its adoption to determine whether the substance should be renewed or removed from the National List.¹ A previous technical report for hydrogen chloride was completed in August, 2003 and is available on the internet (NOP, 2003). For the 2016 sunset review, the NOSB requested an updated limited scope technical evaluation report for hydrogen chloride covering new developments in cottonseed delinting technology and engineering. To support their decision-making the document has been limited to the following sections:

- Identification of the Petitioned Substance
- Summary of Petitioned (Current) Use
- Evaluation Question #11
- Evaluation Question #12

The current listing for hydrogen chloride is scheduled to sunset on 9/12/2016.²

¹ OFPA, Section 2118(e).

² The current list of sunset dates is available on the NOP website at [NOP 5611 – National List Sunset Dates](#).

Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop or Livestock Production

Evaluation Question #11: Describe all natural (non-synthetic) substances or products which may be used in place of a petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (ii)). Provide a list of allowed substances that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).

The cotton seed is an ovoid, somewhat pointed, dark brown structure. Prior to ginning and delinting, the epidermis of the seed has two types of fibers or lint: long lint fibers and short linters (or fuzz fibers—Oosthuis and Jernstedt, 1999). The long lint fibers are removed during ginning. Cotton ginning is the process of separating long cotton fibers from the cottonseeds (May and Lege, 1999). Short fibers (linters) remaining on the seed after ginning are removed by delinting. The number of linters remaining on cottonseed after ginning is dependent upon both the type of gin used and the variety of cotton (Kanawade and Kashyap, 1981). Respectively, there are 3900-5300 fuzzy, 5300-7000 mechanically delinted and 10,500-12,300 acid delinted cottonseeds per kilogram. Table 1 provides a list of materials/practices that are used in practice to prepare cottonseed for planting.

Although fuzzy seed can be planted, there are several important benefits gained from delinting. Delinted seed can be readily cleaned and gravity-graded to enhance vigor and remove low density high moisture seeds. The singulation and flowability of delinted seed is also improved compared to fuzzy seed which clump in precision planters. There are two approaches to delinting: acid and mechanical.

Acid delinting is the primary method of delinting in the United States. Acid delinting sterilizes the surface of the seed and improves seed health (Gregory et al., 1999). As a result, it is effective in preventing *Xanthomonas campestris* infection and the fungal disease, anthracnose.

Acid delinting is only effective with strong acids. Sensitivity of cottonseed to damage from acid delinting is dependent upon variety, time of exposure and concentration of the acid. Acid damage can affect germination, vigor and fiber quality (Ibrahim et al., 2006; Hopper and Hinton, 1979; Kausal et al., 2005, 2007; Khah and Passam, 1994; Kausak et al., 2007; Prashant et al., 2011). Sulfuric acid is the most common strong acid used both in the United States and internationally. Sulfuric acid is not allowed for delinting under the NOP, but is allowed for use as a stabilizer for soil amendments containing liquid fish products (§ 7 *cf*r 205.601; NOSB, 1995, 2006; USDA, 2003; 2005; 2006; 2012). Sulfuric acid is favored for cottonseed delinting in humid climates and is readily applicable to small lots of cottonseed (Biradarpatil, 2008). There are two general approaches, one using concentrated wet acid and another using diluted acid. Both are relatively low in cost and useful regardless of humidity and seed moisture content. The concentrated acid method has been in use for many years. Fuzzy cottonseed (>40 kg) is placed in a large vessel with a proportionate amount of concentrated sulfuric acid. The vessel is rotated at a slow speed (30-35 rpm) for 6-15 minutes. This treatment burns off the linters. The acid is removed from the vessel, and cottonseeds are subsequently washed with water, neutralized with lime and dried. Safety considerations are important since concentrated sulfuric acid is very corrosive (Bahl, 1981).

