

# Shipments of Grain by Rail in Maryland

Marvin E. Prater Daniel O’Neil, Jr. Adam Sparger


This summary of grain shipments by rail in Maryland is drawn from *State Grain Rail Statistical Summary*,<sup>1</sup> a report that describes the grain and oilseed shipped by rail in the United States from 2006 to 2010. The full report collects information on rail shipments from each State and to each State and examines some of the factors that influence the amount of grain grown and used. It examines rail shipments, rail receipts, grain and oilseed production, animal and poultry production, grain and oilseed exports, and grain and oilseed rail rates per ton-mile to explain the variations between States in shipments of these commodities.

Maryland ranks 29th among the grain and oilseed producing States, with a 2006–2010 average yearly production of 81.2 million bushels (mbu). During the period 2006–2010, corn comprised 65 percent of Maryland crops, soybeans 19 percent, wheat 13 percent, and barley 3 percent. Nationally, Maryland is ranked 27th in animal and poultry production, averaging 4 million Grain Consuming Animal Units (GCAU)<sup>2</sup> from 2006 to 2010.

During the crop marketing years 2007–2010, railroad originations of grain and oilseeds averaged a market share of 2.6 percent, a decrease from the 8.9 percent average in the period 2001–2004.

Maryland received a total of 938,000 tons of corn by rail during the 2006–2010 marketing years, down 63.8 percent from 2.6 million tons during the 1996–2000 marketing years. (fig. 1)

**Figure 1. Sources of Maryland Rail Corn Receipts, 2006–2010**



Source: USDA analysis of Surface Transportation Board Confidential Waybill Samples

1 Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.9752/TS066.06-2013>

2 A standard unit used to compare feed needs of different livestock and poultry.

**Table 1. Maryland Grain and Oilseed Production and Rail Shipments, 2006-2010**

	Corn	Soybeans	Wheat	Total Grain and Oilseeds	GCAUs*
<b>Average Yearly Production</b>	52.58 mbu <sup>†</sup>	15.37 mbu	10.40 mbu	81.17 mbu	3,979,212
<b>Total Rail Receipts (tons)</b>	937,768	-	-	-	-

\* Grain Consuming Animal Units

† Million bushels

## Data and Methodology

---

Data from the Surface Transportation Board's Confidential Waybill Samples over the period 1996-2010 were analyzed to measure grain and oilseed shipments by rail. The data were aggregated and sorted by a number of characteristics, the major categories being shipment origin, destination, and type of grain or oilseed. This information was then organized by both origin and destination State. The data were also sorted by shipment size for each State, showing the relative frequencies of grain and oilseed shipments of different sizes. Data having less than 30 observations are excluded, as are States having data for only 1 or 2 years of the 5-year period. Despite these precautions, States with relatively low volumes are more subject to year-to-year variation than are States with higher volumes because of the number of available observations used to calculate totals. This is a result of the sampling techniques used in the Waybill Samples. Thus, higher volumes are less likely to suffer from sampling limitations and be more representative of actual rail movements for any given year than are lower volumes.

GCAUs were calculated for each State using information on animal populations and the corresponding levels of feed necessary to maintain the populations. These calculations included meat and poultry for consumption and production purposes. Cows, sheep, turkeys, hogs, and chickens were included.

The export inspection numbers in this report were taken from USDA Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration grain and oilseed export inspections at U.S. ports exporting grain and oilseeds in bulk. Grain and oilseed production levels by State were also calculated.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**Preferred citation:**

Prater, Marvin E., Daniel O'Neil, Jr., and Adam Sparger. *Shipments of Grain by Rail in Maryland*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, January 2014. Web. <<http://dx.doi.org/10.9752/TS113.01-2014>>