A simple form of dilute sulfuric acid delinter for cottonseed can be constructed from three plastic tanks (delinting tank, washing tank and sieve), a stand and an agitator. Technical grade sulfuric acid for such a device can be successfully used at concentrations between 55-65%. Treatment with acid lasts about six minutes. After acid treatment, delinted seeds are washed and treated with lime to neutralize the acid, although sodium carbonate may also be used (Javellonar et al., 1998). While the former method has faced environmental issues the latter has gained acceptance. Although, commonly used for conventional crops, sulfuric acid is not allowed for use in delinting cottonseed under USDA organic regulations.

The gas-acid or dry acid delinting method utilizing hydrogen chloride (anhydrous hydrochloric acid) is a common method in the arid region of West Texas. In this process, cotton linters are converted into a powder (Ardashev, 1933). Gas-acid delinting uses less acid, and is generally less expensive than the wet acid process. However, excessive corrosion of equipment and higher seed moisture content has limited the use of gas-acid delinting in humid regions. If the neutralization process is mismanaged, seed can be severely damaged. Hydrogen chloride is in a gaseous state and has a high affinity for water. Its movement into cracks in the seed coat and the embryo is facilitated by increasing seed moisture content. The potential

90 for severe damage to cottonseed and reduced germination is likely if seed moisture is greater than 10%
91 (Gregory et al., 1999).

92 The gas-acid delinting procedure consists of exposing fuzzy seed in an air tight chamber to a controlled
93 amount of hydrogen chloride gas. Duration of exposure can be up to 30 minutes. After the gas is removed,
94 the seeds are gently brushed to remove the brittle linters (Hopper and Hinton, 1979). Residual brushed
95 material can be further processed to methylcellulose (Ardashev et al., 1933). After brushing, cottonseeds
96 are neutralized with lime or calcium carbonate. Under USDA regulations, hydrated lime is allowed in
97 organic crop production as a plant disease control (§7 CFR 205.601) and calcium carbonate is a
98 nonsynthetic substance also allowed in or on processed products labelled as organic (§7 CFR 205.605).
99 Recently, a gas-acid delinting plant was developed in India that is resistant to corrosion, has a pre-drying
100 step so that cottonseed moisture is low prior to treatment, uses ammonia to neutralize the acid and has a
101 pollution scrubber attached that removes potential air pollution risks and dilutes released acid
102 (Maharashtra Seeds, 2013; Bureau of Indian Standards; 2009 a, b; 2010 a, b; 2013 a, b, c, d, e).

103 Acid delinting remains an effective method for delinting. It has been so effective, that there has not been a
104 strong economic incentive to develop alternative methods. Rather, improvements for acid delinting have
105 consisted of building environmentally friendly processing plants, improving safety measures and
106 developing acid resistant equipment. The hazards and environmental restrictions associated with acid
107 delinting are still important issues.

108 Small farmers in the tropics of Africa have developed a range of natural alternative methods for improving
109 fuzzy cottonseed for planting. For example, Senegalese farmers use the juice of the Baobab tree (*Adansonia*
110 *digitata*) mixed with manure to produce a fermented product that appears to burn linters from the seed.
111 Indian farmers use a combination of termite clay and dung to coat seed, which incorporates a natural
112 fungicide and improves singulation and flowability (Elzakker and Caldas, 1999).

113 Fuzzy cottonseed is viable and can readily be planted; however, its tendency to clump has restricted
114 applicability of standardized commercially produced precision planters in production. Coating fuzzy seed
115 improves both singulation and flowability. A patented process called EasiFlo™, developed by Cotton, Inc.
116 is used widely in the animal feed industry. EasiFlo™ is a gelatinized corn starch based coating that permits
117 machine handling of cottonseed. Machine handling of fuzzy cottonseed led to further development of
118 starch based coatings for use in cottonseed for planting. To facilitate coating fuzzy cottonseed for planting,
119 a specialized patented gin stand was developed capable of removing additional linters and the entangled
120 fibers that remain after saw-based ginning. Cottonseeds for treatment are also conditioned to a moisture
121 level of 5% and subsequently re-dried in order to matte down the remaining linters. After conditioning,
122 coating mixtures of gelatinized starch (not certified organic in this study) and talc respectively at 1-2% and
123 1% by weight are applied uniformly to the seeds. Coated seeds are then permitted to dry.

124 Cottonseed density is indicative of the germination rate, vigor, stand quality, early flowering and early
125 fruiting. It is believed that medium and high density seed perform better in cotton stand production.
126 Coated seed can be sorted by fractionating air aspiration to separate low from medium and high density
127 seed. A study at Texas Tech University, run over the course of two years, compared field establishment
128 percent, field emergence rate, lint yield and a number of seed laboratory analyses for cottonseed quality
129 between fuzzy seed coated as described and acid delinted seed. This study concluded that there was not a
130 significant difference between coated and acid delinted seed for precision planting (Olivier, 2005).
131 Furthermore, it was shown that the germination rate of coated cottonseeds is improved over acid delinted
132 cottonseed when soil temperatures are below 20°C (McMichael et al., 2004). Although not yet developed as
133 a high throughput system, coated seed has the potential to provide an effective alternative to the acid
134 delinting process for organic seed production. In another study, several types of coatings including starch,
135 clay and livestock manure were compared with acid delinting. Among the treatments, seeds coated with
136 starch, a combination of starch and livestock manure, clay or a combination of livestock manure and clay
137 had the highest and fastest germination when compared to chemically delinted seeds. Germination and
138 vigor of seeds with these coatings improved with time until three months in storage (Calamaan et al.,
139 1996). The starch dextrin was previously petitioned as a seed coating for addition to the National List, but it
140 was not recommended by the NOSB (NOSB, 2007; 2008).

141 Vegetable starch is not the only type of coating used for cottonseed. Chitosan when treated with sodium
142 hydroxide forms a gel potentially applicable to coating cottonseed (Zeng and Shi, 2009). Water potential

143 and imbibition for these coatings have been studied and evaluated in the development of effective coatings
 144 that maintain shape and form but permit seed to germinate on time with the appropriate vigor (Grellier et
 145 al., 1999). No significant differences in yield were found between clay based cottonseed coatings and acid
 146 delinted cottonseed. (Zeybek et al., 2010). Not only was the clay based coating enabling for mechanical
 147 planting, it also provided fungal protection for the encoated seeds.

Table 1 Methods for preparing cottonseed for planting

<u>Method</u>	<u>Applications</u>	<u>Approved for USDA Organic?</u>
No treatment	Seed is planted manually. Not suitable for large farms	Yes
Concentrated Sulfuric Acid Delinting	Used commercially to permit metered planting	No
Dilute Sulfuric Acid Delinting	Used commercially to permit metered planting	No
Acid-Gas Delinting using Hydrogen Chloride	Used commercially to permit metered planting	Yes, allowed synthetic substance.
Power Roller Gin Relinting	Used in conjunction with coating	Yes
New Sawless Mechanical Delinter	No chemical treatment or coating is necessary. Not currently commercialized.	Yes
Baobab Tree Extract Delinting	Small scale, localized use.	Yes, nonsynthetic
Mud and Dung Coating	Small scale, localized use.	Yes, nonsynthetic
Starch Based Coating	In development for large scale use.	Only if starch is nonsynthetic. Dextrin was previously petitioned as a synthetic seed coating and was not recommended by NOSB.
Clay Based Coating	In development for large scale use.	Yes, if nonsynthetic
Chitosan	In use for rice, other seeds to follow	No.

148

149 **Evaluation Question #12:** Describe any alternative practices that would make the use of the petitioned
 150 substance unnecessary (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).

151 Following ginning, short fibers called linters remain attached to cottonseed. These fibers reduce singulation
152 and flowability. Reduced flowability hinders cleaning and accurate metering of seed in planting operations
153 (Kattes et al., 1993). This short staple inter-felted fiber accounts for approximately ten percent of the weight
154 of the seeds. Furthermore, delinting cottonseed increases its coverage area two to three fold over pubescent
155 seed (Ardashev, 1933). Various mechanical methods have been used to singulate the seed and improve
156 flowability. Most of the methods involve partial or complete removal of the linters and tags (Delouche,
157 1987).

158 Flame delinting has been used in the past, but is no longer well accepted. Cottonseed is coated with
159 kerosene, heated and flamed. A specific apparatus is necessary for this process. The resulting seed is
160 similar to acid delinted seed although it is much harder to control seed quality during this process
161 (Hiwasse et al, 1981). Kerosene is not an allowed seed treatment for organic crop production.

162 Fuzzy cottonseeds released during the ginning process are irregular. Mechanical delinting or re-ginning
163 can potentially improve homogeneity and reduce the irregularity of fuzzy seed. Classically fuzzy
164 cottonseed has been mixed with mud and manure for manual planting. However, the high throughput
165 nature of modern cotton farming makes it difficult to handle the irregular seed after re-ginning because the
166 remaining fibers still cause clumping. Early attempts to remove more of the linters mechanically using a
167 saw type gin produced damaged seed that does not germinate well. An improved power roller gin that
168 uses paddles has been described as effectively removing long linters remaining on ginned seed cotton
169 (Laird et al, 2000). This gin not only recovers 2-2.5% more lint from seed cotton, it provides fuzzy seed that
170 can easily be coated with clay or starch based seed coating for machine handling.

171 Recently reported is the testing of a new mechanical cottonseed delinter built by the USDA Agricultural
172 Research Service, Southern Plains Area, Cropping Systems Research Lab, 1604 East FM 1294, Lubbock, TX
173 79403. The new delinter is intended to replace chemical delinting commonly used in the industry today.
174 The new delinter uses a novel process that does not include saws and has produced nearly naked seed
175 during initial testing. Currently, various abrasive materials are being evaluated and a bench-scale model is
176 being built for cotton breeders. The work was confirmed by the project's research leader, but published
177 information is not yet available (Holt, 2013).

178 **References**

179 Ardashev, B.T. (1933) Chemical delinting of cottonseed and industrial utilization of the lint, *Industrial and*
180 *Engineering Chemistry*, 25:5, pp. 575-581.

181 Bahl, V.P. (1981) Development and testing of a cotton delinting plant for acid-delinting of cotton seed,
182 *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res*, 11:3, pp. 349-354.

183 Biradarpatil, N.K. and Macha, S. (2008) Effect of dosages of sulphuric acid and duration of delinting on
184 seed quality of Desi cotton, *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22:4, pp. 896-897.

185 Bureau of Indian Standards (2009a) IS 15857: Cotton seed delinting machinery -Mobile-charging machine.

186 Bureau of Indian Standards (2009b) IS 15863: Cotton seed delinting machinery - Inclined flight belt
187 conveyor.

188 Bureau of Indian Standards (2010a) IS 15855 (2010): Cotton Seed Delinting Machinery - Surge Bin Machine.

189 Bureau of Indian Standards (2010b) IS 15856: Cotton Seed Delinting Machinery-Pre-Heating Machine-
190 Specification.

191 Bureau of Indian Standards (2013a) IS 15858: Cotton seed delinting machinery -Delinting Machine with
192 Electrical Heating and Hydraulic System.

193 Bureau of Indian Standards (2013b) IS 15859: Cotton seed delinting machinery - Buffing Machine with Air
194 Jet and Nylon Brush.

195 Bureau of Indian Standards (2013c) IS 15860: Cotton seed delinting machinery - Ammonia Cotton Seed
196 Neutralizer Machine with Ammonia Vaporizer.

197 Bureau of Indian Standards (2013d) IS 15861: Cotton seed delinting machinery - Pollution Control System
198 with Scrubber, Cyclone, Chimney, Hoods and Ducting.

- 199 Bureau of Indian Standards (2013e) IS 15862: Cotton seed delinting machinery - HCl Gas Generation Unit.
- 200 Day, Lloyd (2005a) 7 CFR Part 205, National Organic Program (NOP); [Docket Number [TM-04-01FR](#)], RIN
201 0581-AC35, Proposed amendments to the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (Crops and
202 Processing), Federal Register, 70:179, pp. 54660-54668.
- 203 Day, Lloyd (2006) 7 CFR Part 205, National Organic Program (NOP); [Docket Number [TM-04-01FR](#)], RIN
204 0581-AC35, Amendments to the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (Crops and
205 Processing), Federal Register, 71:175, pp. 53299-53303.
- 206 Delouche, J.C. (1987) Harvest and post-harvest factors affecting the quality of cotton planting seed and seed
207 quality evaluation *in* Cotton Physiology (The Cotton Foundation Reference Book Series No 1), Mauney, J.R.
208 and Stewart, J., eds. , Cotton Foundation, pp.
- 209 Elzakker, B.V. and Caldas, T. (1999) Organic cotton production *in* Organic Cotton, eds. D. Myers and S.
210 Stolton, Intermediate Technology Publications, Ltd, London, pp. 21-35.
- 211 Calamaan, F.G., Cruz, R.S. and Catedral, I.G. (1996) Screening and evaluation of materials for cottonseed
212 coating, Cotton Research Journal (Phillipines), 9:1&2, pp. 35-45.
- 213 Gregory, S.T., Hernandez, E. and Savoy, B.R. (1999) Cottonseed processing *in* Cotton: origin, history,
214 technology and production, Smith, C.W. and Cothren, I.T., eds., John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, pp.
215 793-823.
- 216 Grellier, P., Riviere, L.M. and Renault, P. (1999) Transfer and water-retention properties of seed-pelleting
217 materials, European Journal of Agronomy, 10, pp. 57-65.
- 218 Hiwase, S.S., Miskin, R.B. and Bonde, R.S. (1994) Comparative study of cotton seed delinting methods,
219 PKV Res. J., 18, pp. 153-154.
- 220 Hiwase, S.S., Miskin, R.B., and Bonde, R.S. (1994) Comparative study of cotton seed delinting methods,
221 PKV Research Journal, 18:1, p. 153.
- 222 Holt, G. A. (2013) Enhancing profitability & sustainability upland cotton, cottonseed and cotton byproducts
223 through improvements in harvesting, ginning and mechanical processes, 2013 Annual Report, Research
224 Leader, greg.holt@ars.usda.gov , (806) 746-5353, USDA, ARS, SPA, CROPPING SYSTEMS RESEARCH
225 LAB, 1604 EAST FM 1294 (LUBBOCK, TX 79403) Lubbock TX 79401
- 226 Hopper, N.W., and Hinton, H.B. (1979) An anhydrous hydrochloric acid method for delinting small
227 samples of cottonseed, Journal of Seed Technology, 4:1. Pp 7-11.
- 228 Ibrahim, K., Salheldin, A.B., and Ali, E.N.A. (2006) Towards optimal use of sulphuric acid in delinting
229 cotton seed for mechanical planting, Sudan Journal of Agricultural Research, pp. 140-147.
- 230 Javellonar, R.P., Ganostisi, N.D. and Cruz, R.S. (1998) Cottonseed Delinting, Proceedings of the
231 International Agriculture Engineering Conference, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 508-515.
- 232 Kanawade, L.R. and Kashyap (1981) Effect of delinting on physical characteristics of cottonseed, Journal of
233 Agricultural Engineering, 18, pp. 515-55.
- 234 Kattes, D.H., Hopper, N.W., and Gannaway, J.R. (1993) A comparison of two cottonseed delinting methods
235 with respect to planting seed quality, Cotton Physiology Conference, Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton
236 Conference, pp. 1233-1236.
- 237 Kattes, D.H., Hopper, N.W., and Gannaway, J.R. (1993) A comparison of two cottonseed delinting methods
238 with respect to planting seed quality, Cotton Physiology Conference, National Cotton Council Beltwide
239 Cotton Conferences, 3, pp. 1233-1236.
- 240 Kausak, R.T., Kakade, S.U., Jeughale, G.S., Kadam, S.R. and Giri, G.K. (2007) Evaluation of cottonseed
241 delinting techniques and their effect on seed quality, Crop Research, 34:1,2&3, pp. 272-276.
- 242 Kausal, R.T., Jagtap, A.P., Pavitrakar, N.R. and Khedekar, R.P. (2005) Standardization of wet acid delinting
243 technique for cotton seed, Annals of Plant Physiology, 19:2, pp. 145-149.
- 244 Khah, E.M. and Passam, H.C. (1994) Sensitivity of seed of cotton cv. Zeta-2 to damage during acid
245 delinting, Plant Varieties and Seed, 7:1, pp. 51-57.

- 246 Laird, J.W., Holt, G.A. and Wedegaertner, T. (2000) Paddle roll gin stand performance, American Society of
247 Agricultural Engineers, paper no. 001148.
- 248 Maharashtra Seeds (2013) Eco-friendly Cotton Seed Delinting Plant, International Council of
249 Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.
- 250 May, O.L. and Lege, K.E. (1999) Development of the world cotton industry *in* Cotton: origin, history,
251 technology and production, Smith, C.W. and Cothren, I.T., eds., John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, pp.
252 65-97.
- 253 McMichael, B.L., Burke, J.J., Hopper, N. and Wedegaertner, T. (2004) The influence of various delinting and
254 priming treatments on cotton seedling emergence, development and yield [abstract]. National Cotton
255 Council Beltwide Cotton Conference, January 1-9, 2004, San Antonio, Texas.
- 256 National Organic Program—NOP (2003) [Hydrogen Chloride TAP](#), US Department of Agriculture,
257 Agricultural Marketing Service.
- 258 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (1995) • [NOSB Recommendation on Hydrogen Chloride, Sunset,
259 2009 \(PDF\)](#).
- 260 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (1995) [Fish Products](#).
- 261 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (2004) [National Organics Standards Board Meeting held April
262 29, 2004](#), Chicago, Il. 2, pp. 540-544.
- 263 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (2006) [Liquid Fish Products](#).
- 264 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (2007) [Petition for evaluation of dextrin for inclusion on the
265 national list of synthetic substances allowed for use in organic crop production](#)
- 266 National Organic Standards Board—NOSB (2008) [NOSB Committee Recommendation, Dextrin](#)
- 267 Olivier, D.O. (2005) Evaluation of polymer coated cottonseed as an alternative method of preparing
268 cottonseed for planting, Master's thesis, Texas Tech University.
- 269 Oosterhuis, D.M. and Jernstedt, J. (1999) Morphology and anatomy of the cotton plant *in* Cotton: origin,
270 history, technology and production, Smith, C.W. and Cothren, I.T., eds., John Wiley and Sons, New York,
271 NY, pp. 175-206.
- 272 Prashant, S. M., Narayanaswamy, S., Siddaraju. R. and Ramegowda (2011) Standardization of acid
273 delinting technique in cotton hybrid DCH-32 on seed recovery and seed quality parameters, Mysore J.
274 Argic. Sci., 45:4, pp.848-852.
- 275 US Department of Agriculture—USDA (2002) [Petition to the National Organic Standards Board concerning
276 hydrogen chloride for delinting cotton planting seed](#), Agricultural Marketing Service, National Organic
277 Program.
- 278 US Department of Agriculture—USDA (2003) [Oilseed extract uses sulfuric acid as a stabilizing agent just as
279 fish emulsion is required to use](#), Agricultural Marketing Service, National Organic Program.
- 280 US Department of Agriculture—USDA (2005) [Sulfuric Acid](#), Agricultural Marketing Service, National
281 Organic Program.
- 282 US Department of Agriculture—USDA (2006) [Technical Advisory Panel Report Sulfuric Acid](#), Agricultural
283 Marketing Service, National Organic Program.
- 284 US Department of Agriculture—USDA (2012) [Sulfuric Acid](#), Agricultural Marketing Service, National
285 Organic Program.
- 286 Zeng, Defang and Shi, Y. (2009) Preparation and application of a novel environmentally friendly organic
287 seed coating for rice, J. Sci. Food Agric., 89, pp. 2181-2185.
- 288 Zeybek, A., Dogan, T. and Ozkan, I. (2010) The effects of seed coating treatment on yield and yield
289 components in some cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) varieties, African Journal of Biotechnology, 9:34, pp.
290 5523-5529.